

REGISTRATION REPORT

Part A

Risk Management

Product code: ADM.00150.I.2.A

Product name: LEAXO 200 SL

Chemical active substance:

Acetamiprid, 200 g/L

Poland

Zonal Rapporteur Member State for the Central Zone:

CORE ASSESSMENT

(authorization according to Art. 33)

Applicant: Adama country organisation / representative
as specified in Part A

Submission date: August 2023, update January 2024

MS Finalisation date: July 2024 (initial National Assessment)

December 2024 (final National Assessment), update May 2025, update
June 2025, update August 2025

Version history

| When | What |
|----------------|---|
| August 2023 | Version 1.0 (application) |
| January 2024 | Revision 1, based on a request by zRMS Poland. This revision is a consequence of the GAP changes done in section B8. All changes are highlighted in yellow by the Applicant. Updates were subsequently highlighted in grey by zRMS, not agreed or not relevant information are struck through and shaded for transparency. |
| July 2024 | Initial zRMS assessment In order to facilitate tracking of changes of the intended uses of the product due to the performed evaluation, amendments of the GAP table and in the product label (Appendix 2) and Lists of data considered for national authorization (Appendix 4) are highlighted in grey, while not agreed use pattern is struck through and shaded. |
| December 2024 | Final report (National Assessment updated following the commenting period) Additional information/assessments included by the zRMS in the report in response to comments received from the cMS and the Applicant are highlighted in yellow. Not agreed or not relevant information are struck through and shaded for transparency. |
| May, June 2025 | Final report (National Assessment updated following the comments received from Polish Ministry of Agriculture) Additional information/assessments included by the zRMS in the report in response to comments received from Polish Ministry of Agriculture are highlighted in yellow in the Points: 2.2, 2.5.2, 3.8.2, in the GAP table (for the USE No. 12, 39, 49-51 and 69-70) and in the label. Not agreed or not relevant information are struck through and shaded for transparency. |
| June 2025 | Final report (National Assessment updated following changes to the residue definition and MRL values) Update to take account of new MRL regulations (Reg. (EU) 2025/158 and PLAN/2024/2431). Minor changes are introduced directly in the text and highlighted in green. No longer relevant information is struck through and shaded for transparency. |
| August 2025 | Final report (National Assessment updated following the commenting period) Additional information/assessments included by the zRMS in the report in response to comments received from the cMS and the Applicant are highlighted in purple. Not agreed or not relevant information are struck through and shaded for transparency. |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Details of the application..... | 5 |
| 1.1 | Application background | 5 |
| 1.2 | Letters of Access | 5 |
| 1.3 | Justification for submission of tests and studies | 5 |
| 1.4 | Data protection claims | 5 |
| 2 | Details of the authorization decision..... | 5 |
| 2.1 | Product identity | 5 |
| 2.2 | Conclusion..... | 6 |
| 2.3 | Substances of concern for national monitoring | 6 |
| 2.4 | Classification and labelling | 7 |
| 2.4.1 | Classification and labelling under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 | 7 |
| 2.4.2 | Standard phrases under Regulation (EU) No 547/2011 | 7 |
| 2.4.3 | Other phrases (according to Article 65 (3) of the Regulation (EU) No 1107/2009) | 7 |
| 2.5 | Risk management | 7 |
| 2.5.1 | Restrictions linked to the PPP | 7 |
| 2.5.2 | Specific restrictions linked to the intended uses | 8 |
| 2.6 | Intended uses (only NATIONAL GAP) | 9 |
| 3 | Background of authorization decision and risk management..... | 13 |
| 3.1 | Physical and chemical properties (Part B, Section 2) | 13 |
| 3.2 | Efficacy (Part B, Section 3) | 13 |
| 3.3 | Efficacy data | 13 |
| 3.3.1 | Information on the occurrence or possible occurrence of the development of resistance | 14 |
| 3.3.2 | Adverse effects on treated crops | 15 |
| 3.3.3 | Observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects | 15 |
| 3.4 | Methods of analysis (Part B, Section 5) | 15 |
| 3.4.1 | Analytical method for the formulation | 15 |
| 3.4.2 | Analytical methods for residues | 15 |
| 3.5 | Mammalian toxicology (Part B, Section 6) | 16 |
| 3.5.1 | Acute toxicity | 16 |
| 3.5.2 | Operator exposure | 16 |
| 3.5.3 | Worker exposure | 17 |
| 3.5.4 | Bystander and resident exposure | 17 |
| 3.6 | Residues and consumer exposure (Part B, Section 7) | 17 |
| 3.6.1 | Residues | 17 |
| 3.6.2 | Consumer exposure | 19 |
| 3.7 | Environmental fate and behaviour (Part B, Section 8) | 20 |
| 3.7.1 | Predicted environmental concentrations in soil (PEC _{soil}) | 20 |
| 3.7.2 | Predicted environmental concentrations in groundwater (PEC _{gw}) | 20 |
| 3.7.3 | Predicted environmental concentrations in surface water (PEC _{sw}) | 21 |
| 3.7.4 | Predicted environmental concentrations in air (PEC _{air}) | 21 |
| 3.8 | Ecotoxicology (Part B, Section 9) | 21 |
| 3.8.1 | Effects on terrestrial vertebrates | 21 |
| 3.8.2 | Effects on aquatic species | 22 |
| 3.8.3 | Effects on bees | 22 |
| 3.8.4 | Effects on other arthropod species other than bees | 23 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| 3.8.5 | Effects on soil organisms..... | 23 |
| 3.8.6 | Effects on non-target terrestrial plants..... | 24 |
| 3.8.7 | Effects on other terrestrial organisms (Flora and Fauna) | 24 |
| 3.9 | Relevance of metabolites (Part B, Section 10) | 24 |
| 4 | Conclusion of the national comparative assessment (Art. 50 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009)..... | 24 |
| 5 | Further information to permit a decision to be made or to support a review of the conditions and restrictions associated with the authorization | 24 |
| Appendix 1 | Copy of the product authorization..... | 27 |
| Appendix 2 | Copy of the product label..... | 28 |
| Appendix 3 | Letter of Access..... | 39 |
| Appendix 4 | Lists of data considered for national authorization..... | 40 |

PART A

RISK MANAGEMENT

1 Details of the application

1.1 Application background

This application is submitted for the authorisation of the product LEAXO (product code: ADM.00150.I.2.A), formulated as soluble concentrate (SL) containing 200 g/L acetamiprid for use as an insecticide.

This document describes the acceptable use conditions for the Central Zone.

The product was originally registered by ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd. under the product code MCW-2222. The products MCW-2222 and LEAXO are identical, and studies conducted with MCW-222 can be used without restrictions for LEAXO.

This application is submitted to Poland as the zonal rapporteur member state. Concerned member states are CZ, DE, HU, NL, PL, SK, SI.

The product LEAXO (acetamiprid 200 g/L) is not yet approved in any country of the Central Zone.

1.2 Letters of Access

This application is supported by studies owned by the applicant and a Letter of Access is presented in cases where the data owner is different to the applicant. The Letters of Access are considered confidential and are not presented here.

1.3 Justification for submission of tests and studies

This application is submitted for the authorisation of the product LEAXO. The list of data submitted by the applicant and relied on is presented in Appendix 4.

1.4 Data protection claims

Data protection is claimed in accordance with Article 59 of Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009 as provided for in the list of references in Appendix 4.

2 Details of the authorization decision

2.1 Product identity

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Product code | ADM.00150.I.2.A |
| Product name in MS | LEAXO 200 SL |
| Authorization number | Not applicable |
| Function | Insecticide |
| Applicant | ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o. |
| Active substance(s) (incl. content) | Acetamiprid 200 g/L |
| Formulation type | Soluble concentrate [Code: SL] |

| | |
|---|--|
| Packaging | HDPE: 1 L Reyde Bottle 1 L Mobilak generic Bottle 1 L Mobilak branded Bottle 1 L Pachmas generic Bottle 1 L Pachmas branded Bottle 5 L Reyde Jerrycan 5 L Pachmas Jerrycan 5 L Mobilak Jerrycan 10 L Reyde Jerrycan 10 L Pachmas Jerrycan 10 L Mobilak Jerrycan |
| Coformulants of concern for national authorizations | Not applicable |
| Restrictions related to identity | None |
| Mandatory tank mixtures | None |
| Recommended tank mixtures | None |

2.2 Conclusion

All uses applied for were authorised except for uses on:

- spring cereals (barley, oat, wheat, triticale)/ aphids and aphids virus vectors,
- winter triticale and winter rye/aphids virus vectors
- winter barley and winter rye/ aphids,

due to insufficient number of efficacy trials.

Based on the currently available MSDSs and other information provided by applicant or manufacturer of co-formulants, the product LEAXO does not contain any unacceptable co-formulant/ingredient listed in the **Commission Regulation (EU) 2021/383** amending **Annex III** to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

According to the current knowledge and available information none of the co-formulants in the plant protection product LEAXO meets the Annex to **Regulation (EU) 2023/574** criteria for identification of co-formulants that are unacceptable for inclusion in a plant protection products. Taking this into account, none of the co-formulants/ingredients in this product is considered to be a candidate for inclusion in Annex III of Regulation (EU) 1107/2009.

Detailed assessment of co-formulants according to Article 3 of **Regulation (EU) 2023/574** can be found in annex to Part C of this submission.

Metabolism and residues:

As far as consumer health protection is concerned, zRMS agrees with the authorization of the intended uses, except for apples, and oilseed rape in application rate 2x60 g a.s/ha.

According to the harmonization arrangements of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of 14 May 2025 regarding the requirement for honey, the use of Leaxo on oilseed rape at a higher dose of 2 x 60 g a.s/ha can be accepted under the following condition:

"In view of the ongoing process of establishing MRL values for acetamiprid in honey and the application submitted by the authorisation holder to increase the MRL to 0.3 mg/kg, in accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, it will be necessary to verify the assessment of the Leaxo dossier in this regard for the uses covered by this decision after the entry into force of the new MRL. Failure to submit the relevant information or failure to evaluate it positively may result in a change to the conditions of this authorisation."

Environmental fate and behaviour:

In order to protect groundwater do not apply this or any other product containing acetamiprid more than every second year for early application to sugar beet. Maximum cumulative rate of acetamiprid on the treated field from all used products with this substance is 50 g a.s. every second years.

2.3 Substances of concern for national monitoring

No further information is required.

2.4 Classification and labelling

2.4.1 Classification and labelling under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008

The following classification is proposed in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Hazard class(es), categories: | Oral acute Tox 4, Repr 2, Eye irrit 2, Aquatic Acute 1, Aquatic Chronic 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|

The following labelling information is derived from the classification and to be mentioned in the safety data sheet. The information which is determined for the **label is formatted bold**:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Hazard pictograms: | GHS07, GHS08, GHS09 |
| Signal word: | Warning |
| Hazard statement(s): | H302, H361d, H319, H410 |
| Precautionary statement(s): | P264, P270, P301 + P312, P330, P501 P201, P202, P280, P308 + P313, P337 + P313, P405, P501 |
| Additional labelling phrases: | To avoid risks to man and the environment, comply with the instructions for use. [EUH401] |

| | |
|--|--|
| Special rule for labelling of plant protection product (PPP): | |
| EUH401 | To avoid risks to man and the environment, comply with the instructions for use. |
| Further labelling statements under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008: | |
| - | - |

See Part C for justifications of the classification and labelling proposals.

2.4.2 Standard phrases under Regulation (EU) No 547/2011

| | |
|------|---|
| SP 1 | Do not contaminate water with the product or its container (Do not clean application equipment near surface water/Avoid contamination via drains from farmyards and roads). |
|------|---|

2.4.3 Other phrases (according to Article 65 (3) of the Regulation (EU) No 1107/2009)

| | |
|------|---|
| None | - |
|------|---|

2.5 Risk management

2.5.1 Restrictions linked to the PPP

The authorization of the PPP is linked to the following conditions (mandatory labelling):

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Operator protection: | |
| respective code if available | national PPE requirements |
| Worker protection: | |
| respective code if available | national PPE requirements |
| Integrated pest management (IPM)/sustainable use: | |
| respective code if available | n.a. |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Environmental protection | |
| SP 1 | Do not contaminate water with the product or its container (Do not clean application equipment near surface water/Avoid contamination via drains from farmyards and roads). |
| Other specific restrictions | |
| SPe8 | Do not use where bees are actively foraging |

The authorization of the PPP is linked to the following conditions (voluntary labelling):

| | |
|---|---|
| Integrated pest management (IPM)/sustainable use: | |
| Not applicable | - |

2.5.2 Specific restrictions linked to the intended uses

Some of the authorised uses are linked to the following conditions in addition to those listed under point 2.5.1 (mandatory labelling):

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Integrated pest management (IPM)/sustainable use: | | Relevant for use no. |
| - | n.a. | n.a. |
| Environmental protection: | | Relevant for use no. |
| SPe 1 | To protect groundwater do not apply this or any other product containing acetamiprid more than every second year for early application to sugar beet. | Relevant for use No. 80 |
| SPe 3 | <u>In order to protect aquatic organisms</u> respect an unsprayed buffer zone of 15 m to surface water bodies <u>or</u> buffer zone of 10 m to surface water bodies combined with 50% drift reduction <u>or</u> reduce the spray drift by 90 % 75 % using relevant drift reducing techniques. <u>In order to protect non-target arthropods</u> , respect an unsprayed buffer zone of 10 m to non-agricultural land <u>or</u> 5 m unsprayed buffer zone combined with 50% drift reducing techniques or reduce the spray drift by 75% using relevant drift reducing techniques. | No.11 (Apple at 1 x 0.3 L/ha) |
| SPe 3 | In order to protect aquatic organisms respect an unsprayed buffer zone of 10 m to surface water bodies combined with <u>or</u> reduce reduce the spray drift by 50% using relevant drift reduction techniques. In order to protect non-target arthropods, respect an unsprayed buffer zone of 5m to non-agricultural land or reduce the spray drift by 50% using relevant drift reducing techniques. | No.12, (2 x 0.125 L/ha , 8 d interval), application possible only from BBCH 70 (late application) |
| SPe 3 | In order to protect aquatic organisms respect 10 m vegetative buffer zone to surface water bodies. | No. 50, 51, 69, 70, OSR (winter and spring OSR) |
| SPe8 | Do not use where bees are actively foraging | All uses |
| | Only one application during flowering allowed, applied in the evening after bee flight in OSR at BBCH 61-71 | No: 70, 50 |

2.6 Intended uses (only NATIONAL GAP)

PPP (product name/code) ADM.00150.I.2.A / LEAXO Formulation type: SL
active substance 1 acetamiprid Conc. of as 1: 200 g/L
safener None Conc. of safener: n.a.
synergist None Conc. of synergist: n.a.
Applicant: ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd. professional use ☒
Zone(s): Central/EU non professional use ☐
Verified by MS: yes

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15* | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|--|-------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|-----------------|--------------------|------------|----------|-------------|--|--------------------------------|----------|
| Use- No. | Member state(s) | Crop and/ or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop) | F G or I | Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group) | Application | | | Application rate | | | PHI (days) | Remarks: e.g. g safener/synergist per ha | zRMS Conclusion | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Method / Kind | Timing / Growth stage of crop & season | Max. number (min. interval between applications) a) per use b) per crop/ season | L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season | g as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season | Water L/ha min / max | | | Phys-chem | Analytical methods | Toxicology | Residues | Groundwater | Ecotoxicology | Relevance of metabolites in | Efficacy |
| 11 | Poland | Apple | F | <i>Cydia pomonella</i> (CARPPO) | foliar spraying, overall | June-Aug/ BBCH 71-79 PHI | a) 1 b) 1 | a) 0.4 0.3 b) 0.4 0.3 | a) 80 60 b) 80 60 | 500- 900 | 14 | 0.25 L/10000 m² LWA 0.1875 L/10000 m² LWA | A | A | A | N ** | A | R Aquatics, NTA A Remaining species | A | A |
| 12 | Poland | Apple | F | <i>Aphis</i> spp. (APHISP) | foliar spraying, overall | May-Oct/ BBCH 70 62- 79 PHI | a) 1-2 (8) b) 1-2 (8) | a) 0.125 b) 0.125 | a) 25 b) 25 | 500- 900 | 14 | 0.078 L/10000 m² LWA Do not apply during flowering (application from BBCH 70) To protect bees and pollinating insects, application during flowering against pests is possible only out of honey bee flight during late evening hours | A | A | A | N ** | A | R Aquatics, NTA R Bees From BBCH 70 A Remaining species | A | A |
| 19 | Poland | Potato | F | <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> (LPTNDE) <i>Myzus persicae</i> (MYZUPE), <i>Macrosiphum euphorbia</i> (MACSEU) | foliar spraying, overall | May-Sep/ BBCH 12-79 | a) 1 b) 1 | a) 0.18 b) 0.18 | a) 36 b) 36 | 200- 400 | 7 | To protect bees and pollinating insects, application during flowering against pests is possible only out of honey bee flight during late evening hours | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------|---|---|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 30 | Poland | Spring barley Spring oat Spring wheat Spring triticale | F | Aphids (1APHIG) | foliar spraying, overall | Mar-Jul/ BBCH 40 - 69 (Spring) | a) 1-2 (10) b) 1-2 (10) | a) 0.175 b) 0.35 | a) 35 b) 70 | 200-400 | follow crop BBCH | Only 1 application if at BBCH 20-29 one application is done for virus control (next line) | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | N |
| 31 | Poland | Spring barley Spring oat Spring wheat Spring triticale | F | Aphids Virus Control | foliar spraying, overall | Mar-Apr/ Mar-Jun BBCH 12 - 29 BBCH 20-29 (Spring) | a) 1 (-) b) 1-2 (30) b) 1 | a) 0.175 b) 0.175 0.35 | a) 35 b) 35 70 | 200-400 | follow crop BBCH | 1 application at BBCH 12-29 followed by 1 application at BBCH 40-69. | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | N |
| 38 | Poland | Winter wheat Winter barley Winter triticale Winter rye | F | Aphids Virus Control | foliar spraying, overall | Aug-Nov/ BBCH 12 - 29 (Autumn) | a) 1 b) 1 | a) 0.15 b) 0.15 | a) 30 b) 30 | 200-400 | follow crop BBCH | | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A TRZAW HORVW N TTLWI SECCW |
| 39 | Poland | Winter wheat Winter barley Winter triticale Winter rye | F | Aphids (1APHIG) | foliar spraying, overall | May-Jul/ BBCH 40 61- 69 (Spring) | a) 1-2 (10) b) 1-2 (10) | a) 0.18 b) 0.36 0.18 | a) 36 b) 72 36 | 200-400 | follow crop BBCH | | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A TRZAW TTLWI N HORVW SECCW |
| 49 | Poland | Winter OSR | F | <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> | foliar spraying, overall | May-Jun/ BBCH 50-59 | a) 1-2 b) 1-2 | 7 1 | a) 0.3 b) 0.3 0.6 | 200-400 | 28 | | A | A | A | A N R R R | A | R Aquatics A Remaining species | A | A |
| 50 | Poland | Winter OSR | F | <i>Dasyneura brassicae</i> , <i>Ceutorhynchus obstrictus</i> (<i>syn assimilis</i>) | foliar spraying, overall | May-Jun/ BBCH 61-71 | a) 1-2 b) 1-2 | 7 1 | a) 0.3 b) 0.3 0.6 | 200-400 | 28 | Application in the evening, after the bee flight. Only single application during flowering allowed | A | A | A | A N R R | A | R Aquatics, Bees | A | A |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------|------------|---|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----|---|---|---|---|-------------|---------------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | To protect bees and pollinating insects; application during flowering against pests is possible only out of honey bee flight during late evening hours | | | | | | | A Remaining species | | |
| 51 | Poland | Winter OSR | F | <i>Ceutorhynchus napi</i> , <i>C. quadridens</i> | foliar spraying, overall | Mar-Jun/ BBCH 31-59 | a) 1-2 b) 1-2 | 7 | a) 0.3 b) 0.3-0.6 | 200-400 | 28 | | A | A | A | A N R | A | | R Aquatics | A | A |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | A Remaining species | | |
| 52 | Poland | Winter OSR | F | <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> | foliar spraying, overall | Sep-Oct/ BBCH 11-19 (autumn) | a) 1 b) 1 | a) 0.3-0.24 b) 0.6-0.24 | a) 60-48 b) 120-48 | 200-400 | 28 | The label must include the following phrase: “Flea Beetles: Moderate control level” | A | A | A | A | A | | A | A | A |
| 69 | Poland | Spring OSR | F | <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> | foliar spraying, overall | Apr-Jun/ BBCH 50-59 | a) 1-2 b) 1-2 | 7 | a) 0.3 b) 0.3-0.3 | 200-400 | 28 | | A | A | A | A N R | A | | R Aquatics | A | A |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | A Remaining species | | |
| 70 | Poland | Spring OSR | F | <i>Dasyneura brassicae</i> , <i>Ceutorhynchus obstrictus</i> (syn <i>assimilis</i>) | foliar spraying, overall | May-Jun/ BBCH 61-71 | a) 1-2 b) 1-2 | 7 | a) 0.3 b) 0.3-0.6 | 200-400 | 28 | Application in the evening, after the bee Only single application during flowering allowed To protect bees and pollinating insects; application during flowering against pests is possible only out of honey bee flight during late evening hours | A | A | A | A N R | A | | R Aquatics, Bees | A | A N possible authorization based on the art. 51 – minor uses |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | A Remaining species | | |
| 80 | Poland | Sugar beet | F | <i>Myzus persicae</i> (MYZUPE) <i>Aphis fabae</i> (APHIFA) <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> | foliar spraying, overall | Apr-Aug/ BBCH 12-39 | a) 1 - b) 1 - a) 2-(7) b) 2-(7) | a) 0.25 b) 0.25-0.5 | a) 50 b) 50-100 | 200-400 | 35 | Biennial application! | A | A | A | A | R Biennial application | | A | A | A |

* Explanation for column 15 “Overall conclusions”

| | |
|------|--|
| A | Acceptable |
| R | Acceptable with further restriction |
| C | To be confirmed by cMS |
| N | Not acceptable / evaluation not possible |
| n.r. | Not relevant |

** Use on apple was proposed in the original dossier but following the dossier update of July 2024, to apply the EFSA proposed ARfD, ADI and amended residue definition, residues generated according to the intended GAP no longer pass the acute risk assessment and no alternative GAP could be determined, so proposed use of ADM.00150.I.2.A / LEAXO on apple is not accepted according to the proposed GAP.

*** Available results show that the in force MRL of acetamiprid on honey of 0.05* mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2019/88 and 2025/158) is potentially exceeded. Reg. (EU) 2025/1212 was published on 31/07/2025 and a higher MRL of 0.3 mg/kg for honey enters into force on 20/08/2025 which covers all of the proposed uses without restriction. Until the new MRL has been set for honey, use on oilseed rape and apples cannot be authorized. However EFSA concluded in Statement on the toxicological properties and maximum residue levels of acetamiprid and its metabolites (EFSA Journal. 2024;22:e8759) that Furthermore, for plums (0.04 mg/kg), poppy seeds (0.3 mg/kg), mustard seed (0.15 mg/kg) and honey (0.3 mg/kg), it was concluded that risk for consumers was still unlikely for the new MRLs proposed in SANTE/11278/2021. For these crops, risk managers can therefore implement the MRLs proposed in SANTE/11278/2021.” At the February 2025 Standing Committee, PLAN/2024/2431 received a favourable vote and an MRL of 0.3 mg/kg is now indicated in the EU Commission MRL database and expected to enter into force in July/August 2025. The current assessment of residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / LEAXO shows that all of the intended uses would be in compliance with the MRL of 0.3 mg/kg. According to the harmonization arrangements of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of 14 May 2025 regarding the requirement for honey, the use of Leaxo on oilseed rape at a higher dose of 2 x 60 g as/ha can be accepted under the condition: “In view of the ongoing process of establishing MRL values for acetamiprid in honey and the application submitted by the authorisation holder to increase the MRL to 0.3 mg/kg, in accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, it will be necessary to verify the assessment of the Leaxo dossier in this regard for the uses covered by this decision after the entry into force of the new MRL. Failure to submit the relevant information or failure to evaluate it positively may result in a change to the conditions of this authorisation.”

3 Background of authorization decision and risk management

3.1 Physical and chemical properties (Part B, Section 2)

All studies have been performed in accordance with the current requirements and the results are deemed to be acceptable. The appearance of the product is that of a clear, yellow-orange liquid, with a characteristic odour. It is not explosive, has no oxidising properties. The product has a flash point of >55 °C, thus it is not classified as a flammable liquid. It has a self-ignition temperature of 285 °C. In aqueous solution at a 1% w/v dilution, it has a pH value around 6 to 7 at 20 °C. There is no effect of high temperature on the stability of the formulation, since after 8 weeks at 40 °C, neither the active ingredient content nor the technical properties were changed. The stability data indicate a shelf life of at least 3 years at ambient temperature when stored in HDPE containers. The product is sensitive to low temperatures. Its technical characteristics are acceptable for a soluble concentrate (SL) formulation.

The intended concentration of use is 0.013% 0.009% to 0.2% 0.3%.

The product can be mixed in the tank together with each of the following products CORAGEN (Chlorantraniliprole 200 g/L SC), POLISOLFURO DI CALCIO POLISENIO (Polisolfuro di calcio 380 g/L AL) and SWITCH (Cyprodinil 37,5 g + Fludioxonil 25 g WG). Studies regarding the combination with CORAGEN, POLISOLFURO DI CALCIO POLISENIO and SWITCH were submitted and the application as tank mixture is acceptable.

The SDS is provided under KCP 1.4.3/01.

Justified Proposals for Classification and Labelling (KCP 12) for physical chemical part only

Other hazards: Keep from freezing.

Notifier Proposals for Risk and Safety Phrases (KCP 12)

Other hazards: Keep from freezing.

Compliance with FAO specifications:

The product ADM.00150.I.2.A complies with FAO specifications.

3.2 Efficacy (Part B, Section 3)

The BAD and the dRR Section 3 summarise the efficacy data relevant for the EU Central zone to register the plant protection product MCW-2222. Data is submitted and summarised from a total of 515 trials, including 9 resistance monitoring studies, conducted across the Maritime, North-East and South-East EPPO zones.

MCW-2222 is an SL formulation containing 200 g/L acetamiprid. It is intended for use as a foliar applied insecticide to control a range of sucking and biting pests such as aphids, weevils and beetles on arable, fruit and ornamental crops. The cMS for the submission are Poland, Czech Republic, Germany, Netherlands, Hungary, Slovenia, and Slovakia as countries of the Central EU Zone.

3.3 Efficacy data

The efficacy of MCW-2222 to control a range of different insect pests in maize, apple, potato, sugar beet, ornamentals, cereals and oilseed rape was evaluated in a total of 506 efficacy trials (numerous trials including more than one pest). All trials were conducted to GEP by officially recognised testing organisations and followed the appropriate EPPO standards. The trials performed in a randomised complete block design with 4 replicates. The trials were conducted in different seasons in diverse countries of the Maritime, North-East and South-East EPPO climatic zones.

The effectiveness of MCW-2222 against aphids and scales was tested in 177 efficacy trials on apple, potato, winter and spring cereals, sugar beet and ornamentals conducted between 2012 and 2022 across a range of countries located in the Maritime, North-East and South-East EPPO climatic zones. The data demonstrated that the efficacy of MCW-2222 at the proposed label rates was equivalent to the efficacy of the standard

Mospilan products against aphids and scales in all the different target crops. To demonstrate the virus vector control from MCW-2222, 47 trials were conducted on cereals and oilseed rape.

The effectiveness of MCW-2222 against Brassica pod midge in oilseed rape was tested in 25 efficacy trials conducted in between 2014 and 2020 in various countries of the Maritime, North-East and South-East EPPO climatic zones. The data demonstrated the excellent efficacy of MCW-2222 at the proposed label rates, equivalent to the efficacy of the relevant reference products Mavrik and Karate Zeon.

The effectiveness of MCW-2222 against beetles and weevils was tested in 241 efficacy trials on maize, potato and winter and spring oilseed rape conducted in between 2011 and 2022 in various countries of the Maritime, North-East and South-East EPPO climatic zones. The data demonstrated that the efficacy of MCW-2222 at the proposed label rates was equivalent to the efficacy of the relevant reference products against beetles and weevils in the different target crops.

The effectiveness of MCW-2222 against codling moth was tested in 39 efficacy trials on apple conducted between 2011 and 2022 throughout the Maritime, North-East and South-East EPPO climatic zones. MCW-2222 applied at the target dose of 0.25 L/ha tLWA or 0.4 L/ha showed effective control and was equivalent to the reference standards Mospilan and Coragen.

A total of 7 efficacy trials were conducted in the South-East EPPO zone to evaluate the control of corn borer in maize. The data demonstrated the excellent efficacy from MCW-2222 at the proposed label rates, equivalent to the efficacy of the relevant reference products Karate Zeon, Avaunt, and Coragen.

The submitted data demonstrate the excellent control of all target pest from MCW-2222 when applied according to the proposed GAP on the target crops. The efficacy of MCW-222 was equivalent or in some cases superior to that achieved by the authorized reference standards.

3.3.1 Information on the occurrence or possible occurrence of the development of resistance

The risk of the development of resistance was analysed following EPPO guideline PP1/213(4). Acetamiprid is classified as an IRAC Subgroup 4A insecticide (neonicotinoid). Acetamiprid is a systemic active substance with translaminar activity and with contact and stomach action belonging to the group of neonicotinoids. It is used to control *Hemiptera*, *Lepidoptera*, *Thysanoptera* and *Coleoptera*. It is an agonist of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, affecting the synapses in the insect central nervous system.

Taking into consideration the inherent factors of the insecticide MCW-2222 (SL, 200 g/L acetamiprid) and the inherent factors of the target organisms together with the agronomic risk, a medium or low resistance risk is concluded for the different GAP uses. This conclusion is not only based on the documentation of reported acetamiprid resistance cases, which shows only a limited number of cases worldwide and no cases at all within the Central EU Zone, but the low potential for future development of resistant insect populations.

Despite some target species having a medium or high inherent resistance risk, the risk of resistance development is assessed as medium or low for the different GAP uses as a result of the conditions of use of MCW-2222 and consideration of the medium inherent risk of the active substance.

For the agricultural, ornamentals and orchard crops for which approval is sought, cultural control measures as well as alternative substances belonging to different mode of action groups are available. Furthermore, in the agricultural and ornamentals crops full or limited rotational cropping systems are implemented. Thus, when the product is applied according to the proposed GAP, the development of resistances in insects is unlikely to occur.

In conclusion, the resistance risk of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (200 g/L acetamiprid) for insecticidal outdoor use in agricultural, ornamental and orchard crops against sucking and biting insects, when used according to the envisaged GAP is considered to be acceptable. The implementation of special

risk modifying measures or resistance management strategies is not required for this product. General guidelines to prevent insecticide resistance development, as recommended by the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) are proposed.

3.3.2 Adverse effects on treated crops

Crop safety was evaluated in all efficacy trials with the target dose rates of MCW-2222. Results from all the trials conducted on a wide range of commercially grown varieties on the different target crops did not show any phytotoxicity effects from MCW-2222 when applied according to the proposed GAP. In addition, no negative effects were observed concerning crop yield and product quality.

Specific studies regarding transformation were conducted on potatoes (8 taint/processing tests) and apples (8 taint/processing tests) without no unintentional effects observed from MCW-2222. Therefore MCW-2222 can be safely applied to all target crops with no adverse effects on the treated crop or crop yield and quality.

3.3.3 Observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects

MCW-2222 is an insecticide containing the active acetamiprid. It has no herbicidal activity. Vegetative vigour and Seedling emergence data on a range of representative crops proves MCW-2222 has no effects on the vegetative vigour and seedling emergence of none target plants. Therefore MCW-2222 has no risk to succeeding or adjacent crops.

3.4 Methods of analysis (Part B, Section 5)

3.4.1 Analytical method for the formulation

An analytical method for the determination of acetamiprid in an SL product MCW-2222 (equals CA 3573) was provided and considered acceptable according to SANCO/3030/99 rev. 5. The active ingredient was analysed by HPLC-DAD. For the analysis of the active ingredient in the formulation, a commonly known CIPAC-Method is available (649/SL/M).

The formulation contains no relevant impurities and therefore no methods for the determination currently exists.

3.4.2 Analytical methods for residues

New analytical methods to determine residues of acetamiprid for crop matrices, water, arthropods, ground vegetation, soil, dislodgeable foliar residues and honey related products were implemented. The methods conducted in accordance with SANCO/3029/99 rev. 4 guidelines were evaluated following the minimum validation requirements given in Section 4.2 of SANTE/2020/12830 Rev. 1 Guidelines and found to be adequate. Other studies conducted after 1st of March 2021 were fully validated in accordance with SANTE/2020/12830 Rev. 1 Guidelines and therefore considered suitable. Standard analytical methods for the determination of acetamiprid residues are based on HPLC-MS/MS and HPLC-DAD methods. These methods are highly specific and therefore considered acceptable. For some studies, references were made to existing and EU approved active substance studies from the notifier Nippon from RAR, 2015. Matched studies were provided in a separate data-matching renewal.

References were made to the existing and EU approved analytical methods provided in RAR 2016 for monitoring and enforcements methods. New active substance data have been submitted in this application in terms of the new monitoring studies on honey, plant matrices and body fluids. The rest of the monitoring data have been matched during a separate data-matching list process in 2022 from the rapporteur member state of the active substance, the Netherlands.

| Commodity/crop | Supported/Not supported |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| Apple | Supported |
| Potato | Supported |
| Oilseed rape | Supported |
| Barley, Oat | Supported |
| Wheat, Rye | Supported |
| Sugar beet | Supported |

3.5 Mammalian toxicology (Part B, Section 6)

Acute toxicity studies for Acetamiprid 200 SL containing 200 g/L acetamiprid were not evaluated as part of the EU review of acetamiprid. Since these studies were conducted after 14th of June 2011, no summaries except for inhalation toxicity are presented. The acute endpoints were calculated according to the Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008, based on the classification of the active substance and the formulants of Acetamiprid 200 SL. The product is formerly known under the product code MCW-2222. The two products are identical. The summary of the acute inhalation toxicity study is presented, since Acetamiprid 200 SL contains two ingredients with unknown inhalation toxicity.

3.5.1 Acute toxicity

The calculation of the endpoints indicated to have a low dermal and inhalation toxicity. It does not show any irritating effect and does not induce dermal sensitization. It has an oral toxicity 795 mg/kg bw and showed a slightly eye irritating effect.

Implications for labelling: According to amendments in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 to be enforced from 23 November 2023, Acetamiprid needs to be classified as Repr. 2. Since the Acetamiprid concentration in the product Acetamiprid 200 SL is $\geq 3.0\%$, the product needs to be classified as Repr. 2 as well.

Hazard classes (s), categories

GHS Pictogram:

Signal Word:

Hazard Statement:

Oral acute Tox 4, Repr 2, Eye irrit 2

GHS07, GHS08

Warning

H302 Harmful if swallowed

H361d Suspected of damaging the unborn child

H319 Causes serious eye irritation

Precautionary Statement:

P264, P270, P301+P312, P330, P501

P201, P202, P280, P308 + P313, P337 + P313, P405

Supplemental Hazard Information:

To avoid risks to man and the environment, comply with the instructions for use. [EUH401]

3.5.2 Operator exposure

The operator exposure estimations carried out indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) for Acetamiprid 200 SL will not be exceeded under conditions of intended uses with the use of personal protective equipment (PPE). Due to the classification of the product with hazard category Eye irrit 2 (H319), operators should wear additionally eye protection during all activities

| Crop | Required PPE |
|---|--|
| Cereals (corn) | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear + Protected hands |
| Apples | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands + Faceshield App: Workwear + Protected hands + Hood |
| Potatoes | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear |
| Cereals | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear |
| Oilseed rape | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear + Protected hands |
| Sugar beet | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear + Protected hands |
| Flower bulbs and flower tubers (Low ornamentals) | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear |
| Floriculture, tree nursery & perennial nursery crops (High ornamentals) | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands App: Workwear + Protected hands |
| Estimated operator exposure (longer term exposure) - Flower bulbs and flower tubers – Calculated as low ornamentals – Manual knapsack | M/L: Workwear + Protected hands + FP2, P2 and similar App: Workwear + Protected hands + FP2, P2 and similar |

3.5.3 Worker exposure

The worker exposure estimations carried out indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) for Acetamiprid 200 SL will not be exceeded under conditions of intended uses even without the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) for re-entry into field crops and potatoes. For the further crops, the following personal protective equipment is required:

| Crop | Activity | Required PPE |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Apples | Maintenance/thinning | Gloves |
| | Inspection, irrigation | None |
| | Searching, reaching, picking | Gloves |
| Sugar beet | Reaching, picking | Gloves |
| | Inspection, irrigation | None |
| | Removing bolting sugar beets | Gloves |
| Flower bulbs and flower tubers | Cutting, sorting, bundling, carrying | Gloves |
| | Inspection, irrigation | None |
| Floriculture, tree nursery & perennial nursery crops | Cutting, sorting, bundling, carrying | Gloves |
| | Inspection, irrigation | None |

3.5.4 Bystander and resident exposure

The bystander and/or resident exposure estimations carried out indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) for Acetamiprid 200 SL will not be exceeded under conditions of intended uses.

3.6 Residues and consumer exposure (Part B, Section 7)

3.6.1 Residues

The risk assessment for all crops was updated based on the new toxicological reference values, residue definition and conversion factors proposed by EFSA in the 2024 Statement (EFSA, 2024). The conclusions above reflect the outcomes based on these proposed endpoints. According to Regulation (EU) 2025/158 for acetamiprid the value of MRL for apples has been changed from 0.4 mg/kg to 0.07 mg/kg. New MRL values for acetamiprid will apply on 19 August 2025. It should be noted that the new Commission Regulation (EU) 2025/1212 of 24 June 2025 has already been published in the Official Journal of the European Union. This Regulation enters into force on 20 August 2025. The value of MRL for apples will be 0.07 mg/kg, the same as under the Reg. (EU) 2025/158. The available results show that the MRL for acetamiprid published in Regulation (EU) 2025/158 and Reg.2025/1212 on proposed apples may be exceeded. As the residues arising from the proposed GAP for apples lead to an exceedance of the ARfD

and no suitable fallback GAP could be derived, this proposed use was not accepted.

The effects of processing on the nature of acetamiprid residues have been investigated. Data on effects of processing on the amount of residue have been submitted. These data were partly considered for dietary burden calculation. No further studies investigating the effect of processing on the magnitude of the residues are required, as they are not expected to affect the outcome of the risk assessment significantly.

Residues in succeeding crops have been sufficiently investigated with application rates reflecting the maximum critical GAP rate for crops growing in rotation. It is very unlikely that residues of acetamiprid and its soil persistent metabolites IM-1-4 and IM-1-5 will be present in succeeding crops.

The intended uses of acetamiprid will not modify the dietary burden for livestock being calculated and evaluated in the past. Therefore, further investigation of residues as well as the modification of MRLs in commodities of animal origin are not necessary. For fish no metabolism study is required according to SANTE/10254/2021 since acetamiprid is not fat soluble ($\log P_{ow}$ of 0.8 for acetamiprid is <3).

Since applications during flowering are intended with acetamiprid on melliferous crops like oilseed rape and apple, a study for determining the magnitude of residues of ADM.00150.I.2.A in honey was conducted in compliance with current guidelines. The current assessment of residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo shows that the in force MRL of acetamiprid on honey of 0.05* mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2019/88 and 2025/158) is potentially exceeded, however Reg. (EU) 2025/1212 was published on 31/07/2025 and a higher MRL of 0.3 mg/kg for honey enters into force on 20/08/2025 which covers all of the proposed uses on oilseed rape without restriction.

The current assessment of residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo shows that all of the intended uses on oilseed rape are in compliance with the MRL of 0.3 mg/kg.

For products with melliferous capacity (oilseed rape (2 x 60 g as/ha) and apples) no authorisation is proposed until MRL for honey is raised. For lower dose for oilseed rape (max 1 x 40 — 48 g as/ha) the in force MRL of acetamiprid on honey of 0.05* mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2019/88) will not be exceeded and this use can be accepted.

Additional remark:

EFSA concluded in Statement on the toxicological properties and maximum residue levels of acetamiprid and its metabolites (EFSA Journal. 2024;22:e8759) that „Furthermore, for plums (0.04 mg/kg), poppy seeds (0.3 mg/kg), mustard seed (0.15 mg/kg) and honey (0.3 mg/kg), it was concluded that risk for consumers was still unlikely for the new MRLs proposed in SANTE/11278/2021. For these crops, risk managers can therefore implement the MRLs proposed in SANTE/11278/2021.”

The European Commission MRL database now indicates the voted MRL of 0.3 mg/kg (PLAN/2024/2431) which is expected to enter into force in July/August 2025 and will cover the full range of proposed uses on oilseed rape.

The current assessment of residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo shows that all of the intended uses would be in compliance with the MRL of 0.3 mg/kg.

According to the harmonization arrangements of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of 14 May 2025 regarding the requirement for honey, the use of Leaxo on oilseed rape at a higher dose of 2 x 60 g as/ha can be accepted under the following condition:

"In view of the ongoing process of establishing MRL values for acetamiprid in honey and the application submitted by the authorisation holder to increase the MRL to 0.3 mg/kg, in accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, it will be necessary to verify the assessment of the Leaxo dossier in this regard for the uses covered by this decision after the entry into force of the new MRL. Failure to submit the relevant information or failure to evaluate it positively may result in a change to the conditions of this authorisation."

Conclusion:

The intended uses, except apples and oilseed rape (2 x 60 g as/ha) are accepted.

Noticed data gaps are:

— lack of a Letter of Access to study of Williams M., 1999; Study No 98514428 & Williams M., 1999; Report No RD-09988;

Information on ongoing negotiations were provided by the applicant. For the time being, this is considered to be sufficient. The LoA needs to be provided to MSs in the frame of the product authorisation procedure.

- additional supervised residue trials analysing simultaneously for acetamiprid and N-desmethyl-acetamiprid (IM-2-1), supporting intended use on apples;

According to the „Statement on the toxicological properties and maximum residue levels of acetamiprid and its metabolites” for the consumer risk assessment it is recommended to require additional supervised residue trials analysing simultaneously for acetamiprid and N-desmethyl-acetamiprid (IM-2-1), supporting intended use on apples.

3.6.2 Consumer exposure

The summary of the calculation using the EFSA model rev 3.1 is presented.

A chronic dietary exposure assessment (IEDI) was performed for acetamiprid covering all commodities of plant and animal origin. Input values are summarised in the table 7.2-17 of Part B7 below. Reference is made to the STMR values calculated within this submission and also to those previously assessed by EFSA that correspond to a substantive EU MRL (summarised in full in EFSA, 2022). Where the STMR from a previous submission is higher than the STMR calculated according to the intended GAPs in this submission, the higher value is used. If no STMR is available for a crop then the EU MRL/LOQ is used.

For the acute risk assessment an IESTI has been performed based on the HR values derived based on the GAPs in the current submission. Only the crops under consideration are included in the risk assessment and although higher HR values may have been identified in previous submissions the IESTI calculation is only made based on the HRs derived from the intended GAPs. The table below indicates the previously assessed HR values for completeness. Values given in italics are provided for information but not included in the assessment for the reason given in the table. Where the STMR and HR values derived for the GAPs under consideration are the critical EU values (e.g. potato, maize/corn) a separate row summarising the previous STMR and HR is not included.

Intakes of apple based on the GAP in the original submission are not included since acute exposure exceeds the ARfD and the MRL was lowered during the recent EFSA review (EFSA, 2024). A use on apples is therefore no longer sought as part of this submission.

Two scenarios are assessed; (1) including the residues for apple based on the current submission for acute and chronic risk assessment, and (2) removing apple from the acute risk assessment and relying on previously assessed data (EFSA, 2018) for apple for the chronic assessment. The second assessment (scenario 2) is performed since acute intakes for apple, based on scenario 1, exceed the ARfD.

Consumer risk assessment

| Scenario 1 (including apple residues from this submission) | |
|--|--|
| TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo | Not performed – see IEDI (A.3.25) |
| IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo | 9050% (based on NL toddler) |
| IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo, refined | <div>Raw commodities: Apple: 652% (NL toddler) Potatoes: 31% (UK infant) Honey: 11% (NL toddler) Wheat: 3% (UK 4-6 year) Maize/corn: 1% (UK infant) Rye: 1% (UK infant) Barley: 1% (UK 7-10 year) Rapeseeds/canola: 0.8% (DE child) Oat: 0.2% (DE child)</div> <div>Processed commodities: Potatoes / fried: 19% for children Potatoes / chips: 2% for adults Apples / juice: 17 % (DE child) 105% for children Apples – juice: 65% for adults</div> |

| | |
|---|--|
| | Sugar beets (root) / sugar: 2 % (NL child) |
| Scenario 2 (excluding apple residues from this submission) | |
| TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo | Not performed – see IEDI (A.3.5) |
| IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo | 97% (based on NL toddler) |
| IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo, refined | <u>Raw commodities:</u> Potatoes: 31% (UK infant) Honey: 11% (NL toddler) Wheat: 3% (UK 4-6 year) Maize/corn: 1% (UK infant) Rye: 1% (UK infant) Barley: 1% (UK 7-10 year) Rapeseeds/canola: 0.8% (DE child) Oat: 0.2% (DE child) <u>Processed commodities:</u> Potatoes – fried: 19% for children Sugar beets (root) / sugar: 2 % (NL child) Potatoes – chips: 70% for adults |

In scenario 1, considering the apple residues arising from the GAP proposed in this submission, acute dietary intakes exceeded the proposed ARfD of 0.005 mg/kg bw (EFSA, 2024). Therefore, in scenario 2 this use was not considered further and removed from the acute assessment (still considered for chronic exposure based on residues from EFSA, 2018). Following this revision the proposed uses of acetamiprid in the formulation ADM.00150.I.2.A (SL) do not represent unacceptable acute and chronic risks for the consumer.

The dietary risk assessment was updated to take account of the proposed uses, the MRLs agreed in Regulation (EU) 2025/1212 and the STMR/HR values considered in EFSA, 2025 (where these apply to in force MRLs). The proposed uses of acetamiprid in the formulation ADM.00150.I.2.A (SL) do not represent unacceptable acute and chronic risks for the consumer.

Conclusion:

The proposed use on apples of acetamiprid in the product ADM.00150.I.2.A/ Leaxo represents unacceptable acute risks for the consumer, so this use is not accepted.
The proposed other uses of acetamiprid in the product ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo do not represent unacceptable acute and chronic risks for the consumer.
As far as consumer health protection is concerned, zRMS agrees with the authorization of the intended uses, except for apples and oilseed rape in application rate 2 x 60 g as/ha.

3.7 Environmental fate and behaviour (Part B, Section 8)

No new laboratory or field studies are presented; all data were evaluated in the EU review (EFSA Journal 2016;14(11):4610). The endpoints from the EU review were used to calculate PECs in soil, surface water and groundwater for the intended use patterns.

3.7.1 Predicted environmental concentrations in soil (PEC_{soil})

Soil exposure for acetamiprid and its relevant metabolites was calculated using approach described in respective FOCUS guidance for the intended uses of ADM.00150.I.2.A. For all compounds, EU agreed data were taken into account. Soil exposure for the formulated product was also calculated. Obtained PEC_{SOIL} values were used in the risk assessment for soil organisms.

3.7.2 Predicted environmental concentrations in groundwater (PEC_{gw})

The PEC in groundwater of acetamiprid and its relevant metabolites were calculated with standard FOCUS scenarios using the modelling software FOCUS PEARL 5.5.5, FOCUS PELMO 6.6.4 and FOCUS MACRO 5.5.4 with the respective FOCUS groundwater scenarios.
Performed calculations resulted with PEC_{GW} values <0.1 µg/L for acetamiprid and its relevant metabolites

IM-1-2, IM-1-4 and IC-0 in all relevant Polish scenarios for all crops following annual application. The PEC_{GW} values for toxicologically relevant metabolite IM-1-5 were $< 0.1 \mu\text{g/L}$ following annual application to: apple, potato, spring & winter cereals, spring & winter OSR; and following biennial application to: sugar beet. Overall, in order to protect groundwater uses of ADM.00150.I.2.A must be restricted to biennial application to sugar beet at BBCH 12-39 at the rate of 0.25 L product/ha.

3.7.3 Predicted environmental concentrations in surface water (PEC_{sw})

The surface water exposure was estimated using the respective FOCUS surface water models (Steps 1 and 2 in FOCUS, FOCUS SWASH v5.3, FOCUS PRZM v4.3.1, FOCUS MACRO v5.5.4, FOCUS TOXSWA v5.5.3). Scenarios relevant for Poland (D3, D4 and R1) were considered in these calculations. EU agreed endpoints and intended use pattern of ADM.00150.I.2.A were considered.

The surface water exposure to the formulated product was calculated using Spray Drift Calculator. Obtained PEC_{sw} values were used in the risk assessment for aquatic organisms.

3.7.4 Predicted environmental concentrations in air (PEC_{air})

The fate and behaviour of acetamiprid in air was evaluated during the EU review. No additional studies were conducted. As the vapour pressure of acetamiprid is very low ($< 10^{-5}$ Pa at 20°C), it is regarded as non-volatile. Its volatilisation from plant and soil surfaces is regarded to be very low. Additionally, it is rapidly degraded in air ($DT_{50} = 0.14$ days). Therefore, long-range transport in the atmosphere is not considered relevant.

3.8 Ecotoxicology (Part B, Section 9)

3.8.1 Effects on terrestrial vertebrates

Birds:

The acute and long-term risks of ADM.00150.I.2.A (containing 200 g/L acetamiprid) to birds were assessed from toxicity exposure ratios between toxicity endpoints, estimated from studies with acetamiprid, and maximum residues occurring on food items following applications according to the proposed use pattern.

The TER values for all uses, calculated for recommended scenarios, exceed the trigger values of 10 for acute risk and 5 for long-term risk, with the exception of the reproductive scenario small insectivorous bird “tit” in apple (1×80 g/ha), indicating that the risk to birds is acceptable following use of ADM.00150.I.2.A according to the proposed use pattern. The scenario small insectivorous bird “tit” was addressed in weight of evidence, presenting PT values for tits in orchards, demonstrating a low risk to representatives of this diet group.

The risk of secondary poisoning is not relevant.

The risk from drinking water was assessed demonstrating that the risk to birds is acceptable.

Mammals:

The acute and long-term risks of ADM.00150.I.2.A (containing 200 g/L acetamiprid) to mammals were assessed from toxicity exposure ratios between toxicity endpoints, estimated from studies with acetamiprid, and maximum residues occurring on food items following applications according to the proposed use pattern.

The acute TER values for all uses, calculated for recommended scenarios, exceed the trigger values of 10 for acute risk indicating an acceptable risk to mammals following use of ADM.00150.I.2.A according to the proposed use pattern.

Considering the long-term risk, several uses showed a potential risk in the tier 1 risk assessment to the small and the large herbivorous mammal and the frugivorous mammal. The long-term risks to small and large herbivorous mammals and frugivorous mammals were addressed in higher tier risk assessments.

After refinement of the deposition factor (DF), refined RUD values for food items based on published

literature, refinement of the diet and refinement of DT₅₀ with substance-specific residue decline data for monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants, a low risk to mammals could be demonstrated.

The risk of secondary poisoning is not relevant.

The risk from drinking water was assessed demonstrating that the risk to mammals is acceptable.

3.8.2 Effects on aquatic species

The standard and refined risk assessment provided for the insecticidal product ADM.00150.I.2.A, the active substance acetamiprid and its major metabolites demonstrate that the application of ADM.00150.I.2.A as intended in the GAP according to good agricultural practice is of low risk to aquatic ecosystems if certain mitigation measures are considered:

| Umbrella GAP number | Intended uses | Use No: | Mitigating measures* D3, D4, R1 scenarios relevant for Poland |
|----------------------------|---|----------------|---|
| IIa | Apple, BBCH 71-PHI, 1 x 80 g a.s./ha, late (covering 1 x 60 ga.s./ha) | 11 | - 15 m DBZ <u>or</u> - 10 m DBZ +50% DRN <u>or</u> - 90% DRN |
| IIb | Apple, BBCH 62-PHI, 2 x 25 g a.s./ha (8 days interval), early* | 12 | - 15 m DBR <u>or</u> - 10 m DBZ + 50% DRN <u>or</u> - 75% DRN |
| IIb | Apple, BBCH 71-PHI, 2 x 25 g a.s./ha (8 days interval), late | 12 | - 10 m DBZ <u>or</u> - 50% DRN |
| Va | Winter cereals, BBCH 40 – 69, 1-2 x 36 g a.s./ha (10 days interval) | 39 | - No buffer zone |
| Vb | Winter cereals, 12 – 29, 1 x 30 g a.s./ha | 38 | - No buffer zone |
| VIa | Winter oilseed rape, BBCH 31 – 71, 1-2 x 60 g a.s./ha (7 days interval) | 50, 51 | - 10 m DBZ plus 10 m VFS |
| VIIa | Spring oilseed rape, BBCH 31 – 69, 1-2 x 60 g a.s./ha (7 days interval), BBCH 50-59, 1-2 x 60 g a.s./ha (7 days interval) | 69, 70 | - 10 m DBZ +10 m VFS |
| 80 | Sugar beet BBCH 12-39, 1 x 0.25 L/ha | 80 | - No buffer zone |
| 19 | Potato, BBCH 12-79, 1 x 0.18 L/ha | 19 | - No buffer zone |

DBZ: drift buffer zone; DRN: drift reducing nozzles; VFS: vegetated filter strip; VFS_{mod}: vegetated filter strip modified

* Mitigation reductions based on the EoP approach are also included

3.8.3 Effects on bees

The risk assessment performed in line with SANCO/1039/2002 demonstrated acceptable risk to bees following application of ADM.00150.I.2.A to all crops intended in Poland.

However, as acetamiprid is an insecticide with the specific mode of action, evaluation of the chronic risk to adult bees and bee larvae was also deemed necessary. In absence of the chronic and larvae risk assessment scheme, the zRMS concluded that the risk assessment as provided in EFSA (2013) will be most relevant to cover the risk to all bee stages and all exposure patterns, even though the guidance is not noted yet at the EU level.

Evaluation based on indications of EFSA (2013) demonstrated acceptable acute and chronic risk to adult bees and larvae exposed following intended uses of cereals, maize and in potatoes.

For apples acceptable acute and chronic risk could be concluded for applications performed after flowering (from BBCH 70 onwards) for all routes of exposure, while for application carried out at BBCH 62-69 unacceptable chronic risk was concluded for adult bees and larvae exposed in the treated crop scenario. For oilseed rape acceptable risk could be concluded for weeds, field margin, adjacent crop and next crop scenarios, but unacceptable risk was concluded for chronic risk was concluded for adult bees and larvae exposed in the treated crop scenario.

Available higher tier studies (tunnel, semi-field and field trials) were sufficient to demonstrate acceptable risk to bees from application of ADM.00150.I.2.A to flowering oilseed rape, provided that application is carried out in the evening, after the bee flight. For OSR at BBCH 61-71 only one application during flowering, applied in the evening after bee flight is possible.

Field studies were not sufficient to address the risk to bees following application of ADM.00150.I.2.A to flowering apples and for this reason the intended uses in this crop are restricted to the post-flowering period (BBCH 70-PHI).

3.8.4 Effects on other arthropod species other than bees

Regarding non-target arthropods in in-field habitats, the available data from aged residue studies clearly demonstrate that recovery within an ecologically relevant timeframe can be expected, especially as the available field study demonstrates that recolonization from the off-field is not impaired.

Regarding non-target arthropods in off-field habitats, the data from the available field study show that no unacceptable risks are to be expected when ADM.00150.I.2.A is applied according to good agricultural practice, except for the intended application in pomefruit (1 x 60 g a.s./ha and 2 x 25 g a.s./ha).

The risk to off-field non-target arthropods based on the field study with NOEAER=3.4 g a.s./ha is acceptable following use of ADM.00150.I.2.A in pome fruit (1 x 60 g a.s./ha), provided when the following risk mitigation measures are applied:

- 10 m or
- 5 m+50% DRN or
- 75% DRN

The risk to off-field non-target arthropods is acceptable following use of ADM.00150.I.2.A in pome fruit (2 x 25 g a.s./ha), provided the following risk mitigation measures are applied:

- 5 m buffer or
- 50% DRN

3.8.5 Effects on soil organisms

Effects on non-target soil meso- and macrofauna

In a one year field study, no effects occurred at tested rates up to 2 x 60 g a.s./ha, indicating that the risk to soil meso- and macrofauna is acceptable following the use of ADM.00150.I.2.A according to the proposed use patterns. CZ22IEMABSD500A

Effects on soil microbial activity

The risk of ADM.00150.I.2.A to soil microorganisms was evaluated by comparison of the maximum concentrations with effects <25% derived from laboratory tests, with maximum PEC_{soil}. For metabolite IM-1-5 the evaluation was performed with consideration of the maximum agreed accumulated PEC_{soil} and assumption that metabolite is 10 times more toxic for the parent.

No effects > 25% occurred at tested rates exceeding the relevant PEC_{soil} values, indicating that the risk to soil microorganisms is acceptable following the use of ADM.00150.I.2.A according to the proposed use patterns. Risk from metabolites IM-1-2, IM-1-4 and IC-0 is considered to be covered by evaluation performed for the parent.

3.8.6 Effects on non-target terrestrial plants

The application of ADM.00150.I.2.A according to the proposed use pattern will pose an acceptable risk to non-target terrestrial plants.

3.8.7 Effects on other terrestrial organisms (Flora and Fauna)

Not relevant.

3.9 Relevance of metabolites (Part B, Section 10)

Not relevant.

4 Conclusion of the national comparative assessment (Art. 50 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009)

Acetamiprid is not a candidate for substitution.

5 Further information to permit a decision to be made or to support a review of the conditions and restrictions associated with the authorization

Efficacy:

The following claimed uses have not been accepted to be registered on the ground of article 33 of regulation 1107/2009:

1. Spring barley (HORVS): aphids (1APHIF)

Justification: insufficient number of efficacy trials (2 trials from Poland, 1 trial from Czech Republic, 1 trial from Germany)

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/2 seasons

2. Spring wheat (TRZAS): aphids (1APHIF)

Justification: insufficient number of efficacy trials (3 trials from Czech Republic)

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

3. Spring oat (AVESP): aphids (1APHIF)

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirement: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

4. Spring triticale (TTL SO): aphids (1APHIF)

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirement: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

5. Spring barley (HORVS): aphids virus vectors

Justification: insufficient number of efficacy trials (2 trials from Poland, 1 trial from Czech Republic, 1 trial from Germany). No assessment of BYDV infection. According to the EPPO guideline PP 1/70(4) *Aphid vectors of Barley yellow dwarf virus*, two types of assessment should be included: assessment of the aphid vectors and assessment of BYDV infection.

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

6. Spring wheat (TRZAS): aphids virus vectors

Justification: insufficient number of efficacy trials (3 trials from Czech Republic). No assessment of BYDV infection. According to the EPPO guideline PP 1/70(4) *Aphid vectors of Barley yellow dwarf virus*, two types of assessment should be included: assessment of the aphid vectors and assessment of BYDV infection.

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

7. Spring oat (AVESP): aphids virus vectors

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

8. Spring triticale (TTL SO): aphids virus vectors

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

9. Winter barley (HORVW): aphids (1APHIF)

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

10. Winter rye (SECCW): aphids (1APHIF)

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

11. Winter triticale (TTLWI): aphids virus vectors

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

12. Winter rye (SECCW): aphids virus vectors

Justification: no efficacy trials

National registration requirements: min. 6 efficacy trials/ 2 seasons

Additional remarks:

1. National registration requirements are based on the updated harmonization arrangements, updated extrapolation table (update of 15.09.2023), including additional note regarding required trials for extrapolated crops: “The higher number of trials required refers to plant protection products containing a new active substance, a new mixture of previously unused active substances, a new use”.
2. For these uses for which extrapolation is not possible:
 - The requirement to submit a minimum of 6 efficacy trials in major crops and 2-3 efficacy trials for known uses or 4 trials for new uses in minor crop
 - Trials from 2 crop seasons are required, while it is possible to reduce the number of seasons to 1 – only if the expert accepts the substantive argumentation of such a decision presented by the applicant, supported by more than the required minimum number of trials.
3. Location of required trials: North-East EPPO climatic zone (including Poland) or countries neighbouring Poland (Czech Republic, Germany, Slovakia). In case of new uses/ new active substance/ new mixture of known active substances, submission of efficacy trials from the NE zone (in addition to trials from neighbouring countries) is obligatory. Minimum 2-3 efficacy trials from the NE zone should be presented.

Metabolism and residues:

Noticed data gaps are:

~~— lack of a Letter of Access to study of Williams M., 1999; Study No 98514428 & Williams M., 1999; Report No RD-09988;~~

~~Information on ongoing negotiations were provided by the applicant. For the time being, this is considered to be sufficient. The LoA needs to be provided to MSs in the frame of the product authorisation procedure.~~

- additional supervised residue trials analysing simultaneously for acetamiprid and N-desmethyl-acetamiprid (IM-2-1), supporting intended use on apples;

According to the „Statement on the toxicological properties and maximum residue levels of acetamiprid and its metabolites” for the consumer risk assessment it is recommended to require additional supervised residue trials analysing simultaneously for acetamiprid and N-desmethyl-acetamiprid (IM-2-1), supporting intended use on apples.

As far as consumer health protection is concerned, zRMS agrees with the authorization of the intended uses, except for apples ~~and oilseed rape in application rate 2 x 60 g as/ha.~~

Remark:

The current assessment of residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo shows that the in force MRL of acetamiprid on honey of 0.05* mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2019/88 **and 2025/158**) is potentially exceeded.

It should be noted that the new Commission Regulation (EU) 2025/1212 of 24 June 2025 has already been published in the Official Journal of the European Union. This Regulation shall enter into force on 20 August 2025. The MRL for honey has been raised from 0.05* mg/kg to 0.3 mg/kg. The current assessment of

residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo shows that all of the intended uses on oilseed rape are in compliance with the MRL of 0.3 mg/kg.

For products with melliferous capacity (oilseed rape in application rate 2 x 60 g as/ha and apples) no authorisation is proposed until MRL for honey is raised.

For lower dose for oilseed rape (max 1 x 40 – 48 g as/ha) the in force MRL of acetamiprid on honey of 0.05* mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2019/88 and 2025/158) will not be exceeded and this use can be accepted.

However EFSA concluded in Statement on the toxicological properties and maximum residue levels of acetamiprid and its metabolites (EFSA Journal. 2024;22:e8759) that „Furthermore, for plums (0.04 mg/kg), poppy seeds (0.3 mg/kg), mustard seed (0.15 mg/kg) and **honey (0.3 mg/kg)**, it was concluded that risk for consumers was still unlikely for the new MRLs proposed in SANTE/11278/2021. **For these crops, risk managers can therefore implement the MRLs proposed in SANTE/11278/2021.**” Subsequently, at the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed Section Phytopharmaceuticals – Pesticide Residues 17 – 18 February 2025, a new proposal received a favourable outcome in the vote and raises the MRL for honey to 0.3 mg/kg from 0.05* mg/kg. This voted proposal (PLAN/2024/2431) is now displayed in the European Commission MRL database and is expected to enter into force in July/August 2025.

The current assessment of residues in honey based on the proposed GAPs for ADM.00150.I.2.A / Leaxo shows that all of the intended uses would be in compliance with the MRL of 0.3 mg/kg.

According to the harmonization arrangements of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of 14 May 2025 regarding the requirement for honey, the use of Leaxo on oilseed rape at a higher dose of 2 x 60 g as/ha can be accepted under the following condition:

"In view of the ongoing process of establishing MRL values for acetamiprid in honey and the application submitted by the authorisation holder to increase the MRL to 0.3 mg/kg, in accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, it will be necessary to verify the assessment of the Leaxo dossier in this regard for the uses covered by this decision after the entry into force of the new MRL. Failure to submit the relevant information or failure to evaluate it positively may result in a change to the conditions of this authorisation."

Appendix 1 Copy of the product authorization

Appendix 2 Copy of the product label

Komentarz oceniających:

Etykieta została sprawdzona w zakresie fizykochemii, metod analitycznych, toksykologii i istotności toksykologicznej metabolitów, pozostałości, losu i zachowania, ekotoksykologii oraz skuteczności. Zmiany wynikające z oceny wprowadzono do poniższej etykiety w widoczny sposób, poprzez zaznaczenie ich szarym podświetleniem tekstu (fragmenty dodane) lub ~~przekreśleniem i jasno-szarą czcionką~~ (fragmenty usunięte).

Sekcja właściwości fizykochemiczne:

1. Środek nie wykazuje właściwości wybuchowych i utleniających, znakowanie środka wynikające z wyżej wymienionych właściwości fizykochemicznych zgodnie z zapisami Rozporządzenia Parlamentu Europejskiego i Rady (WE) NR 1272/2008 z dnia 16 grudnia 2008 r. nie jest wymagane.
2. Okres ważności: 3 lata na podstawie zaakceptowanych 3-letnich badań stabilności środka ochrony roślin przechowywanego w opakowaniach wykonanych z HDPE. W związku z powyższym, wszystkie opakowania wymienione, w punktach 2.1 dokumentu A i 4.1 Sekcji 1,2,4 można uznać za odpowiednie do celów transportu i magazynowania środka ochrony roślin.
3. Warunki przechowywania i bezpiecznego usuwania środka ochrony roślin i opakowania oraz sporządzania cieczy użytkowej: Na podstawie wyników badania stabilności przechowywania środka w temperaturze 0°C środek nie może być przechowywany w temperaturze $\leq 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ i nie powinien być zamrażany.
Zalecenia do etykiety:
Warunki przechowywania: Nie dopuszczać do zamrażania.
Zalecana temperatura przechowywania: Nie zamrażać. Trzymać w temperaturze pomiędzy 5 a 25 °C.
4. Brak uwag do zapisu nazwy substancji czynnej i jej zawartości (gęstość: $d = 1.1361 \text{ g/cm}^3$ zgodnie z punktem 2.6.1. Sekcji 1,2,4).
5. Zgodnie z informacjami zawartymi w punktach IIIA 2.9.1 i IIIA 2.9.2 Sekcji 1,2,4 Raportu Rejestracyjnego środek może być stosowany łącznie ze środkami: CORAGEN (Chlorantraniliprole 200 g/L SC), POLISOLFURO DI CALCIO POLISENIO (Polisolfuro di calcio 380 g/L AL), SWITCH (Cyprodinil 37,5 g + Fludioxonil 25 g WG).

Sekcja skuteczność:

1. Jabłoni: mszyca jabłoniowa – na podstawie 11 badań wykonanych w Polsce, w których środek stosowany był 1 raz w sezonie zaakceptowana liczba zabiegów dla tego zastosowania wynosi 1 zamiast 1-2. Zmiana liczby zabiegów została wprowadzona w etykiecie środka. ~~maksymalną liczbę zabiegów 2 na podstawie 4 badań wykonanych w Czechach, w których środek stosowany był 2 razy w sezonie i przedstawione zostały wyniki skuteczności środka także po 2 zabiegu. W badaniach wykonanych w Polsce, środek stosowany był 1 raz w sezonie. Zmieniono także zapis dotyczący liczby zabiegów z 2 na 1 2 – zgodnie z przeprowadzonymi badaniami, podczas których środek stosowany był 1 lub 2 razy, oraz zgodnie z wnioskowaną liczbą zabiegów w tabeli GAP.~~
2. Jabłoni: dopisano gatunek mszycy tj. mszyca jabłoniowa – na podstawie badań skuteczności.
3. Jabłoni: mszyca jabłoniowa – zawężono zakres BBCH tj. BBC 62-79, zgodnie z przedłożonymi badaniami.
4. Jabłoni: owocówka jabłkoweczka – zawężono zakres BBCH tj. BBC 71-79, zgodnie z przedłożonymi badaniami oraz wprowadzono uwagę o średnim poziomie skuteczności środka.
5. Dla zastosowania środka w jabłoni dopisano informację na temat przeliczenia dawki środka wyrażonej w L/10000 m² LWA na dawkę potrzebną na 1 ha uprawy (L/ha).
6. Zboża jare: zastosowanie zostało usunięte z uwagi na niewystarczającą liczbę badań potwierdzających skuteczność środka w tym zakresie.
7. Zboża ozime: z uwagi na rozbieżności w ocenie, rozdzielono zastosowanie środka do zwalczania mszyc i wektorów wirusa w zbożach ozimych.
8. Zboża ozime: zawężono fazę rozwojową w terminie zabiegu w zbożach ozimych (zwalczanie mszyc). W przedłożonych badaniach środek testowano w fazie BBCH 61-75. Z uwagi na to, że górna krańcowa wnioskowana faza rozwojowa mieści się w tym przedziale, korekcie uległa tylko dolna krańcowa faza. Ostatecznie zaakceptowano zakres BBCH 61-69. Zgodnie z aktualnymi ustaleniami harmonizacyjnymi, w raporcie rejestracyjnym należy precyzyjnie wskazać, w oparciu o przedstawione wyniki badań, w jakich fazach rozwojowych rośliny chronionej potwierdzona jest skuteczność środka.
9. Zboża ozime: zmieniono zapis dotyczący liczby zabiegów przeciwko mszycom z 2 na 1 ~~2~~, zgodnie z ~~wnioskowaną liczbą zabiegów w GAP~~ liczbą zabiegów prowadzonych w przedłożonych badaniach.
10. Rzepak ozimy i rzepak jary: Ograniczono liczbę zabiegów w sezonie do 1, zgodnie z liczbą zabiegów przeprowadzonych w przedłożonych badaniach. ~~wprowadzono zapisy informujące jednoznacznie o maksymalnej liczbie zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym, zgodnie z zapisem w tabeli GAP.~~

11. Rzepak ozimy: zmieniono dawkę środka w zabiegu jesiennym przeciwko pchełce rzepakowej, zgodnie z wynikiem oceny. Wprowadzono uwagę o średnim poziomie skuteczności środka przeciwko wymienionemu szkodnikowi.
12. Rzepak jary: wykreślono zastosowanie przeciwko chowaczowi brukwiaczkowi i pryszczarkowi kapustnikowi, z uwagi na brak badań (n=0) w formie jarej. Zaproponowano rejestrację w trybie Art. 51.
13. Burak cukrowy: zaakceptowano zastosowanie środka w zwalczaniu gatunków mszyc: mszyca brzoskwiowo-ziemniaczana, mszyca burakowa. W badaniach przeprowadzonych w buraku cukrowym testowano skuteczność działania środka w zwalczaniu dwóch pierwszych wskazanych w projekcie etykiety gatunków mszyc: mszyca brzoskwiowo-ziemniaczana i mszyca burakowa. Są to gatunki, które najczęściej występują w uprawie buraka cukrowego w Polsce. Z uwagi na rzadkie występowanie mszyca ziemniaczana w buraku cukrowym w Polsce, oraz brak badań przeprowadzonych dla mszyca ziemniaczana w buraku cukrowym, wykreślono powyższy gatunek z etykiety środka.
14. W związku z aktualizacją tabeli GAP ze stycznia 2024 następujące zmiany zostały zaakceptowane:
 - burak cukrowy: mszyca brzoskwiowo-ziemniaczana, mszyca burakowa: zmiana liczby zabiegów z 2 na 1,
 - jabłoń: owocówka jabłkóweczka: zmiana dawki z 0,4 na 0,3 L/ha (0,25 L/10000 m² LWA na 0,1875 L/10000 m² LWA)
15. Zmodyfikowano układ i (nieznacznie) treść akapitu „Zalecenia antyodpornościowe”.

Sekcja metody analityczne:

1. Brak uwag.

Sekcja toksykologia i istotność toksykologiczna metabolitów:

1. W części dotyczącej klasyfikacji zagrożeń odpowiednie zwroty określające zagrożenia, zwroty wskazujące środki ostrożności oraz piktogramy GHS zostały dostosowane do wymagań klasyfikacji wynikającej z ATP18 oraz szacowania zagrożeń (metoda zliczeniowa oraz badania in vitro).
2. W części dotyczącej środków ostrożności dla osób stosujących środek ochrony roślin opowiedni zwrot dostosowano zgodnie z wymaganiami harmonizacyjnymi (Toksykologia; Min Rol i RW wer. 25.09.2023)
3. W części dotyczącej środków ostrożności dla osób stosujących środek ochrony roślin dodano nowy zwrot dla operatorów stosujących ręczne opryskiwacze.

Sekcja pozostałości:

1. Zastosowanie środka w ochronie jabłoni nie zostało zaakceptowane ze względu na przekroczenia wartości ostrej dawki referencyjnej ARfD w ocenie ryzyka dla konsumenta. Dodatkowo, zgodnie z nowym Rozporządzeniem Reg. (EU) 2025/158 obowiązującym od 19 sierpnia 2025 oraz Reg. (EU) 2025/1212 obowiązującym od 20 sierpnia 2025, wartości NDP dla acetamiprydu dla jabłoni zostały obniżone. Ocena w zakresie pozostałości wskazuje na możliwość przekroczenia wartości NDP dla jabłoni.
UWAGA: Dla lepszej czytelności wprowadzonych zmian przez inne sekcje, zastosowania te nie zostały wykreślone w tej etykietce.
2. Ocena w zakresie pozostałości wskazuje na możliwość przekroczenia wartości NDP dla miodu wynoszącą 0,05 mg/kg (zgodnie z obecnie obowiązującym Rozporządzeniem Reg. (EU) 2019/88 i nowym Reg (EU) 2025/158) dla zastosowania środka w ochronie roślin miododajnych, tj. rzepaku.
Od 20 sierpnia 2025 wejdzie w życie nowe rozporządzenie dotyczące NDP dla acetamiprydu, w którym wartość NDP dla miodu została podwyższona do wartości 0,3 mg/kg i która nie powinna zostać przekroczona po zastosowaniu środka w ochronie roślin miododajnych. Zatem zastosowanie środka w ochronie rzepaku jest zaakceptowane. (w dawce 2 x 60 g s.cz./ha) i jabłoni. Zastosowanie te nie zostały zaakceptowane. Powinny zostać wykreślone z etykiety. Nie mniej jednak w bazie Komisji Europejskiej opublikowano projekt rozporządzenia PLAN/2024/2431, który zakłada podniesienie wartości NDP w miodzie do poziomu 0,3 mg/kg. Planowany termin wejścia w życie projektu PLAN/2024/2431 ma prawdopodobnie pokrywać się dokładnie z datą wejścia w życie rozporządzenia 2025/158. Wnioskodawca przedstawił badania, które wykazują brak przekroczeń wartości 0,3 mg/kg w miodzie. W tej sytuacji, zgodnie z ustaleniami harmonizacyjnymi z dnia 14 maja 2025 r. należy zaakceptować zastosowanie środka Leaxo w ochronie rzepaku w wyższej dawce (2 x 60 g s.cz./ha) i nałożyć warunek odnoszący się do konieczności ponownej weryfikacji po publikacji nowej wartości NDP.
Natomiast Zastosowanie środka w ochronie rzepaku w niższej dawce, tj. pojedyncze zastosowanie 40–48 g s.cz./ha jest do zaakceptowania, ze względu na brak przekroczeń wartości NDP dla miodu.
UWAGA: Dla lepszej czytelności wprowadzonych zmian przez inne sekcje, zastosowania te nie zostały wykreślone w tej etykietce.
3. Nie ma ograniczeń co do okresu od ostatniego zastosowania środka do dnia, w którym można siać lub sadzić rośliny uprawiane następnie.

Sekcja los i zachowanie w środowisku:

1. Ze względu na ochronę wód podziemnych dodano zwrot wskazujący możliwość stosowania środka ADM.00150.I.2.A oraz innych środków zawierających acetamipryd w buraku cukrowym dla fazy BBCH 12-39 w dawce 0,25 l/ha na tym samym polu najwcześniej za dwa lata (zwrot SPe 1)

Sekcja ekotoksykologia:

1. Zmieniono zarządzanie dla organizmów wodnych, pszczoł oraz stawonogów niebędących celem zwalczania.
2. Ocena w zakresie ekotoksykologii wykazała, że środek w uprawie jabłoni w dawce 1-2 x 0,125 l/ha może być stosowany od **fazy BBCH 70, poza okresem kwitnienia.**

Załącznik do zezwolenia MRiRW nr R-.../2025 z dnia2025 r.

Posiadacz zezwolenia:

ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o., ul. Sienna 39, 00-121 Warszawa, tel.: +48 22 395 66 60, infolinia: +48 22 395 66 66, e-mail: biuro@adama.com, www.adama.com

Podmiot odpowiedzialny za końcowe pakowanie i etykietowanie:

.....

LEAXO 200 SL

Środek przeznaczony do stosowania przez użytkowników profesjonalnych

Zawartość substancji czynnej:

acetamipryd (związek z grupy neonikotynoidów) 200 g/l (17,6%)

Zezwolenie MRiRW nr R - .../2025 z dnia2025 r.



Uwaga

| | |
|-------------|--|
| H302 | Działa szkodliwie po połknięciu. |
| H361d | Podejrzewa się, że działa szkodliwie na dziecko w łonie matki. |
| H319 | Działa drażniąco na oczy. |
| H410 | Działa bardzo toksycznie na organizmy wodne, powodując długotrwałe skutki. |
| EUH401 | W celu uniknięcia zagrożeń dla zdrowia ludzi i środowiska, należy postępować zgodnie z instrukcją użycia. |
| P270 | Nie jeść, nie pic i nie palić podczas używania produktu. |
| P201 | Przed użyciem zapoznać się ze specjalnymi środkami ostrożności. |
| P280 | Stosować rękawice ochronne/ochronę oczu/ochronę twarzy. |
| P264 | Dokładnie umyć ręce po użyciu. |
| P301 + P312 | W PRZYPADKU POŁKNIECIA: W przypadku złego samopoczucia skontaktować się z OŚRODKIEM ZATRUĆ/ lekarzem/... |
| P308 + P313 | W przypadku narażenia lub styczości: Zasięgnąć porady/zgłosić się pod opiekę lekarza. |
| P337 + P313 | W przypadku utrzymywania się działania drażniącego na oczy: Zasięgnąć porady/zgłosić się pod opiekę lekarza. |
| P391 | Zebrać wyciek. |

OPIS DZIAŁANIA

INSEKTYCYD w formie koncentratu rozpuszczalnego w wodzie (SL), o działaniu kontaktowym i żołądkowym. Na roślinie działa powierzchniowo, wgłębnie i układowo.

Zgodnie z klasyfikacją IRAC substancja czynna acetamipryd zaliczana jest do grupy neonikotynoidów (grupa 4A).

STOSOWANIE ŚRODKA

Środek przeznaczony do stosowania przy użyciu samobieżnych lub ciągnikowych opryskiwaczy sadowniczych lub polowych.

Jabłoń

Owocówka jabłkowieczka

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 0,4 l/ha.

(0,25 0,1875 l na 10000 m² opryskiwanej powierzchni ściany liści – LWA)

Termin zabiegu: Zabieg wykonać w okresie intensywnego lotu motyli i składania jaj, począwszy od fazy gdy powstały po przekwitnięciu owoc osiąga wielkość do 10 mm do końca fazy rozwoju owoców gdy owoc osiąga 90% typowej wielkości (BBCH 71-79) aż do początku okresu karencji, najpóźniej 14 dni przed zbiorem owoców.

Liczba zabiegów: 1.

Zalecana ilość wody: 500-900 l/ha.

Uwaga: Środek wykazuje średni poziom skuteczności w ochronie przed owocówką jabłkowieczką

Mszyca jabłoniowa

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,125 l/ha.

(0,078 l na 10000 m² opryskiwanej powierzchni ściany liści – LWA)

Termin zabiegu: Środek stosować od początku okresu kwitnienia, gdy jest otwartych jest około 20% kwiatów do końca fazy rozwoju owoców gdy owoc osiąga 90% typowej wielkości (BBCH 70 -62-79) do początku okresu karencji, tj. najpóźniej 14 dni przed zbiorem owoców.

Liczba zabiegów: 1-2

Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 8 dni.

Zalecana ilość wody: 500-900 l/ha.

Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w uprawie jabłoni w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 2 Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 8 dni

Uwaga:

Zalecana dawka środka odnosi się do faktycznie chronionego obiektu: opryskiwanej powierzchni ściany liści (tLWA). Powierzchnię tLWA należy obliczyć zgodnie z poniższym wzorem:

$tLWA [m^2/ha] = \text{wysokość korony drzew [m]} \times 2 \times 10000 [m^2/ha] / \text{rozstawa rzędów [m]}$

Dawkę środka potrzebną na 1 ha uprawy (L/ha) w celu sporządzenia cieczy użytkowej należy obliczyć dla określonej plantacji zgodnie z poniższym wzorem:

$\text{Dawka środka [L/ha]} = \text{zalecana dawka środka na powierzchnię ściany liści [L/10000 m}^2 \text{ tLWA]} \times \text{tLWA [m}^2\text{/ha]} / 10000 [m^2\text{/ha]}$

Niedopuszczalne jest przekraczanie maksymalnej zalecanej dawki środka wyrażonej w L/ha, nawet w sytuacji, gdy aktualna powierzchnia ściany liści (tLWA) może wskazywać na potrzebę zastosowania wyższej dawki.

Ziemniak

stonka ziemniaczana (larwy i chrząszcze), mszyca brzoskwiowo-ziemniaczana, mszyca ziemniaczana

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,18 l/ha

Termin stosowania:

zabieg wykonać w momencie składania jaj i masowego wylęgu larw, od fazy gdy drugi liść właściwy jest rozwinięty na pędzie głównym (min. 4 cm) do końca fazy rozwoju owoców (BBCH 12-79).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha Zalecane

opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1

~~Jęczmień jary, pszenica jara, owies jary, pszenżyto jare~~

~~Mszyce~~

~~Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,175 l/ha~~

~~Termin stosowania:~~

~~Środek stosować od fazy grubienia pochwy liściowej liścia flagowego do końca fazy kwitnienia (BBCH 40-69).~~

~~Liczba zabiegów: 2~~

~~Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 10 dni~~

~~Mszyce jako wektory wirusów~~

~~Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,175 l/ha~~

~~Termin stosowania:~~

~~Pierwszy zabieg wykonać między początkiem fazy 2 liścia, a końcem fazy krzewienia (BBCH 12-29).~~

~~Drugi zabieg wykonać między fazą grubienia pochwy liściowej liścia flagowego, a końcem fazy kwitnienia (BBCH 40-69).~~

~~Liczba zabiegów: 2~~

~~Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 30 dni~~

~~Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 2~~

~~Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha Zalecane~~

~~opryskiwanie: średniokropliste~~

~~Jęczmień ozimy, **Pszenica ozima, pszenżyto ozime, żyto ozime**~~

~~Mszyce~~

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,18 l/ha

Termin stosowania:

Środek stosować wiosną, w momencie nalotu szkodnika na plantację lub z chwilą pojawienia się szkodnika od początku fazy kwitnienia (widoczne pierwsze pylniki) ~~fazy grubienia pochwy liściowej liścia flagowego~~ do końca fazy kwitnienia, gdy wszystkie kłoski zakończyły kwitnienie i widoczne są zaschnięte pylniki (BBCH ~~40-61~~ 61-69).

Liczba zabiegów: ~~1~~ 2

Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 10 dni

Jęczmień ozimy, pszenica ozima

Mszyce jako wektory wirusów

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,15 l/ha

Termin stosowania:

Środek stosować jesienią, od początku fazy 2 liścia aż do końca fazy krzewienia (BBCH 12-29).
Liczba zabiegów: 1

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha
Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste
~~Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 2~~

Rzepak ozimy *ślodyszek rzepakowy*

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 l/ha
Liczba zabiegów: ~~1-2~~ **1**
~~Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 7 dni~~

Termin stosowania:

Stosować po wystąpieniu chrząszczy na plantacji, zgodnie z sygnalizacją. Zabieg wykonać od fazy, gdy pąki kwiatowe zamknięte są w liściach do fazy „żółtego pąka”, gdy widoczne są pierwsze płatki, a pąki kwiatowe są nadal zamknięte (BBCH 50-59).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha Zalecane
opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

pryszczarek kapustnik, chowacz podobnik

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 l/ha
Liczba zabiegów: ~~1-2~~ **1**
~~Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 7 dni~~

Termin stosowania:

Zabieg wykonać od początku fazy kwitnienia, gdy jest co najmniej 10% otwartych kwiatów na głównym kwiatostanie (faza początku kwitnienia) do początku fazy rozwoju owoców, gdy 10% łuszczyń osiągnęło typową wielkość (BBCH 61-71).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha
Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

chowacz brukwiaczek, chowacz czterozębny

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 l/ha
Liczba zabiegów: ~~1-2~~ **1**
~~Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 7 dni~~

Termin stosowania:

Zabieg wykonać od fazy, gdy widoczne jest pierwsze międzywęźle do fazy „żółtego pąka”, gdy widoczne są pierwsze płatki, a pąki kwiatowe są nadal zamknięte (BBCH 31-59).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha
Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

~~**UWAGA:** Liczby zabiegów przeciwko następującym szkodnikom: *chowacz brukwiaczek* i *chowacz czterozębny*, *ślodyszek rzepakowy* oraz *pryszczarek kapustnik* i *chowacz podobnik*, możliwych do wykonania we wskazanych fazach rozwojowych rośliny łącznie od BBCH 31 do BBCH 71, nie sumują się w sezonie wegetacyjnym. Maksymalna dopuszczalna łączna liczba zabiegów przeciwko tym szkodnikom w rzepaku ozimym wynosi 2.~~

Pchełka rzepakowa

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: ~~0,3~~ **0,24** l/ha
Liczba zabiegów: 1
Termin stosowania:
Zabieg wykonać od fazy 1 liścia, do fazy 9 lub więcej liści (BBCH 11-19).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha

Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

Uwaga: Środek wykazuje średni poziom skuteczności w ochronie przed pchełką rzepakową

Rzepak jary

ślodyszek rzepakowy

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 l/ha

Liczba zabiegów: 1-2 **1**

Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 7 dni

Termin stosowania:

Stosować po wystąpieniu chrząszczy na plantacji, zgodnie z sygnalizacją. Zabieg wykonać od fazy, gdy pąki kwiatowe zamknięte są w liściach do fazy „żółtego pąka”, gdy widoczne są pierwsze płatki, a pąki kwiatowe są nadal zamknięte (BBCH 50-59).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

pryszczarek kapustnik, chowacz podobnik

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 l/ha

Liczba zabiegów: 1-2 **1**

Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 7 dni

Termin stosowania:

Zabieg wykonać od początku fazy kwitnienia, gdy jest co najmniej 10% otwartych kwiatów na głównym kwiatostanie (faza początku kwitnienia) do początku fazy rozwoju owoców, gdy 10% łuszczyń osiągnęło typową wielkość (BBCH 61-71).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha

Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

UWAGA: Liczby zabiegów przeciwko następującym szkodnikom: *ślodyszek rzepakowy* oraz *pryszczarek kapustnik* i *chowacz podobnik*, możliwych do wykonania w fazach rozwojowych rośliny łącznie od BBCH 50 do BBCH 71, nie sumują się w sezonie wegetacyjnym. Maksymalna dopuszczalna łączna liczba zabiegów przeciwko tym szkodnikom w rzepaku jarym wynosi **2**.

Burak cukrowy

Mszyca brzoskwiowo-ziemniaczana, mszyca burakowa, ~~mszyca ziemniaczana~~

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,25 l/ha

Liczba zabiegów: **1** ~~2~~ nie częściej niż co dwa lata dla fazy BBCH 12-39

Odstęp między zabiegami: co najmniej 7 dni

Termin stosowania: środek zastosować po wystąpieniu pierwszych kolonii mszyc od fazy 2 liści do fazy gdy liście zakrywają 90 % powierzchni gleby (całkowite zakrycie międzyrzędzi) (BBCH 12-39).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha

Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste.

UWAGA! Po zastosowaniu w buraku cukrowym w celu ochrony wód podziemnych ten środek oraz inne środki zawierające acetamipryd można zastosować na tym samym polu najwcześniej za dwa lata.

STOSOWANIE ŚRODKA OCHRONY ROŚLIN W UPRAWACH I ZASTOSOWANIACH MAŁOObszarowych

Odpowiedzialność za skuteczność działania i fitotoksyczność środka ochrony roślin stosowanego w uprawach małoobszarowych ponosi wyłącznie jego użytkownik

Rzepak jary

pryszczarek kapustnik, chowacz podobnik

Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 0,3 l/ha

Liczba zabiegów: 1

Termin stosowania:

Zabieg wykonać od początku fazy kwitnienia, gdy jest co najmniej 10% otwartych kwiatów na głównym kwiatostanie (faza początku kwitnienia) do początku fazy rozwoju owoców, gdy 10% łuszczyń osiągnęło typową wielkość (BBCH 61-71).

Zalecana ilość wody: 200-400 l/ha

Zalecane opryskiwanie: średniokropliste

ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI, OKRESY KARENCJI I SZCZEGÓLNE WARUNKI STOSOWANIA, ZALECENIA ANTYODPORNOŚCIOWE

Okres od ostatniego zastosowania środka do dnia zbioru rośliny uprawnej (okres karencji):

Jabłoń – 14 dni.

Ziemniak – 7 dni.

Zboża -nie dotyczy

Rzepak ozimy i jary – 28 dni

Burak cukrowy – 35 dni

1. Podczas stosowania środka nie dopuścić do:

- znoszenia cieczy użytkowej na sąsiednie rośliny uprawne,
- nakładania się cieczy użytkowej na stykach pasów zabiegowych i uwrociach.

~~W celu zminimalizowania ryzyka uodporniania się zwalczanych szkodników na acetamipryd w przypadku konieczności powtórzenia zabiegu zamiast środka zawierającego acetamipryd (grupa IRAC 4A) zaleca się stosować insektycyd zawierający substancję czynną z innej grupy chemicznej, o innym mechanizmie działania wg klasyfikacji IRAC.~~

2. Termin zabiegu wyznaczyć za pomocą dostępnych metod sygnalizacji pojawu szkodników.

3. Opryskiwanie przeciwko szkodnikom (zwłaszcza ssącym) wykonać dokładnie, pokrywając wszystkie części roślin cieczą użytkową.

4. W celu zminimalizowania ryzyka uodporniania się zwalczanych szkodników na acetamipryd, w przypadku konieczności powtórzenia zabiegu, lub wykonania więcej niż 2 zabiegów w zastosowaniach gdzie 2 zabiegi środkiem Leaxo są dopuszczalne, zamiast środka zawierającego acetamipryd (grupa IRAC 4A) zaleca się stosować do kolejnego zabiegu insektycyd zawierający substancję czynną z innej grupy chemicznej, o innym mechanizmie działania wg klasyfikacji IRAC.

SPORZĄDZANIE CIECZY UŻYTKOWEJ

Przed przystąpieniem do sporządzania cieczy użytkowej dokładnie ustalić potrzebną jej ilość. Odmierzoną ilość środka wlać bezpośrednio do zbiornika opryskiwacza napełnionego częściowo wodą (z włączonym mieszadłem). Opróżnione opakowania przepłukać trzykrotnie wodą, a popłuczyny wlać do zbiornika opryskiwacza z cieczą użytkową. Następnie zbiornik opryskiwacza uzupełnić wodą do wymaganej ilości nadal dokładnie mieszając. Opryskiwać z włączonym mieszadłem. Po wleciu środka do zbiornika opryskiwacza niewyposażonego w mieszadło hydrauliczne, ciecz w zbiorniku mechanicznie wymieszać. Ciecz użytkową sporządzić bezpośrednio przed użyciem.

W przypadku przerw w opryskiwaniu przed ponownym przystąpieniem do pracy dokładnie wymieszać ciecz użytkową w zbiorniku opryskiwacza.

POSTĘPOWANIE Z RESZTKAMI CIECZY UŻYTKOWEJ I MYCIE APARATURY

Resztki cieczy użytkowej należy:

- jeżeli jest to możliwe, po uprzednim rozcieńczeniu zużyć na powierzchni, na której przeprowadzono zabieg, lub
- unieszkodliwić z wykorzystaniem rozwiązań technicznych zapewniających biologiczną degradację

- substancji czynnych środków ochrony roślin, lub
- unieszkodliwić w inny sposób, zgodny z przepisami o odpadach.

Po pracy aparaturę dokładnie wymyć.

Z wodą użytą do mycia aparatury postąpić tak, jak z resztkami cieczy użytkowej, stosując te same środki ochrony osobistej.

ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI DLA OSÓB STOSUJĄCYCH ŚRODEK, PRACOWNIKÓW ORAZ OSÓB POSTRONNYCH

Przed zastosowaniem środka należy poinformować o tym fakcie wszystkie zainteresowane strony, które mogą być narażone na znoszenie cieczy użytkowej i które zwróciły się o taką informację.

Nie jeść, nie pić ani nie palić podczas używania produktu.

~~Stosować rękawice ochronne i odzież roboczą w trakcie przygotowywania cieczy użytkowej oraz w trakcie wykonywania zabiegu.~~

Stosować rękawice ochronne, ochronę oczu i twarzy oraz odzież **roboczą** (kombinezon), w trakcie przygotowywania cieczy użytkowej oraz w trakcie wykonywania zabiegu

Podczas wykonywania zabiegu ręcznym opryskiwaczem:

stosować rękawice ochronne, ochronę oczu i twarzy, maskę przeciw pyłową (FFP2 lub podobna) oraz odzież roboczą (kombinezon), w trakcie przygotowywania cieczy użytkowej oraz w trakcie wykonywania zabiegu.

Okres od zastosowania środka do dnia, w którym na obszar, na którym zastosowano środek mogą wejść ludzie oraz zostać wprowadzone zwierzęta (okres prewencji):
nie wchodzić do czasu całkowitego wyschnięcia cieczy użytkowej na powierzchni roślin.

ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI ZWIĄZANE Z OCHRONĄ ŚRODOWISKA NATURALNEGO

~~W celu ochrony wód podziemnych nie stosować tego ani żadnego innego produktu zawierającego acetamipryd częściej niż co dwa lata, tak by maksymalna skumulowana dawka acetamiprydu ze wszystkich stosowanych środków z tą substancją nie przekroczyła łącznie 50 g na hektar raz na 2 lata.~~

Nie zanieczyszczać wód środkiem ochrony roślin lub jego opakowaniem. Nie myć aparatury w pobliżu wód powierzchniowych. Unikać zanieczyszczania wód poprzez rowy odwadniające z gospodarstw i dróg.

W czasie kwitnienia roślin uprawnych zaleca się stosowanie środka poza okresami aktywności pszczoł.

Jabłoń

W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych dla jednorazowej dawki 0,3 l/ha konieczne jest wyznaczenie od zbiorników i cieków wodnych strefy ochronnej o szerokości:

- 15 metrów lub
- 10 metrów z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50% lub
- zastosowanie technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej o 90%

W celu ochrony stawonogów niebędących celem działania środka dla dawki 0,3 l/ha konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej od terenów nieużytkowanych rolniczo o szerokości:

- 10 m lub
- 5 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%, lub
- **zastosowanie technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 75%.**

W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych dla dawki 1-2 x 0,125 l/ha, **od fazy 70-79 BBCH**, konieczne jest wyznaczenie od zbiorników i cieków wodnych strefy ochronnej o szerokości:

- 10 metrów lub
- Zastosowanie technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej o 50%.

W celu ochrony stawonogów niebędących celem działania środka dla dawki 1-2 x 0,125 l/ha konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej od terenów nieużytkowanych rolniczo o szerokości:

- 5 m lub
- zastosowanie technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%.

Środek w dawce 1-2 x 0,125 l/ha może być stosowany od fazy BBCH 70, poza kwitnieniem, ze względu na ochronę pszczół i innych owadów zapylających.

Rzepak ozimy i jary

W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie zadarnionej strefy ochronnej o szerokości 10 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych.

W celu ochrony pszczół środek ochrony roślin dla dawki 1-2 x 0,3 l/ha, BBCH 61-71, można stosować jednokrotnie w czasie kwitnienia wieczorem, po zakończeniu oblotu przez pszczoły i inne owady zapylające.

Burak cukrowy

Po zastosowaniu w buraku cukrowym w celu ochrony wód podziemnych ten środek oraz inne środki zawierające acetamipryd można stosować na tym samym polu najwcześniej za dwa lata, tak by maksymalna skumulowana dawka acetamiprydu ze wszystkich stosowanych środków z tą substancją nie przekroczyła łącznie 50 g na hektar raz na 2 lata.

~~W czasie kwitnienia roślin uprawnych zaleca się stosowanie środka poza okresami aktywności pszczół.~~

Jabłoń

~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie od zbiorników i cieków wodnych strefy ochronnej o szerokości:~~

- ~~— 20 m zadarnionej, przy zastosowaniu jednokrotnej dawki 0,4 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 10 m zadarnionej z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%, przy zastosowaniu jednokrotnej dawki 0,4 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 15 m zadarnionej, przy zastosowaniu dwukrotnej dawki 0,125 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 10 m zadarnionej z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%, przy zastosowaniu dwukrotnej dawki 0,125 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 1 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 75%, przy zastosowaniu dwukrotnej dawki 0,125 l/ha~~

~~W celu ochrony roślin oraz stawonogów niebędących celem działania środka konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej od terenów nieużytkowanych rolniczo o szerokości:~~

- ~~— 15 m, przy zastosowaniu jednokrotnej dawki 0,4 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 10 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%, przy zastosowaniu jednokrotnej dawki 0,4 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 5 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 75%, przy zastosowaniu jednokrotnej dawki 0,4 l/ha lub~~
- ~~— 1 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 90%, przy zastosowaniu jednokrotnej dawki 0,4 l/ha~~
- ~~— 5 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50% przy zastosowaniu dwukrotnej dawki 0,125 l/ha lub~~

— 10 m przy zastosowaniu dwukrotnej dawki 0,125 l/ha

Zboża

~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy zadarnionej ochronnej o szerokości 10 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych.~~

Rzepak ozimy i jary

~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie zadarnionej strefy ochronnej o szerokości 10 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych.~~

~~W celu ochrony roślin oraz stawonogów niebędących celem działania środka konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej o szerokości 5 m od terenów nieużytkowanych rolniczo lub 1 m z równoczesnym zastosowaniem technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%.~~

Ziemniak, burak cukrowy

~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie zadarnionej strefy ochronnej o szerokości 3 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych.~~

WARUNKI PRZECHOWYWANIA I BEZPIECZNEGO USUWANIA ŚRODKA OCHRONY ROŚLIN I OPAKOWANIA

Chronić przed dziećmi.

Środek ochrony roślin przechowywać:

- w miejscach lub obiektach, w których zastosowano odpowiednie rozwiązania zabezpieczające przed skażeniem środowiska oraz dostępem osób trzecich,
- w oryginalnych opakowaniach, w sposób uniemożliwiający kontakt z żywnością, napojami lub paszą,
- w temperaturze 5°C - 25°C, **nie dopuszczać do zamrażania.**

Zabrania się wykorzystywania opróżnionych opakowań po środkach ochrony roślin do innych celów.

Niewykorzystany środek przekazać do podmiotu uprawnionego do odbierania odpadów niebezpiecznych.

Opróżnione opakowania po środku zwrócić do sprzedawcy środków ochrony roślin będących środkami niebezpiecznymi.

PIERWSZA POMOC

Antidotum: brak, stosować leczenie objawowe.

W razie konieczności zasięgnięcia porady lekarza, należy pokazać opakowanie lub etykietę.

Okres ważności – **3 lata** ~~2 lata~~

Data produkcji -

Zawartość netto -

Nr partii -

Appendix 3 Letter of Access

The Letter of Access are confidential and are provided separately.

Appendix 4 Lists of data considered for national authorization

List of data submitted by the applicant and relied on

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|------------|-------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 1.4.3/01 | Anonymous | 2020 | Safety Data Sheet – Safety Data Sheet according to Regulation (EC) No. 1907/2006 (REACH) Annex II Acetamiprid 200 SL ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd Beer Sheva, Israel Non-GLP Published | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.1/01 | Walter, D. | 2014a | Physical and chemical properties of MCW-2222 before and after accelerated storage at 40° C for 8 weeks Report No S13-03100 Sponsor's study No R-33406 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.1/02 | Walter, D. | 2015 | Physical and chemical properties of MCW-2222 over 2 years at 20°C Report No S13-03102 Sponsor's study No R-33408 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH / Eurofins Agrosience Services Ecotox GmbH, Niedern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------------------------------|------------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 2.1/03 | Walter, D. | 2016 | Physico-chemical properties of MCW-2222 over 3 years at 20 °C Report No S15-05766 Sponsor's study No R-36824 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH / Eurofins Agrosience Services Ecotox GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.2.1/01 | Krack, M. | 2013a | MCW-2222, Explosive Properties A.14. Report No 20130274.01 Sponsor's study No R-33398 SIEMENS, Prozess-Sicherheit, Frankfurt am Main, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.2.2/01 filed under Part C | Walter, D. | 2014b | Statement about Oxidizing Properties of MCW-2222 Report No S13-03094 Sponsor's study No R-33400 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany Non GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.3.1/01 | Walter, D. | 2014c | Flash Point of MCW-2222 Report No S13-03095 Sponsor's study No R-33401 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | | | | | authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 2.3.3/01 | Krack, M. | 2013b | MCW-2222, Auto-Ignition Temperature (Liquids and Gases) A.15. Report No 20130274.02 Sponsor's study No R-33399 SIEMENS, Prozess-Sicherheit, Frankfurt am Main, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.4.2/01 | Walter, D. | 2014a | Sponsor's study No R-33406 Filed under KCP 2.1/01 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.4.2/02 | Hemm, C. | 2022 | Statement on S13-03100 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.4.2/03 | Walter, D. | 2015 | Sponsor's study No R-33408 Filed under KCP 2.1/02 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|------------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 2.4.2/04 | Koch, A. | 2017 | Statement on S13-03102 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.4.2/05 | Walter, D. | 2016 | Sponsor's study No R-36824 Filed under KCP 2.1/03 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.5.1/01 | Walter, D. | 2014d | Viscosity of MCW-2222 Report No S13-03096 Sponsor's study No R-33402 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 2.5.2/01 | Walter, D. | 2014e | Surface tension of MCW-2222 Report No. S13-03097 Sponsor's study No R-33403 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.6.2/01 | Walter, D. | 2014f | Relative Density of MCW-2222 Report No S13-03098 Sponsor's study No R-33404 Eurofins Agrosience Services, EcoChem GmbH, Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.7.2/01 | Walter, D., | 2014a | Sponsor's study No R-33406 Filed under KCP 2.1/01 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.7.4/01 | Deierling, T. & Herrmann, S. | 2014 | Determination of the Low Temperature Stability of MCW-2222 Report No 91841204 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Sponsor's study No R-34771 IBACON GmbH, Rossdorf, Germany GLP Unpublished | | | support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 2.7.5/01 | Walter, D. | 2015 | Sponsor's study No R-33408 Filed under KCP 2.1/02 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.7.5/02 | Walter, D. | 2016 | Sponsor's study No R-36824 Filed under KCP 2.1/03 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.8.2/01 | Tsesin, N. | 2018 | Persistent foam test of formulation product Acetamiprid 200 SL (MCW-2222) Report No 40400.029FL Sponsor's study No R-40400 Registration Laboratory, Research and Development Division, Adama | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|------------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Makhteshim Ltd., Beer-Sheva, Isreal GLP Unpublished | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 2.8.2/02 | Walter, D. | 2014a | Sponsor's study No R-33406 Filed under KCP 2.1/01 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.8.2/03 | Walter, D. | 2015 | Sponsor's study No R-33408 Filed under KCP 2.1/02 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.8.2/04 | Walter, D. | 2016 | Sponsor's study No R-36824 Filed under KCP 2.1/03 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | | | | | authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 2.8.4/01 | Walter, D. | 2014a | Sponsor's study No R-33406 Filed under KCP 2.1/01 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.8.4/02 | Walter, D. | 2015 | Sponsor's study No R-33408 Filed under KCP 2.1/02 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.8.4/03 | Walter, D. | 2016 | Sponsor's study No R-36824 Filed under KCP 2.1/03 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R- | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | | | | | | 106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 2.9.1/01 | Thomas, H. | 2022 | Evaluation of the Physical and Chemical Compatibility of Tank Mixtures of ADM.00150.I.2.A Report No 22 35 CRX 0007 Sponsor's study No 000110777 BioChem agrar, Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH Machern OT Gerichshain, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.9.1/02 | Abohezira, L. | 2022 | CoA for Batch no. 41190054 ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd. Be'er Sheva, Israel Non-GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.9.2/01 | Thomas, H. | 2022 | Sponsor's study No 000110777 Filed under KCP 2.9.1/01 | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 2.9.2/02 | Abohezira, L. | 2022 | CoA for Batch no. 41190054 Filed under KCP 2.9.1/02 | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 4.4/01 | Anonymous | 2015 | Packaging specification 1 L Mobilak no brand bottle | N | N | | Mobilak |
| KCP 4.4/02 | Anonymous | 2021 | Packaging specification 1 L Mobilak branded bottle | N | N | | Mobilak |
| KCP 4.4/03 | Anonymous | 2019 | Packaging specification 1 L Pachmas no brand bottle | N | N | | Pachmas packaging Ltd. |
| KCP 4.4/04 | Anonymous | 2022 | Packaging specification 1 L Pachmas branded bottle | N | N | | Pachmas packaging Ltd. |
| KCP 4.4/05 | Anonymous | 2020 | Packaging specification 1 L Reyde Bottle | N | N | | Reyde S.A. |
| KCP 4.4/06 | Anonymous | 2019 | Packaging specification 5 L Reyde Jerrycan | N | N | | Reyde S.A. |
| KCP 4.4/07 | Anonymous | 2017 | Packaging specification 5 L Pachmas Jerrycan | N | N | | Pachmas packaging Ltd. |
| KCP 4.4/08 | Anonymous | 2018 | Packaging specification 5 L Mobilak Jerrycan | N | N | | Mobilak |
| KCP 4.4/09 | Anonymous | 2019 | Packaging specification 10 L Reyde Jerrycan | N | N | | Reyde S.A. |
| KCP 4.4/10 | Anonymous | 2023 | Packaging specification 10 L Pachmas Jerrycan | N | N | | Pachmas packaging Ltd. |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------|
| KCP 4.4/11 | Anonymous | 2020 | Packaging specification 10 L Mobilak Jerrycan | N | N | | Mobilak |
| KCP 5.1.1/01 | Walter, D. | 2014 | Development and validation of an analytical method for the determination of acetamiprid in MCW-2222 Report No . S13-03099 Eurofins Agrosience Services, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/01 | Barbier, G. | 2018 | Freezing storage stability of acetamiprid in wheat (grain) at/below -18°C during 15 months (0 and 15 months) Report No. B17G-A4-A-02 Fredon Pays de la Loire / GIRPA GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/02 | Chevallier, E. | 2014 | Magnitude of residue of acetamiprid in barley (RAC) after two applications of MCW-2222- four decline curve trials and four harvest trials in northern Europe (Northern France, Poland, Germany, Hungary and Austria) – 2014 Report No. 14SGS034 SGS AGRI MIN, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/03 | Chevallier, E. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residue of acetamiprid in wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after two applications of MCW-2222 – four decline | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | curve trials and four harvest trials in Northern Europe (Northern France, Poland, Germany, Hungary and Austria) – 2014 Report No. 14SGS033 SGS AGRI MIN, France GLP Unpublished | | | support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 5.1.2/04 | Henkes, K. | 2017 | Residues of acetamiprid in foliage-dwelling arthropods and ground vegetation after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in a pome fruit orchard in Italy – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline Report No. R1640039 RIFCON GmbH, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/05 | Mayer, O. | 2018 | Semi-field brood study to evaluate potential effects of MCW-2222 on brood development of honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) Report No. R1640035 RIFCON GmbH, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/06 | Lefresne, S. | 2014 | Validation of the analytical method for the determination of residues of acetamiprid in plant matrices: Dry bean (seed and straw), mandarin (peel, pulp and whole fruit), oilseed rape (pod, seed, whole plant and whole fruit without pod), olive (oil and whole fruit) and orange (peel, pulp and whole fruit). | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|-----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Report No. B13-M1-A-01 GIRPA, France GLP Unpublished | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 5.1.2/07 | Lang, A. | 2014 | Validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues of Acetamiprid in 4 different plant commodities (head cabbage, apple fruits, potato tubers and peach fruits) Report No. 13M06017-01-VMPL CIP, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/08 | Méric, D. | 2013 | Magnitude of residues of acetamiprid in apples (RAC), following one or two applications of MCW-2222, in two trials (1 DCS + 1 HS) North-ern Europe (Northern France) – 2013 Report No. DMC-13-16134 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/09 | Roussel, Ch. H. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in apple (RAC fruits and pro-cessed fractions), following one or two applications of MCW-2222 in six trials (3 DCS + 3 HS), Northern Europe (Northern France, Germany, Poland and Belgium) – 2014 Report No. ChR-14-17311 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|-----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 5.1.2/10 | Lebrun, F. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residue of acetamiprid in maize (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of MCW-2222 – four semi decline curve trials and four decline curve trials in Northern Europe (Northern France, Poland, Germany, Hungary and Austria) – 2014 Report No. 14SGS039 SGS AGRI MIN, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/11 | Roussel, Ch. H. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in sugar beet (RAC whole plants, roots and leaves+tops), following two applications of Acetamiprid 200 SL in three trials (two HS + one DCS) – Northern Europe (Po-land and Hungary) – 2020 Report No. SPK-20-46380 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/13 | Roussel, Ch. H. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid, after application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in sugar beet in Northern Europe – 2021 Report No. ChR-21-48246 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/14 | Domingo S. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid, after application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in indoor cucumber in Southern Europe – 2021 Report No. SDO-21-48624 STAPHYT, Spain GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/15 | Grall, E. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in plum (RAC fruits), follow-ing one application of ACETAMIPRID 200 SL in four trials | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|-------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | (two HS + two DCS) - Southern Europe (Spain, Greece and Italy) – 2020 Report No. EGL-20-46374 STAPHYT, Spain GLP Unpublished | | | | |
| KCP 5.1.2/16 | Méric, D. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in peaches (rac fruits), following two applications of mcw-2222 in three trials (1 dcs + 2 hs), southern europe (southern france and italy) – 2013 Report No. DMC-13-16126 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/17 | Schrag K. | 2022 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid in Honey Report No. 21A14030-01-VMHN CIP, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/18 | Boileau, G. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid after application of ACETAMIPRID 200 SL in honey of phacelia in Northern and Southern Europe – 2021-2022 Report No. GBU-21-48185 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/19 | ██████ | 2013 | ACETAMIPRID 200 SL – Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study (Nose-only) in the Rat Report No. 12/445-004P ██████ GLP Unpublished | Y | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | | | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 5.1.2/20 | Wilson, A. | 2016 | Foliar dislodgeable residues dissipation on pome fruit in Southern and Northern Europe (Spain, Italy and Czech Republic), 2016 Report No. ACI16-010 AgroChemex International Ltd., UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/21 | Staffel, J. | 2021 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in Spain – magnitude of residues and time course of residue de-cline. Report No. R2040056 RIFCON GmbH GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/22 | Staffel, J. | 2021 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in Germany – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline Report No. R2040057 RIFCON GmbH GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/23 | Staffel, J. | 2022 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in Spring in Germany – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Report No. R2040059 RIFCON GmbH GLP Unpublished | | | | |
| KCP 5.1.2/24 | Gräf, K. | 2022 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in Northern Europe – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline Report No. R2040060 RIFCON GmbH GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/25 | Schulz, L. | 2022 | Effects of Acetamiprid 200 SL on Collembola under field conditions Report No. 21 48 FCM 0002 BioChem agrar, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/26 | ██████ | 2014 | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to the rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss in a 96-hour static test Report No. 141048005 W ██████ GLP Unpublished | Y | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/27 | Juckeland, D. | 2014 | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to Daphnia magna in a 48-hour static test Report No. 141048006 W BioChemAgrar, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R- | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|----------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | 106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 5.1.2/28 | Juckeland, D. | 2015 | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to <i>Chironomus riparius</i> in a 48-hour static test Study No. 141048057W BioChemAgrar, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/29 | Taylor, S. and Joyce | 2015 | Acetamiprid 200 SL – Acute toxicity to aquatic organisms Report No. CEA.1510 (XCE2008) Smithers Viscient (ESG) Ltd, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/30 | Juckeland, D. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on <i>Desmodesmus subspicatus</i> in an algal growth inhibition test Study No. 141048007 W BioChemAgrar, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| KCP 5.1.2/31 | Hennecke, N. | 2020 | Validation of the analytical methods for water and sediment Report No. ADM-026/6-22 Fraunhofer IME, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/32 | Kleebaum, K. | 2015 | Chronic toxicity of MCW-2222 to the honeybee larvae <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. under laboratory conditions (in vitro) Report No. 141048078 B BioChem agrar, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/33 | Molitor, C. | 2015 | Field Study to Evaluate Potential Side Effects of the product MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/L) on Brood Development, Foraging Activity, Mortality and Behaviour of Adult Honeybees <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Following Application after Bee-Flight on <i>Phacelia tanacetifolia</i> Report No. 215-2014 TESTAPI, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/34 | Molitor, C. | 2015 | Field Study to Evaluate Potential Side Effects of MCW-2222 on Brood Development, Foraging Activity, Mortality and Behaviour of Adult Honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) on Oilseed Rape Report No. 230-2015 TESTAPI, France GLP Unpublished Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R- | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|-------------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | 106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 5.1.2/35 | Aucejo, S. | 2015 | Effects and Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid 200 SL on the Honeybee (<i>Apis mellifera L.</i>) Brood in Citrus, under Field Conditions, in Spain 2015. Study No. 307SRE15C02 SynTECH research center, Spain GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/36 | Friedrich, S. | 2014 | Terrestrial plant test with MCW-2222: Vegetative vigour test Report No. 14 10 48 002 P BioChem agrar, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.1.2/37 | Hengsberger, A. and Wydra, V. | 2015 | IC-0: Acute Toxicity to Larvae of Chironomus riparius in a Static 48-hour Immobilisation Limit-Test Report No. 102461251 Ibacon GmbH, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| KCP 5.2/01 | Brown, S. | 2022 | Independent laboratory validation of analytical method B13-M1-A-01 (Sponsor code R-33645) for determination of Acetamiprid in food of plant origin Report No. RES-00418 ResChem Analytical Limited, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/02 | Brown, S. | 2022 | Independent laboratory validation of analytical method 13M06017-01-VMPL (Sponsor code R-33644) for determination of Acetamiprid in food of plant origin. Report No. RES-00419 ResChem Analytical Limited, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/03 | Lefresne, S. | 2014 | Comparison of the extraction efficiency of two solvents used in the analytical methods for the determination of acetamiprid residues in various plant matrices (dry, acid, water and oily) Report No. B14S-M1-A-01 FREDON Pays de la Loire / GIRPA GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/04 | Lefresne, S. | 2014 | Amendment No. 1 to study: Comparison of the extraction efficiency of two sol-vents used in the analytical methods for the determination of acetamiprid residues in various plant matrices (dry, acid, water and oily) Report No. B14S-M1-A-01 FREDON Pays de la Loire / GIRPA GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 5.2/05 | Schrag K. | 2022 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid in Honey Report No. 21A14030-01-VMHN CIP, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/ 06 | Brown, S. | 2022 | Independent laboratory validation of analytical method 21A14030-01-VMHN (Adama study No. 000107274) for residues of acetamiprid in honey. Report No. RES-00415 ResChem Analytical Limited, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/ 07 | Brown, S. | 2022 | Validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues of acetamiprid in body fluids (blood) by LC-MS/MS Report No. RES-00416 ResChem Analytical Limited, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/ 08 | Watson, G. | 2025 | Validation of an analytical method for residues of acetamiprid metabolites IM-2-1 and IC-0 in body tissues Report No. RES-00539 ResChem Analytical Limited, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 5.2/ 09 | Watson, G. | 2025 | Validation of an analytical method for residues of acetamiprid metabolites IM-2-1 and IC-0 in body fluids Report No. RES-00538 ResChem Analytical Limited, UK GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.0/01 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.0/02 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier: Efficacy Data and Information; Appendices 2 and 3 Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.0/03 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier: Efficacy Data and Information; Appendix 4.1 Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.0/04 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier: Efficacy Data and Information; Appendix 4.2 Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.0/05 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier: Efficacy Data and Information; Appendices 4.3 - 4.6 Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.0/06 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier: Efficacy Data and Information; Appendices 5 - 8 Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.0/07 | Anonymous | 2023 | Biological Assessment Dossier: Efficacy Data and Information; Appendix 9 Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/001 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 SL on aphids and apple sawfly in apple in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IEMABSD028A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/002 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 SL on aphids and apple sawfly in apple in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IEMABSD028B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/003 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/004 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/005 | Kloutvorová, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/006 | Kloutvorová, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/007 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/008 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/009 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/010 | Kloutvorová, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/011 | Tvaruzek, L. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. Zemedelsky vyzkumny ustav Kromeriz, s.r.o., Havlickova, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/012 | Tvaruzek, L. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. Zemedelsky vyzkumny ustav Kromeriz, s.r.o., Havlickova, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/013 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/014 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/015 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/016 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/017 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/018 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/019 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/020 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/021 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/022 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/023 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/024 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/025 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/026 | Hornik, P. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/027 | Hornik, P. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/028 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Olawa, Poland Report no. 11MAP0004-1 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/029 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0004-2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/030 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0005-1 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/031 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0005-2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/032 | Gajek, D. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL in the control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi on apple, Poland 2012 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. 072_01_F12_134 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/033 | Gajek, D. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL in the control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi on apple, Poland 2012 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. 072_02_F12_135 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/034 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/035 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/036 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/037 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/038 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids (and other insects) in apple trees in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/039 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids (and other insects) in apple trees in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/040 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids (and other insects) in apple trees in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/041 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids (and other insects) in apple tree in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/042 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Aphis pomi and other pests in case of their occurrence in apple orchard, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IEMABSD109A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/043 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Aphis pomi and other pests in case of their occurrence in apple orchard, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IEMABSD109B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/044 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Aphis pomi and other pests in case of their occurrence in apple orchard, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IEMABSD109C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/045 | Richter, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/046 | Bauer, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o., Uhersky Ostroh, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/047 | Felczak, K. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi in apple orchard, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEMABSD127A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/048 | Felczak, K. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi in apple orchard, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEMABSD127B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/049 | Lindemann, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals, Germany 2015 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE15IENNNGW320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/050 | Barasits, T. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth in apple in Hungary in 2013 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEMABSD631A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/051 | Liposits, V. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth in apple in Hungary in 2013 Government Office of County Zala, Zalaegerszeg, Hungary Report no. HU13IEMABSD631B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/052 | Hargitai, C. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids in apple in Hungary in 2013 Government Office of Somogy County, Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU13IEMABSD632A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/053 | Liposits, V. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Carpocapsa pomonella in apple in Hungary 2014 Government Office of County Zala, Zalaegerszeg, Hungary Report no. HU14IEMABSD012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/054 | Sumedrea, M. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 in control of Eriosoma lanigerum, compared with local standard ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. MCW 2222 ERISLA GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/055 | Sumedrea, M. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 against San Jose scale Quadraspidiotus perniciosus ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. MCW 2222 QUADPE GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/056 | Coman, M. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on wooly aphid Eriosoma lanigerum (RIFG Pitesti, Romania, 2013) ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO13IEMABSD002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/057 | Coman, M. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on apple codling moth Cydia pomonella (RIFG Pitesti, Romania, 2013) ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO13IEMABSD003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/058 | Hornik, P. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in the Czech Republic in 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD538A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/059 | Bauer, T. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against <i>Cydia pomonella</i> in the Czech Republic in 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o., Uhersky Ostroh, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD538B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/060 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on green aphid <i>Aphis pomi</i> ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD046A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/061 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on apple wooly aphid <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> – Location 1 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD047A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/062 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on apple wooly aphid <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> – Location 2 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD047B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/063 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale – <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> – Location 1 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/064 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale – <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> – Location 2 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/065 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale— <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> —Location 3 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/066 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale— <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> —Location 4 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/067 | Sumedrea, M. | 2015 | MCW 2222 efficacy in control of woolly aphid— <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> RIFG Pitesti—Maracineni, Romania, 2015 Location 1 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO15IEYPOME013A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/068 | Sumedrea, M. | 2015 | MCW 2222 efficacy in control of woolly aphid— <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> RIFG Pitesti—Maracineni, Romania, 2015 Location 2 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO15IEYPOME013B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/069 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids on apple - Slovakia - Valice 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/070 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids on apple - Slovakia - Camovec 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/071 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against codling moth on apple - Slovakia, Valice 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/072 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against codling moth on apple - Slovakia, Camovce 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/073 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/074 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Eriosoma lanigerum in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/075 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Eriosoma lanigerum in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/076 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Eriosoma lanigerum in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD002C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/077 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on QUADPE, Scales in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/078 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on QUADPE, Scales in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD003B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/079 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on QUADPE, Scales in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD003C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/080 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/081 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in Čamovce-Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/082 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on American blight in apple in Valice-Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/083 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on American blight in apple in Čamovce-Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/084 | Skalský, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in the Czech Republic in 2022 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEMABSD500A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/085 | Barasits, T. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn in Hungary 2013 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEZEAMX131A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/086 | István, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn in Hungary 2013 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEZEAMX131B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/087 | Gabi, G. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn in Hungary 2013 Government Office of Tolna County, Szekszárd, Hungary Report no. HU13IEZEAMX131C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/088 | Blaskó, D. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn in Hungary in 2014 ANADIAG Hungary Kft., Komárom, Hungary Report no. HU14IEZEAMX001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/089 | Hoffmanné, P.Z. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn in Hungary in 2014 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU14IEZEAMX001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/090 | Varga, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in corn in Hungary in 2015 SynTech Research Hungary Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEZEAMX102A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/091 | Magyar, B. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in corn in Hungary in 2015 Fructika Kft, Tiszakanyár, Hungary Report no. HU15IEZEAMX102B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/092 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in maize, 1 site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Services SRL, Timisoara, Romania Report no. RO14IEZEAMX043A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/093 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in maize, 1 site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Services SRL, Timisoara, Romania Report no. RO14IEZEAMX043B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/094 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in maize, 1 site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Services SRL, Timisoara, Romania Report no. RO14IEZEAMX043C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/095 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in Corn, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEZEAMX031A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/096 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in Corn, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEZEAMX031B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/097 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in Corn, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEZEAMX031C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/098 | Soltesz, J. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn, Slovakia 2014 Eyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEZEAMX001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/099 | Soltesz, J. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn, Slovakia 2014 Eyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEZEAMX001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/100 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEZEAMX001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/101 | Horník, P. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on colorado beetle in potato in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IESOLTU026A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/102 | Laštovičková, H. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in potato ZS Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IESOLTU026B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/103 | Heryán, J. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids and colorado beetle in potato Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IESOLTU026C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/104 | Horník, P. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado Beetle in Potato in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IESOLTU009A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/105 | Daňa, P. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado Beetle in Potato in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IESOLTU009B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/106 | Hruška, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on LPTNDE in potato, Czech Republic Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IESOLTU009C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/107 | Zickart, U. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2014 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE14IESOLTU320M GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/108 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE15IESOLTU320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/109 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE15IESOLTU320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/110 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE15IESOLTU320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/111 | Hornik, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in the Czech Republic in 2022. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEMABSD500B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/112 | Richter, T. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I formulations and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, the Czech Republic, 2022 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEMABSD524A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/113 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SI) for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/114 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/115 | Plawuszewski, M. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against colorado potato beetle in potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/116 | Plawuszewski, M. | 2013 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against Colorado potato beetle on potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/117 | Głowacki, G. | 2013 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/l) against green peach aphid (Myzus persicae), on potato. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU205B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/118 | Meronka, K. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL applied in the control of Colorado beetle Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/119 | Szemendera, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL applied in the control of Colorado beetle <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> in potato, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/120 | Kukula, A. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of pests on potato AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/121 | Kukula, A. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of pests on potato AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/122 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against Colorado potato beetle (<i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i>) in potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/123 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against Colorado potato beetle potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/124 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> on potato BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL14IESOLTU118G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/125 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against Colorado Beetle and/or Aphids in Potatoes, 5 Sites in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IESOLTU044A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/126 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against Colorado Beetle and/or Aphids in Potatoes, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IESOLTU044B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/127 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against Colorado Beetle and/or Aphids in Potatoes, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IESOLTU044C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/128 | Hermeziu, M. | 2014 | Report on biological evaluation of Product: MCW 2222 SL National Institute of Research and Development for Potato and Sugar Beet, Braşov, Romania Report no. RO14IESOLTU044D Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/129 | Hermeziu, M. | 2014 | Report on biological evaluation of Product: MCW 2222 SL National Institute of Research and Development for Potato and Sugar Beet, Braşov, Romania Report no. RO14IESOLTU044E Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/130 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against Colorado Potato Beetles in Potato, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timişoara, Romania Report no. RO15IESOLTU012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/131 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado Potato Beetles in Potato, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agrosience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IESOLTU012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/132 | Forgacova, L. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato, Slovakia 2013 Ing. L'ubica Forgáčová, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK13IESOLTU001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/133 | Soltesz, J. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato, Slovakia 2013 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK13IESOLTU001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/134 | Forgacova, L. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato Ing. Lubica Forgacova, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK14IESOLTU001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/135 | Forgacova, L. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato Ing. Lubica Forgacova, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK14IESOLTU001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/136 | Ceri, L. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IESOLTU001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/137 | Čáp, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/138 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEYCERE001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/139 | Rohr, J. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. FCS12-3111-E01 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/140 | Weiß, E. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals BioChem agrar GmbH, Goch-Nierswalde, Germany Report no. DE13IEYCERE320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/141 | Hetterich, A. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals Ingenieurbüro Andreas Hetterich, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE13IEYCERE320D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/142 | Franke, K. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on aphids in winter wheat - open field efficacy and selectivity study 2014 Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE14IEYCERE320L GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/143 | Lindemann, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Apids in cereals, Germany 2015 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE15IENNGW320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/144 | Martin, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on virus vector aphids in cereals autumn 2013 martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE13IEYCERE320H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/145 | Martin, T. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on virus vector Apids in cereals Germany autumn 2014 martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE14IEYCERE320N GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/146 | Roslupil, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/147 | Fialova, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in Cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Bystrice nad Pernštejnem, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/148 | Roslupil, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals, Czech Republic 2015 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEYCERE001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/149 | Subr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/150 | Zickart, U. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals BioChem agrar GmbH, Goch-Nierswalde, Germany Report no. DE14IENNGG320J GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/151 | Głowacki, G. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used singly against aphids on winter wheat Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IETRZAW013A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/152 | Głowacki, G. | 2015 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/l) against English grain aphid (Sitobion arvense) and apple bud aphid (Rhopalosiphum padi) on winter wheat Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IETRZAW013B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/153 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of aphids on winter triticales BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IETTLSS014A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/154 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of aphids on winter triticales BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IETTLSS014B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/155 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in spring barley, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEHORVS015A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/156 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in spring barley, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEHORVS015B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/157 | Georgescu, E. | 2012 | Evaluation of MCW 222 SL: vegetation treatment against aphids on wheat (NARDI Fundulea) Institute for R&D in Agriculture, Fundulea, Romania Report no. ROCL013002012 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/158 | Georgescu, E. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 on aphids in cereals Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Fundulea, Romania Report no. RO13IETRZAW003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/159 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/160 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/161 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/162 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals; 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/163 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals; 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/164 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals; 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/165 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals; 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/166 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222SL against aphids in Cereals; 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/167 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against Aphids in cereals; 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEYCERE011A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/168 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEYCERE011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/169 | Zöllner, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Germany In 2022 Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE22IEMABSD500A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/170 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Germany in 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEMABSD500B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/171 | Martin, T. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I formulations and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, Germany, 2022 Martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE22IEMABSD524A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/172 | Hakker, G. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I formulations and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, The Netherlands, 2022 Asperico bv, Enspijk, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEMABSD010A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/173 | Rose Gray, S. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, UK, 2022 Oxford Agricultural Trials Limited, Bicester, United Kingdom Report no. UK22IEMABSD601A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/174 | Rose-Gray, S. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, UK, 2022 Oxford Agricultural Trials Limited, Bicester, United Kingdom Report no. UK22IEMABSD601B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/175 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Poland in 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEMABSD240A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/176 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Poland in 2021 Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL21IEMABSD240B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/177 | Magyar, B. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi, Dysaphis plantaginea in apple in Hungary 2014 SGS Hungária Kft., Budapest, Hungary Report no. HU14IEMABSD011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/178 | Barasits, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/179 | Barasits, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/180 | Coman, M. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on San Jose scale <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> (RIFG Pitesti, Romania, 2013) ICDP, Pitesti Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO13IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/181 | Botoman, G. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.1.1.C for the control of (<i>Cydia pomonella</i>) in apple AgroProspect SRL, Brasov, Romania Report no. RO21IEMABSD233B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/182 | Cáp, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against biting insects on oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/183 | Subr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/184 | Cáp, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against pollen beetle on oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW006A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/185 | Spurova, R. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on MELIAE in oil seed rape. ZS Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW006B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/186 | Čáp, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 on Ceutorhynchus assimilis and Dasineura brassicae on oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW007A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/187 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceutorhynchus assimilis and Dasineura brassicae in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW007B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/188 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/189 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/190 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/191 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/192 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/193 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/194 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/195 | Jozefiak, D. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Slovakia in 2021 Berberis s.r.o., Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK21IEMABSD538A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/196 | Jozefiak, D. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Slovakia in 2021 Berberis s.r.o., Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK21IEMABSD538B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/197 | Rohr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceutorhynchus napi/quadridentis in winter oil seed rape Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/198 | Rohr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Meligethes aeneus in oil seed rape Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/199 | Zickart, U. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on brassica pod midge (DASYBR) in oil seed rape, Germany 2014 BioChem agrar GmbH, Goch-Nierswalde, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/200 | Rohr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on stem weevil in oil seed rape, Germany 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/201 | Rohr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on pollen beetle in oil seed rape, Germany 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/202 | Perner, J. | 2015 | Efficacy analysis of MCW-2222 SL against pollen beetles (Meligethes aeneus) in oil seed rape - Germany, 2015 U.A.S. GmbH, Jena, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/203 | von Hörsten, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on pollen beetle in oil seed rape Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/204 | von Hörsten, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on cabbage seed weevil and blossom beetle in oil seed rape Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320K GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/205 | Barou, J.-L. | 2012 | Evaluate the insecticidal action of MCW-2222 used against autumn aphids (Myzus persicae) on rape AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. E-1277 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/206 | Barou, J.-L. | 2012 | Evaluate the insecticidal action of MCW-2222 used against autumn aphids (Myzus persicae) on rape AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. E-1278 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/207 | Barou, J.-L. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 against aphids (Myzus persicae) on rape in France in 2013 AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. FR13IEBRSNN302C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/208 | Rivet, J.; Crepin, D. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 ESSAIS+, Boyelles, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/209 | Rivet, J.; Crepin, D. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 ESSAIS+, Boyelles, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/210 | Barou, J.-L. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/211 | Barou, J.-L. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/212 | Tuna, V. | 2022 | Determination of efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in corn against Ostrinia nubilalis in Romania in 2022 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L., Timiș, Romania Report no. RO22IEZEAMX282A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/213 | Jozefiak, D. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in corn against Ostrinia nubilalis in (Slovakia) in 2022 Berberis s.r.o., Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK22IEZEAMX501A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/214 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in the Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IESOLU536A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/215 | Hetterich, A. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato 2014 Ingenieurbüro Andreas Hetterich, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE14IESOLU320N GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/216 | Hetterich, A. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato 2014 Ingenieurbüro Andreas Hetterich, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE14IESOLTU320O GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/217 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Germany, 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IESOLTU536C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/218 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Germany, 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IESOLTU536D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/219 | Torkler, K. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Germany, 2022 QUINTUS GMBH, Hohen Wangelin OT Liepen, Germany Report no. DE22IESOLTU536E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/220 | De Vries, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in The Netherlands, 2022 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IESOLTU004B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/221 | De Vries, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in The Netherlands, 2022 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IESOLTU036C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/222 | Armstrong, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in UK, 2022 Armstrong Agriculture Ltd, Stamford, United Kingdom Report no. UK22IESOLTU600A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/223 | Gajek, D. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/224 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/225 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/226 | Kukuła, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/227 | Gajek, D. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/228 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/229 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadriens on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/230 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/L) against cabbage stem weevil (Ceutorhynchus napi) on the winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/231 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/l) used against cabbage seed weevil and cabbage seedstalk curculio in winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/232 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Meligethes aeneus on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/233 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Meligethes aeneus on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/234 | Pawlak, A. | 2014 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in oil seed rape Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/235 | Pawlak, A. | 2014 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in oil seed rape Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/236 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used singly against blossom beetle on winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/237 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/L) against blossom beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) on the winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/238 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Ceutorhynchus assimilis</i> and <i>Dasineura brassicae</i> on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/239 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Ceutorhynchus assimilis</i> and <i>Dasineura brassicae</i> on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/240 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and Brassica pod midge on winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/241 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and Brassica pod midge on winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/242 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/243 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/244 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/245 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus napi in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/246 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus napi in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/247 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW302A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/248 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus quadridens in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW302B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/249 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus quadridens in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW302C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/250 | Szemendera, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW - 2222 in the control of cabbage seed weevil Ceutorhynchus assimilis on winter oilseed rape, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW303A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/251 | Szemendera, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW - 2222 in the control of cabbage seed weevil Ceutorhynchus assimilis on winter oilseed rape, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW303B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/252 | Chermuła, Ł. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and Brassica pod midge on winter rape. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o. o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW304A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/253 | Chermuła, Ł. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and Brassica pod midge on winter rape. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o. o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW304B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/254 | Ferenc, S. | 2011 | Control on rape blossom beetle and cabbage seed weevil in oilseed rape by foliar spraying Government Office of Nógrád County, Balassagyarmat, Hungary Report no. Z 11/1/2011 Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/255 | Csaba, N. | 2011 | Control of common pollen beetle and cabbage seedpod weevil in oilseed rape Government Office of Somogy County, Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. Z 11/2/2011 Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/256 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/257 | Barasits, T. | 2011 | Efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 on insects of winter oilseed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. SRHU11-098-135IE GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/258 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/259 | Kukuła, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/260 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/261 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/262 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/263 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/264 | Barasits, T. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oilseed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEBRSNW431A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/265 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use AGRITEC, Research, Breeding & Services, Ltd., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEYCERW566B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/266 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2013 Government Office of Nógrád County, Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU13IEBRSNW431C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/267 | Hornik, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in the Czech Republic, 2022. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBEAVA538B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/268 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in the Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBEAVA539A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/269 | Hornik, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in the Czech Republic, 2022. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBEAVA539B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/270 | Zöllner, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Germany in 2022. Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA538A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/271 | Wönckhaus, S. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Aphis fabae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2022 Agrartest GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA701A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/272 | Lamers, K. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Myzus persicae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2022 BioChem agrar GmbH, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA701B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/273 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Aphis fabae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA702A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/274 | Zickart, U. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Germany in 2022 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA702B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/275 | De Vries, H. | 2020 | Efficacy trials for MCW-2222 to aphids in sugar beet Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEBEAVA023A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/276 | De Vries, H. | 2020 | Efficacy trials for MCW 2222 to aphids in sugar beet Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEBEAVA023B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/277 | Semaškienė, R., Almogdad, M. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (Aphis fabae) control in sugarbeets in Lithuania in 2020 Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry, Kėdainiai, Lithuania Report no. LT20IEBEAVA535A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/278 | István, F. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW011A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/279 | Ripka, G. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 Government Office of Nógrád County, Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/280 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/281 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/282 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW013A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/283 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW013B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/284 | István, F. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW101A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/285 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Nógrád County, Balassagyarmat, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW101B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/286 | István, F. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW102A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/287 | Hoffmanné, P.Z. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/288 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/289 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/290 | Riteez, J. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/291 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/292 | Hoffmanné, P.Z. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/293 | István, F. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/294 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Nógrád County, Balassagyarmat, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/295 | Čáp, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy to MAVRIK and further insecticides on pyrethroid-resistant pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) in the Czech Republic in 2016 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ16IEBRSNW005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/296 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against <i>Ceutorhynchus napi</i> , <i>quadridens</i> on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/297 | Daňa, P. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy to MAVRIK and further insecticides on pyrethroid-resistant pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) in the Czech Republic in 2016 Zemědělská ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ16IEBRSNW005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/298 | Subr, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy to MAVRIK and further insecticides on pyrethroid-resistant pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) in the Czech Republic in 2016 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ16IEBRSNW005C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/299 | Čáp, J. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ17IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/300 | Jozefiak, D. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTNA, CEUTQ in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 UKSUP, Košice, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/301 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceuta, Ceutq in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/302 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceuta, Ceutq in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/303 | Soltesz, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceuta, Ceutq in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/304 | Jozefiak, D. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on MELIAE in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 UKSUP, Košice, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/305 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Melia in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/306 | Jozefiak, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 UKSUP Bratislava branch office Kosice, Kosice, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/307 | Forgáčová, L. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 Ing. L'ubica Foráčová, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/308 | Jozefiak, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus assimilis, Dasineura bassicae on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 UKSUP Bratislava branch office Kosice, Kosice, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/309 | Jozefiak, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 UKSUP Bratislava branch office Kosice, Kosice, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/310 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on virus vector aphids in cereals, Germany, autumn 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH NL Agroplan, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE15IENNGW320I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/311 | Kay, C. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of aphids (Myzus persicae) vectors of Turnip yellows virus/Beet western yellows virus in winter oilseed rape—UK, 2015. Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/312 | Lines, J. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter cereals—1 site in the UK, 2015 Eurofins Agroscience service Ltd., Melbourne, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/313 | Lines, J. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter cereals—1 site in the UK, 2015 Eurofins Agroscience service Ltd., Melbourne, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/314 | Lines, J. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter cereals—1 site in the UK, 2015 Eurofins Agroscience service Ltd., Melbourne, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/315 | Rohr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on cabbage stem flea beetle /Psylliodes chrysocephala/ in oil seed rape Germany autumn 2014 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/316 | Kukuła, A., Kostek, T. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland in 2020 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL20IEBEAVA221B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/317 | Stognienko, M. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on Psylliodes chrysocephala in winter oil seed rape, Germany, autumn 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH NL Agroplan, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320Q GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/318 | Čáp, J. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in the Czech Republic, 2020 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IEBRSNW511B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/319 | Kay, C. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of cabbage stem flea beetle (Psylliodes chrysocephala) in winter oilseed rape — UK, 2015. Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK15IEBRSNW239A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/320 | Kay, C. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of cabbage stem flea beetle (Psylliodes chrysocephala) in winter oilseed rape — UK, 2015. Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK15IEBRSNW239B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/321 | Vašátková Štanclová, I. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/322 | Daňa, P. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 Zemědělska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/323 | Bauer, T. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o., Uherský Ostroh, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/324 | Vilka, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (Myzus persicae) control in potatoes in Sweden in 2020 Agrolab Sverige AB, Skänninge, Sweden Report no. SE20IESOLTU259A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/325 | Ozolina-Pole, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for Colorado potato beetle (Leptinotarsa decemlineata) control in potatoes in Latvia in 2020 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV20IESOLTU534A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/326 | Chermuła, L. | 2014 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/l) against green peach aphid (Myzus persicae), on potato. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU205A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/327 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes, Poland 2021 BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/328 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland 2021 MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/329 | Rusek, K. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/330 | Głowacki, G. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland 2021 Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL21HESOLTU245D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/331 | Benezés, B. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21HESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/332 | Olasz, L. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21HESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/333 | Labant, A. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU21HESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/334 | Botoman, G. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato GEP Trial, Romania, 2021 AgroProspect SRL, Brasov, Romania Report no. RO21HESOLTU234A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/335 | Botoman, G. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato GEP Trial, Romania, 2021 AgroProspect SRL, Brasov, Romania Report no. RO21HESOLTU234B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/336 | Gulbis, K. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (Rhopalosiphum padi) control in spring wheat in Latvia in 2021 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV21IEYCERE421A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/337 | Ozolins-Pole, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (Rhopalosiphum padi) control in spring wheat in Latvia in 2021 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd., Riga, Latvia Report no. LV21IEYCERE421B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/338 | Torkler, K. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in Germany in 2021, autumn use Quintus GmbH, Hohen Wangelin, Germany Report no. DE21IEYCERW566A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/339 | Seifert, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in Germany in 2021, autumn use BioChem agrar GmbH, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE21IEYCERW566B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/340 | de Vries, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in The Netherlands in 2021, autumn use Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL21IEHORVW034A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/341 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (BYDV) control in winter barley in Lithuania, 2021 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LT21IEYCERW408C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/342 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter barley, Poland 2021 /2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEHORVW277A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/343 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter barley, Poland 2021 /2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEHORVW277B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/344 | Safar, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2020, autumn use AGRITEC, Research, Breeding & Services, Ltd., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IEHORVW507A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/345 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Efficacy and selectivity of different insecticides for control of aphid virus vectors (BYDV) in winter cereals, Germany 2016 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE16IENNGW311D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/346 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Efficacy and selectivity of different insecticides for control of aphid virus vectors (BYDV) in winter cereals, Germany 2016 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE16IENNGW311F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/347 | Ommen, T. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in Germany in 2020, autumn use plantus-GbR, Huntlosen, Germany Report no. DE20IENNGW507B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/348 | Bersegay, A. | 2015 | Evaluate the efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 and MAVRIK FLO on Rhopalosiphum padi on cereals, in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur-Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEYCERE111A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/349 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEHORVW209A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/350 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 in winter barley against aphids (BYDV) in Lithuania, 2020 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LT20IEYCERW559B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/351 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEYCERW566A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/352 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (BYDV) control in winter wheat in Lithuania, 2021 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LT21IEYCERW408B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/353 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (BYDV) control in winter wheat in Latvia, 2021 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LV21IEYCERW471A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/354 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter wheat, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IETRZAW278A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/355 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter wheat, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IETRZAW278B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/356 | Cap, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2020, autumn use ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Nechanice S.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IETRZAW507B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/357 | Cap, J. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Sitobion avenae (MACSAV) in the Czech Republic in 2020, spring use ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Nechanice S.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IETRZAW508B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/358 | Barou, J. | 2014 | Evaluate the efficacy and selectivity of MCW 2222 on Sitobion avenae on cereals, in France, 2014 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEYCERE108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/359 | Sutherland, J. | 2017 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter wheat in the UK, 2016 – 2017 Eurofins Agroscience Services, Willand, UK Report no. UK16IETRZAW269C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/360 | Flaviola, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 Agrovita spol.s r.o., Jesenice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW005D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/361 | Fialova, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2015 Agrovita spol.s r.o., Jesenice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/362 | Čáp, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Phyllotreta cruciferae in the Czech Republic in 2020, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IEBRSNW534B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/363 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Psylliodes chrysocephala in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW567B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/364 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW568A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/365 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use AGRITEC, Research, Breeding & Services, Ltd., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW568B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/366 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW570A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/367 | Daňa, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use Zemědělska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW570B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/368 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in rape against <i>Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus</i> (CEUTQU) in the Czech Republic in 2022 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW503B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/369 | Subr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in rape against <i>Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus</i> (CEUTQU) in the Czech Republic in 2022 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW503C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/370 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Czech Republic, 2022 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW506B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/371 | Hruška, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Czech Republic, 2022 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW506C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/372 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW506D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/373 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on pests in oil seed rape, Germany autumn 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320O GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/374 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on pests in oil seed rape, Germany autumn 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320P GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/375 | Kästner, K. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of different insecticides on Meligethes aeneus in winter oil seed rape in Germany 2016 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE16IEBRSNW310C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/376 | Perner, J. | 2017 | Efficacy of different insecticides on cabbage stem flea beetle (Psylliodes chrysocephala) in oil seed winter rape, Germany 2016/17 U.A.S. Umwelt- und Agrarstudien GmbH, Jena, Germany Report no. DE16IEBRSNW312E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/377 | Labusch, U. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on Meligethes aeneus in winter oil seed rape, Germany, 2017 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE17IEBRSNW310A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/378 | Zickart, U. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape, Germany, 2017 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE17IEBRSNW310B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/379 | Laug, S. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape, Germany, 2017 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE17IEBRSNW310D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/380 | Rohr, J. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Germany 2020 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNW511A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/381 | Rohr, J. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Germany 2020 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNW511B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/382 | Rohr, J. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis</i> in Germany in 2020, autumn use Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNW526A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/383 | Rohr, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2021 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW533A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/384 | Rohr, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2021 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW533B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/385 | Martin, T. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCS-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in Germany in 2021, autumn use martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW568B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/386 | Hetterich, A. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis in Germany in 2021, autumn use Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach am Main, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW569A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/387 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis in Germany in 2021, autumn use Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW569B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/388 | Wied, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis in Germany in 2021, autumn use STAPHYT GmbH, Baden-Württemberg, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW569C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/389 | Jatczak, J. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) and Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland in 2020 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL20IEBEAVA221C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/390 | Zickart, U. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Phyllotreta cruciferae in Germany in 2021, autumn use BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW570A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/391 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Ceutorhynchus pictaris on OSR in Germany in 2021 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW571A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/392 | Woenckhaus, S. | 2022 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Ceutorhynchus pictaris on OSR in Germany in 2021 Agrartest GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW571B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/393 | Zöllner, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C against adult of Psylliodes chrysocephala on OSR in Germany in 2021 Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW572B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/394 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in rape against Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus (CEUTQU) in Germany in 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEBRSNW503B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/395 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEBRSNW505B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/396 | Perner, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2022 U.A.S. Umwelt- und Agrarstudien GmbH, Jena, Germany Report no. DE22IEBRSNW505C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/397 | Barou, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against rape stem weevils (<i>Ceutorhynchus napi</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN101D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/398 | Villeteau, C. | 2014 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2014 ANADIAG, Ruy, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN102B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/399 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> on oilseed rape in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Lorient-sur-Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN107C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/400 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> on oilseed rape in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Lorient-sur-Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN107D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/401 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik Flo and Pyrinex ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 PROMO-VERT, Serres-Castet, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|----------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/402 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik Flo and Pyrinex ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/403 | Tartier, J.; Percheron, M. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, MAVRIK FLO and PYRINEX ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 BIOTEK Agriculture, Saint Pouange, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/404 | Tartier, J. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, MAVRIK FLO and PYRINEX ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 BIOTEK Agriculture, Saint Pouange, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/405 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Ceutorhynchus pectus</i> and <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> on oilseed rape in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN113A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/406 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/407 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/408 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/409 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/410 | Voisin, J. F | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against rape stem weevils (Ceutorhynchus napi) on oilseed rape in France in 2015 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN103C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/411 | Voisin, J. F | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against rape stem weevils (Ceutorhynchus napi) on oilseed rape in France in 2015 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN103D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/412 | Rouane, W. | 2016 | Efficacy of MCW 3031, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2016 ANADIAG, Ruy, France Report no. FR16IEBRSNN103D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/413 | Gressard, M. | 2019 | Analysis of efficacy of different insecticides on Meligethes aeneus in winter oil seed rape in France in 2018 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR18IEBRSNN101A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/414 | Vilka, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW 2222 for brassica pod midge (<i>Dasineura brassicae</i>) and cabbage seedpod weevil (<i>Ceutorhynchus assimilis</i>) control in WOSR in Sweden in 2020 Agrolab Sverige AB, Skänninge, Sweden Report no. SE20IEBRSNW258A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/415 | Lamers, K. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in Germany in 2020, autumn use BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNN509B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/416 | Howkins, L. | 2017 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of cabbage stem flea beetle (<i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i>) in winter oilseed rape, UK, 2016 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK16IEBRSNW254C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/417 | Howkins, L. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in oil seed rape, UK, 2017 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK17IEBRSNN272B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/418 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEBRSNW206A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/419 | Stokes, L. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in the UK 2021 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW218A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/420 | Stokes, L. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNS) in the UK 2021 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW219A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/421 | Stokes, L. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Psylliodes chrysocephala in the UK, in 2021, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW234B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/422 | Stokes, L. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Psylliodes chrysocephala in the UK, in 2021, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW234C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/423 | Stokes, L. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in UK 2022 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK22IEBRSNN602B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/424 | Ozolins-Pole, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for brassica pod midge (Dasineura brassicae) and cabbage seedpod weevil (Ceutorhynchus obstrictus) control in winter OSR in Latvia in 2020 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV20IEBRSNN527A_2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/425 | Gulbis, K. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for brassica pod midge (Dasineura brassicae) and cabbage seedpod weevil (Ceutorhynchus obstrictus) control in winter OSR in Latvia in 2020 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV20IEBRSNN527B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|---------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/426 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2017 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of insecticides product for the control of pests on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o. o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL16IEBRSNW309A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/427 | Zielińska, W. | 2016 | Efficacy of insecticides MCW-5023 and MCW-2222 for controlling pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i> F.) in winter oilseed rape Institute of Plant Protection - National Research Institute, Poznań, Poland Report no. PL16IEBRSNW309B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/428 | Zielińska, W. | 2016 | Efficacy of insecticides MCW-5023 and MCW-2222 for controlling pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i> F.) in winter oilseed rape Institute of Plant Protection - National Research Institute, Poznań, Poland Report no. PL16IEBRSNW309C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/429 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2017 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of insecticides product for the control of pests on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o. o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL17IEBRSNW047B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/430 | Potocka, E. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape SynTech Research Poland Sp. z o.o., Bydgoszcz, Poland Report no. PL17IEBRSNW047C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/431 | Kukuła, A. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> in Poland in 2020, autumn use AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Gać, Poland Report no. PL20IEBRSNW219B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/432 | Gajek, D. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Poland 2020 Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL20IEBRSNW220A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/433 | Pawlak, A. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Poland 2020 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL20IEBRSNW225B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/434 | Szymańczyk, M. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland. 2021 Institute of Plant Protecion - National Research Institute, Poznań, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW237A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/435 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland 2021 Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW239A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/436 | Pszczółkowski, M. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland 2021 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW239B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/437 | Pszczółkowski, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Psylliodes chrysocephala in Poland in 2021, autumn use Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/438 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in winter oilseed rape, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/439 | Jatczak, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in Poland in 2021, autumn use ANADIAG SAS Oddział w Polsce, Zgierz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/440 | Głowacki, G. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> (Cabbage stem flea beetle) in Poland. 2021. autumn use. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/441 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNS) in Poland in 2022. Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/442 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland in 2022. Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/443 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland, 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/444 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland in 2022. Fertico Sp. z o.o. Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/445 | Veszelka, M.S. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW102B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/446 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungary Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/447 | Farkas, I. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 Pannon Helyi Termék Kft., Oszkó, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/448 | Szántóné Veszelka, M. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 Government Office of Nógrád County, Plant Prot. Dir., Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/449 | Hoffmanné Pathy, S. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 Növénypathy KFT, Somogy, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/450 | Kodor, G. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/451 | Barasits, T. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/452 | Hoffmanné Pathy, Z. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Növénypathyka KFT, Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/453 | Magyar, B. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Fructika Kft, Tiszakanyár, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/454 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Government Office of Nógrád County, Plant Prot. Dir., Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/455 | Fekete, A. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Pannon Helyi Termék Kft, Oszkó, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/456 | Jozefiak, D. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTAS, DASYBR in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 UKSUP, Košice, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/457 | Ceri, L. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTAS, DASYBR oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW003B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/458 | Toth, F. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/459 | Toth, F. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/460 | Soltesz, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 Fyse, Ltd., Dep. AgroLab, Koláre, Slovak Republic Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/461 | Soltesz, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 Fyse, Ltd., Dep. AgroLab, Koláre, Slovak Republic Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/462 | Toth, F. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001J GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/463 | Kolník, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in [Slovakia] 2022 InTec Agro Trials Slovakia s.r.o., Bratislava, Slovakia Report no. SK22IEBRSNW505A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/464 | Kolník, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in [Slovakia] 2022 InTec Agro Trials Slovakia s.r.o., Bratislava, Slovakia Report no. SK22IEBRSNW505B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/465 | Jatczak, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B against Myzus persicae in sugarbeet in Poland in 2021 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/466 | Rusek, K. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.02100.I.1.B in control of aphids in sugar beet, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/467 | Jatczak, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B against Aphis fabae in Sugarbeet in Poland in 2021 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.2/468 | Rusek, K. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.02100.I.1.B in control of aphids in sugar beet, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/469 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against aphids, Poland 2021 BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA238A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/470 | Jatczak, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) and Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland in 2021 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA238B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/471 | Głowacki, G. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland, 2021 Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z. o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA238C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/472 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/473 | PSZCZÓLKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland. 2022 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/474 | Kukuła, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/475 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/476 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/477 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/478 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/479 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/480 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/481 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/482 | Torkler, K. | 2021 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B against Aphis fabae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2021 Quintus GmbH, Liepen, Germany Report no. DE21IEBEAVA530A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/483 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEBRSNW207A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/484 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEBRSNW207B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/485 | Oostingh, C. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in ornamentals against Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in The Netherlands in 2020 Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20HEYORNA027A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/486 | Oostingh, C. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in Ornamentals against Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) in The Netherlands in 2020 Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA027B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/487 | Oostingh, C. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2020 Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA028A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/488 | Kohrman, E.J.M. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2020 Cultus Crop Research BV, Lottum, The Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA028B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/489 | Oostingh, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2021 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/490 | Oostingh, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) and Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) in The Netherlands in 2021 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/491 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/492 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA031E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/493 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA031F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/494 | Oostingh, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Green-peach-aphid (MYZUPE) and bean-aphid (APHIFA) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA032A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/495 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Green-peach-aphid (MYZUPE), bean-aphid (APHIFA) or potato-aphid (MACSEU) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA032B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/496 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Phyllaphis fagi (PHYAFA) beech in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA033A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/497 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Phyllaphis fagi (PHYAFA) beech in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA033B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/498 | Kreuk, F. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in Lily in The Netherlands in 2022 Vertify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IELILSS009A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/499 | Kreuk, F. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in Lily in The Netherlands in 2022 Vertify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IELILSS009B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/500 | Kreuk, F. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in Lily in The Netherlands in 2022 Vertify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IELILSS009C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/501 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22HEYORNA005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/502 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22HEYORNA005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/503 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22HEYORNA005C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.2/504 | Oostingh, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against <i>Aphis gossypii</i> (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2022 Vertify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA005D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/505 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (MACSEU) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA007A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/506 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (MACSEU) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA007B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.2/507 | Toth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/001 | Thieme, T. | 2021 | Relative susceptibility of aphid populations (<i>Myzus persicae</i> , <i>M. cerasi</i> , <i>Aphis nasturtii</i> and <i>Dysaphis plantaginea</i>) collected 2020 in Europe to the insecticides Mavrik Vita, Karate Zeon, Pirimor, MCW 2222 and Biscaya BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/002 | Thieme, T. | 2022 | Relative susceptibility of aphid populations (<i>Myzus persicae</i> , <i>Aphis nasturtii</i> and <i>Dysaphis plantaginea</i>) collected 2021 in Europe to the insecticides Mavrik Vita, Karate Zeon, Pirimor and MCW 2222 BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------|------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.3/003 | Thieme, T. | 2022 | Relative susceptibility of aphid populations (<i>Myzus persicae</i> and <i>Dysaphis plantaginea</i>) collected 2022 in Europe to the insecticides Karate Zeon and MCW 2222 BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/004 | Thieme, T. | 2021 | Relative susceptibility of field populations of the cabbage stem flea beetle (<i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i>) collected 2020 in the Germany, Poland and the U.K. to the insecticides Mavrik Vita, Karate Zeon and MCW 2222 BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/005 | Thieme, T. | 2022 | Relative susceptibility of field populations of the cabbage stem flea beetle (<i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i>) collected 2021 in Germany and Poland to the insecticides lambda-cyhalothrin, tau-fluvalinate and acetamiprid BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/006 | Thieme, T. | 2013 | Relative susceptibility of field populations of the oilseed rape pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) collected 2013 in Austria, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Poland and GB to the insecticides Biscaya, chlorpyrifosethyl, tau-fluvalinate and acetamiprid, in comparison to lambda-cyhalothrin BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.3/007 | Thieme, T. | 2014 | Relative susceptibility of field populations of the oilseed rape pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) collected 2014 in Austria, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Poland and UK to the insecticides Biscaya, chlorpyrifosethyl, tau-fluvalinate and acetamiprid, in comparison to lambda-cyhalothrin BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/008 | Thieme, T. | 2021 | Relative susceptibility of field populations of the oilseed rape pollen beetle (<i>Brassicogethes aeneus</i>) collected 2020 in the Czech Republic, France, Germany and Poland to the insecticides lambda-cyhalothrin, tau-fluvalinate and acetamiprid BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.3/009 | Thieme, T. | 2022 | Relative susceptibility of field populations of the oilseed rape pollen beetle (<i>Brassicogethes aeneus</i>) collected 2021 in France, Germany and Poland to the insecticides lambda-cyhalothrin, tau-fluvalinate and acetamiprid BTL Bio-Test Labor GmbH Sagerheide, Sagerheide, Germany Report no. GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/001 Submitted under KCP 6.2/001 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 SL on aphids and apple sawfly in apple in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IEMABSD028A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/002 Submitted under KCP 6.2/002 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 SL on aphids and apple sawfly in apple in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IEMABSD028B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/003 Submitted under KCP 6.2/003 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/004 Submitted under KCP 6.2/004 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/005 Submitted under KCP 6.2/005 | Kloutvorová, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/006 Submitted under KCP 6.2/006 | Kloutvorová, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/007 Submitted under KCP 6.2/007 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD010E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/008 Submitted under KCP 6.2/008 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/009 Submitted under KCP 6.2/009 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/010 Submitted under KCP 6.2/010 | Kloutvorová, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/011 Submitted under KCP 6.2/011 | Tvaruzek, L. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. Zemedelsky vyzkumny ustav Kromeriz, s.r.o., Havlickova, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/012 Submitted under KCP 6.2/012 | Tvaruzek, L. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. Zemedelsky vyzkumny ustav Kromeriz, s.r.o., Havlickova, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/013 Submitted under KCP 6.2/013 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/014 Submitted under KCP 6.2/014 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/015 Submitted under KCP 6.2/015 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/016 Submitted under KCP 6.2/016 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/017 Submitted under KCP 6.2/017 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/018 Submitted under KCP 6.2/018 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/019 Submitted under KCP 6.2/019 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/020 Submitted under KCP 6.2/020 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/021 Submitted under KCP 6.2/021 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/022 Submitted under KCP 6.2/022 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/023 Submitted under KCP 6.2/023 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/024 Submitted under KCP 6.2/024 | Richter, T. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/025 Submitted under KCP 6.2/025 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/026 Submitted under KCP 6.2/026 | Hornik, P. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/027 Submitted under KCP 6.2/027 | Hornik, P. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/028 Submitted under KCP 6.2/028 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0004-1 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/029 Submitted under KCP 6.2/029 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0004-2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/030 Submitted under KCP 6.2/030 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0005-1 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/031 Submitted under KCP 6.2/031 | Gramza, H. | 2012 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 SL (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Cydia pomonella on apple AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 11MAP0005-2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/032 Submitted under KCP 6.2/032 | Gajek, D. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL in the control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi on apple, Poland 2012 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. 072_01_F12_134 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/033 Submitted under KCP 6.2/033 | Gajek, D. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL in the control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi on apple, Poland 2012 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. 072_02_F12_135 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/034 Submitted under KCP 6.2/034 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/035 Submitted under KCP 6.2/035 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/036 Submitted under KCP 6.2/036 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/037 Submitted under KCP 6.2/037 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth (Cydia pomonella) in apple in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD206D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/038 Submitted under KCP 6.2/038 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids (and other insects) in apple trees in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/039 Submitted under KCP 6.2/039 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids (and other insects) in apple trees in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/040 Submitted under KCP 6.2/040 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids (and other insects) in apple trees in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/041 Submitted under KCP 6.2/041 | Meronka, K. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids (and other insects) in apple tree in Poland Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL13IEMABSD207D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/042 Submitted under KCP 6.2/042 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Aphis pomi and other pests in case of their occurrence in apple orchard, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IEMABSD109A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/043 Submitted under KCP 6.2/043 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Aphis pomi and other pests in case of their occurrence in apple orchard, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IEMABSD109B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/044 Submitted under KCP 6.2/044 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Aphis pomi and other pests in case of their occurrence in apple orchard, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IEMABSD109C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/045 Submitted under KCP 6.2/045 | Richter, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/046 Submitted under KCP 6.2/046 | Bauer, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o., Uhersky Ostroh, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/047 Submitted under KCP 6.2/047 | Felczak, K. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi in apple orchard, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEMABSD127A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/048 Submitted under KCP 6.2/048 | Felczak, K. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi in apple orchard, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEMABSD127B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/049 Submitted under KCP 6.2/049 | Lindemann, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals, Germany 2015 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE15IENNGW320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/050 Submitted under KCP 6.2/050 | Barasits, T. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on codling moth in apple in Hungary in 2013 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEMABSD631A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/051 Submitted under KCP 6.2/051 | Liposits, V. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on codling moth in apple in Hungary in 2013 Government Office of County Zala, Zalaegerszeg, Hungary Report no. HU13IEMABSD631B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/052 Submitted under KCP 6.2/052 | Hargitai, C. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on aphids in apple in Hungary in 2013 Government Office of Somogy County, Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU13IEMABSD632A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/053 Submitted under KCP 6.2/053 | Liposits, V. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Carpocapsa pomonella in apple in Hungary 2014 Government Office of County Zala, Zalaegerszeg, Hungary Report no. HU14IEMABSD012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/054 Submitted under KCP 6.2/054 | Sumedrea, M. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 in control of Eriosoma lanigerum, compared with local standard ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. MCW 2222 ERISLA GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/055 Submitted under KCP 6.2/055 | Sumedrea, M. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 against San Jose scale Quadraspidiotus perniciosus ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. MCW 2222 QUADPE GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/056 Submitted under KCP 6.2/056 | Coman, M. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on wooly aphid Eriosoma lanigerum (RIFG Pitesti, Romania, 2013) ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO13IEMABSD002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/057 Submitted under KCP 6.2/057 | Coman, M. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on apple codling moth <i>Cydia pomonella</i> (RIFG Pitesti, Romania, 2013) ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO13IEMABSD003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/058 Submitted under KCP 6.2/058 | Hornik, P. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against <i>Cydia pomonella</i> in the Czech Republic in 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD538A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/059 Submitted under KCP 6.2/059 | Bauer, T. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against <i>Cydia pomonella</i> in the Czech Republic in 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o., Uhersky Ostroh, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEMABSD538B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/060 Submitted under KCP 6.2/060 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green aphid <i>Aphis pomi</i> (ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania) Report no. RO14IEMABSD046A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/061 Submitted under KCP 6.2/061 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on apple wooly aphid <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> – Location 1 (ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania) Report no. RO14IEMABSD047A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/062 Submitted under KCP 6.2/062 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on apple wooly aphid <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> – Location 2 (ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania) Report no. RO14IEMABSD047B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/063 Submitted under KCP 6.2/063 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale— <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> —Location 1 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/064 Submitted under KCP 6.2/064 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale— <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> —Location 2 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/065 Submitted under KCP 6.2/065 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale— <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> —Location 3 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/066 Submitted under KCP 6.2/066 | Coman, M. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on San José scale— <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> —Location 4 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO14IEMABSD048D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/067 Submitted under KCP 6.2/067 | Sumedrea, M. | 2015 | MCW 2222 efficacy in control of woolly aphid— <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> RIFG Pitesti—Maracineni, Romania, 2015 Location 1 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO15IEYPOME013A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/068 Submitted under KCP 6.2/068 | Sumedrea, M. | 2015 | MCW 2222 efficacy in control of woolly aphid— <i>Eriosoma lanigerum</i> RIFG Pitesti—Maracineni, Romania, 2015 Location 2 ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO15IEYPOME013B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/069 Submitted under KCP 6.2/069 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids on apple - Slovakia - Valice 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/070 Submitted under KCP 6.2/070 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids on apple - Slovakia - Camovec 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/071 Submitted under KCP 6.2/071 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against codling moth on apple - Slovakia, Valice 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/072 Submitted under KCP 6.2/072 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against codling moth on apple - Slovakia, Camovce 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEMABSD002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/073 Submitted under KCP 6.2/073 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/074 Submitted under KCP 6.2/074 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Eriosoma lanigerum in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/075 Submitted under KCP 6.2/075 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Eriosoma lanigerum in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/076 Submitted under KCP 6.2/076 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Eriosoma lanigerum in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD002C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/077 Submitted under KCP 6.2/077 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on QUADPE, Scales in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/078 Submitted under KCP 6.2/078 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on QUADPE, Scales in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD003B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/079 Submitted under KCP 6.2/079 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on QUADPE, Scales in apple, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEMABSD003C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/080 Submitted under KCP 6.2/080 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/081 Submitted under KCP 6.2/081 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on green apple aphid in apple in Čamovce-Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/082 Submitted under KCP 6.2/082 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on American blight in apple in Valice-Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/083 Submitted under KCP 6.2/083 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on American blight in apple in Čamovce-Slovakia 2015 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEMABSD005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/084 Submitted under KCP 6.2/084 | Skalský, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in the Czech Republic in 2022 VŠÚO Holovousy s.r.o., Hořice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEMABSD500A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/085 Submitted under KCP 6.2/085 | Barasits, T. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn in Hungary 2013 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEZEAMX131A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/086 Submitted under KCP 6.2/086 | István, F. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn in Hungary 2013 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEZEAMX131B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|-----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/087 Submitted under KCP 6.2/087 | Gabi, G. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn in Hungary 2013 Government Office of Tolna County, Szekszárd, Hungary Report no. HU13IEZEAMX131C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/088 Submitted under KCP 6.2/088 | Blaskó, D. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn in Hungary in 2014 ANADIAG Hungary Kft., Komárom, Hungary Report no. HU14IEZEAMX001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/089 Submitted under KCP 6.2/089 | Hoffmanné, P.Z. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn in Hungary in 2014 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU14IEZEAMX001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/090 Submitted under KCP 6.2/090 | Varga, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in corn in Hungary in 2015 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEZEAMX102A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/091 Submitted under KCP 6.2/091 | Magyar, B. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 on <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in corn in Hungary in 2015 Fruetika Kft, Tiszakanyár, Hungary Report no. HU15IEZEAMX102B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/092 Submitted under KCP 6.2/092 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in maize, 1 site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Services SRL, Timisoara, Romania Report no. RO14IEZEAMX043A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/093 Submitted under KCP 6.2/093 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in maize, 1 site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Services SRL, Timisoara, Romania Report no. RO14IEZEAMX043B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/094 Submitted under KCP 6.2/094 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in maize, 1 site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Services SRL, Timisoara, Romania Report no. RO14IEZEAMX043C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/095 Submitted under KCP 6.2/095 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in Corn, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEZEAMX031A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/096 Submitted under KCP 6.2/096 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in Corn, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEZEAMX031B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/097 Submitted under KCP 6.2/097 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW 2222 SL against <i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> in Corn, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEZEAMX031C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/098 Submitted under KCP 6.2/098 | Soltesz, J. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on <i>Diabrotica virgifera virgifera</i> in corn, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEZEAMX001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/099 Submitted under KCP 6.2/099 | Soltesz, J. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEZEAMX001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/100 Submitted under KCP 6.2/100 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 on Diabrotica virgifera virgifera in corn, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEZEAMX001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/101 Submitted under KCP 6.2/101 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on colorado beetle in potato in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IESOLTU026A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/102 Submitted under KCP 6.2/102 | Laštovičková, H. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in potato ZS Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IESOLTU026B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/103 Submitted under KCP 6.2/103 | Heryán, J. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids and colorado beetle in potato Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IESOLTU026C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/104 Submitted under KCP 6.2/104 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado Beetle in Potato in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IESOLTU009A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/105 Submitted under KCP 6.2/105 | Daňa, P. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado Beetle in Potato in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IESOLTU009B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/106 Submitted under KCP 6.2/106 | Hruška, J. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on LPTNDE in potato, Czech Republic Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IESOLTU009C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/107 Submitted under KCP 6.2/107 | Zickart, U. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2014 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE14IESOLTU320M GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/108 Submitted under KCP 6.2/108 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE15IESOLTU320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/109 Submitted under KCP 6.2/109 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE15IESOLTU320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/110 Submitted under KCP 6.2/110 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Germany 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE15IESOLTU320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/111 Submitted under KCP 6.2/111 | Hornik, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in the Czech Republic in 2022. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEMABSD500B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/112 Submitted under KCP 6.2/112 | Richter, T. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I formulations and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, the Czech Republic, 2022 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEMABSD524A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/113 Submitted under KCP 6.2/113 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SI) for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/114 Submitted under KCP 6.2/114 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/115 Submitted under KCP 6.2/115 | Pławuszewski, M. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against colorado potato beetle in potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/116 Submitted under KCP 6.2/116 | Pławuszewski, M. | 2013 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against Colorado potato beetle on potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU204D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/117 Submitted under KCP 6.2/117 | Głowacki, G. | 2013 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/l) against green peach aphid (<i>Myzus persicae</i>), on potato. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU205B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/118 Submitted under KCP 6.2/118 | Meronka, K. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL applied in the control of Colorado beetle <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> in potato, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/119 Submitted under KCP 6.2/119 | Szemendera, A. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL applied in the control of Colorado beetle <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> in potato, Poland 2014 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/120 Submitted under KCP 6.2/120 | Kukula, A. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of pests on potato AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/121 Submitted under KCP 6.2/121 | Kukula, A. | 2014 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of pests on potato AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/122 Submitted under KCP 6.2/122 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against Colorado potato beetle (<i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i>) in potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/123 Submitted under KCP 6.2/123 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 against Colorado potato beetle potato Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IESOLTU108F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/124 Submitted under KCP 6.2/124 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL14IESOLTU118G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/125 Submitted under KCP 6.2/125 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado Beetle and/or Aphids in Potatoes, 5 Sites in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agrosience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IESOLTU044A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/126 Submitted under KCP 6.2/126 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado Beetle and/or Aphids in Potatoes, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agrosience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IESOLTU044B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/127 Submitted under KCP 6.2/127 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado Beetle and/or Aphids in Potatoes, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agrosience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IESOLTU044C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/128 Submitted under KCP 6.2/128 | Hermeziu, M. | 2014 | Report on biological evaluation of Product: MCW-2222 SL National Institute of Research and Development for Potato and Sugar Beet, Braşov, Romania Report no. RO14IESOLTU044D Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/129 Submitted under KCP 6.2/129 | Hermeziu, M. | 2014 | Report on biological evaluation of Product: MCW-2222 SL National Institute of Research and Development for Potato and Sugar Beet, Braşov, Romania Report no. RO14IESOLTU044E Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/130 Submitted under KCP 6.2/130 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado Potato Beetles in Potato, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timişoara, Romania Report no. RO15IESOLTU012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/131 Submitted under KCP 6.2/131 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado Potato Beetles in Potato, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timişoara, Romania Report no. RO15IESOLTU012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/132 Submitted under KCP 6.2/132 | Forgacova, L. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato, Slovakia 2013 Ing. L'ubica Forgáčová, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK13IESOLTU001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/133 Submitted under KCP 6.2/133 | Soltesz, J. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato, Slovakia 2013 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK13IESOLTU001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/134 Submitted under KCP 6.2/134 | Forgacova, L. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato Ing. Lubica Forgacova, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK14IESOLTU001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/135 Submitted under KCP 6.2/135 | Forgacova, L. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL against Colorado beetle on potato Ing. Lubica Forgacova, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK14IESOLTU001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/136 Submitted under KCP 6.2/136 | Ceri, L. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IESOLTU001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/137 Submitted under KCP 6.2/137 | Čáp, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/138 Submitted under KCP 6.2/138 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEYCERE001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/139 Submitted under KCP 6.2/139 | Rohr, J. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. FCS12-3111-E01 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/140 Submitted under KCP 6.2/140 | Weiß, E. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals BioChem agrar GmbH, Goch-Nierswalde, Germany Report no. DE13IEYCERE320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/141 Submitted under KCP 6.2/141 | Hetterich, A. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals Ingenieurbüro Andreas Hetterich, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE13IEYCERE320D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/142 Submitted under KCP 6.2/142 | Franke, K. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on aphids in winter wheat - open field efficacy and selectivity study 2014 Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE14IEYCERE320L GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/143 Submitted under KCP 6.2/143 | Lindemann, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals, Germany 2015 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE15IENNNGW320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/144 Submitted under KCP 6.2/144 | Martin, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on virus vector aphids in cereals autumn 2013 martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE13IEYCERE320H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/145 Submitted under KCP 6.2/145 | Martin, T. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on virus vector aphids in cereals Germany autumn 2014 martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE14IEYCERE320N GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/146 Submitted under KCP 6.2/146 | Roslupil, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/147 Submitted under KCP 6.2/147 | Fialova, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in Cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Bystrice nad Pernštejnem, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/148 Submitted under KCP 6.2/148 | Roslapil, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals, Czech Republic 2015 Zemedelska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEYCERE001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/149 Submitted under KCP 6.2/149 | Subr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on aphids in cereals in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEYCERE008D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/150 Submitted under KCP 6.2/150 | Zickart, U. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals BioChem agrar GmbH, Goch-Nierswalde, Germany Report no. DE14IENNNGG320J GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/151 Submitted under KCP 6.2/151 | Głowacki, G. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used singly against aphids on winter wheat Eurofins Agroscience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IETRZAW013A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/152 Submitted under KCP 6.2/152 | Głowacki, G. | 2015 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/l) against English grain aphid (Sitobion arvense) and apple bud aphid (Rhopalosiphum padi) on winter wheat Eurofins Agroscience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IETRZAW013B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/153 Submitted under KCP 6.2/153 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of aphids on winter triticales BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IETTLSS014A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/154 Submitted under KCP 6.2/154 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of aphids on winter triticale BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IETTLSS014B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/155 Submitted under KCP 6.2/155 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in spring barley, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEHORVS015A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/156 Submitted under KCP 6.2/156 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in spring barley, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEHORVS015B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/157 Submitted under KCP 6.2/157 | Georgescu, E. | 2012 | Evaluation of MCW-222 SL- vegetation treatment against aphids on wheat (NARDI Fundulea) Institute for R&D in Agriculture, Fundulea, Romania Report no. ROCL013002012 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/158 Submitted under KCP 6.2/158 | Georgescu, E. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 on aphids in cereals Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Fundulea, Romania Report no. RO13IETRZAW003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/159 Submitted under KCP 6.2/159 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/160 Submitted under KCP 6.2/160 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/161 Submitted under KCP 6.2/161 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/162 Submitted under KCP 6.2/162 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/163 Submitted under KCP 6.2/163 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/164 Submitted under KCP 6.2/164 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/165 Submitted under KCP 6.2/165 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/166 Submitted under KCP 6.2/166 | Eberhart, A. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2014 Eurofins Agroscience Service GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. RO14IETRZAW042H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/167 Submitted under KCP 6.2/167 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEYCERE011A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/168 Submitted under KCP 6.2/168 | Eberhart, A. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against aphids in cereals, 1 Site in Romania 2015 Eurofins Agroscience Services S.R.L., Timișoara, Romania Report no. RO15IEYCERE011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/169 Submitted under KCP 6.2/169 | Zöllner, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Germany In 2022 Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE22IEMABSD500A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/170 Submitted under KCP 6.2/170 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Germany in 2022 Trialtec GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEMABSD500B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/171 Submitted under KCP 6.2/171 | Martin, T. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I formulations and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, Germany, 2022 Martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE22IEMABSD524A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|-----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/172 Submitted under KCP 6.2/172 | Hakkert, G. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I formulations and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, The Netherlands, 2022 Asperico bv, Enspijk, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEMABSD010A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/173 Submitted under KCP 6.2/173 | Rose-Gray, S. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, UK, 2022 Oxford Agricultural Trials Limited, Bicester, United Kingdom Report no. UK22IEMABSD601A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/174 Submitted under KCP 6.2/174 | Rose-Gray, S. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B and MCW-2222 against Aphis pomi in apple, UK, 2022 Oxford Agricultural Trials Limited, Bicester, United Kingdom Report no. UK22IEMABSD601B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/175 Submitted under KCP 6.2/175 | Ogrodniczek, A. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Poland in 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEMABSD240A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/176 Submitted under KCP 6.2/176 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Poland in 2021 Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL21IEMABSD240B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/177 Submitted under KCP 6.2/177 | Magyar, B. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Aphis pomi, Dysaphis plantaginea in apple in Hungary 2014 SGS Hungária Kft., Budapest, Hungary Report no. HU14IEMABSD011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|--------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/178 Submitted under KCP 6.2/178 | Barasits, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of <i>Cydia pomonella</i> in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/179 Submitted under KCP 6.2/179 | Barasits, T. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of <i>Cydia pomonella</i> in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/180 Submitted under KCP 6.2/180 | Coman, M. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on San Jose scale <i>Quadraspidiotus perniciosus</i> (RIFG Pitesti, Romania, 2013) ICDP, Pitesti-Maracineni, Romania Report no. RO13IEMABSD001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/181 Submitted under KCP 6.2/181 | Botoman, G. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of (<i>Cydia pomonella</i>) in apple AgroProspect SRL, Brasov, Romania Report no. RO21IEMABSD233B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/182 Submitted under KCP 6.2/182 | Cáp, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against biting insects on oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/183 Submitted under KCP 6.2/183 | Subr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/184 Submitted under KCP 6.2/184 | Cáp, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against pollen beetle on oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW006A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/185 Submitted under KCP 6.2/185 | Spurova, R. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on MELIAE in oil seed rape. ZS Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW006B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/186 Submitted under KCP 6.2/186 | Cáp, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 on Ceutorhynchus assimilis and Dasineura brassicae on oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW007A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/187 Submitted under KCP 6.2/187 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceutorhynchus assimilis and Dasineura brassicae in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW007B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/188 Submitted under KCP 6.2/188 | Cáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/189 Submitted under KCP 6.2/189 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/190 Submitted under KCP 6.2/190 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/191 Submitted under KCP 6.2/191 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/192 Submitted under KCP 6.2/192 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/193 Submitted under KCP 6.2/193 | Subr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 Zkusebni stanice Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/194 Submitted under KCP 6.2/194 | Čáp, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/195 Submitted under KCP 6.2/195 | Jozefiak, D. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Slovakia in 2021 Berberis s.r.o., Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK21IEMABSD538A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/196 Submitted under KCP 6.2/196 | Jozefiak, D. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in apple against Cydia pomonella in Slovakia in 2021 Berberis s.r.o., Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK21IEMABSD538B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/197 Submitted under KCP 6.2/197 | Rohr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Ceutorhynchus napi/quadridens in winter oil seed rape Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/198 Submitted under KCP 6.2/198 | Rohr, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Meligethes aeneus in oil seed rape Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/199 Submitted under KCP 6.2/199 | Zickart, U. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on brassica pod midge (DASYBR) in oil seed rape, Germany 2014 BioChem agrar GmbH, Goch-Nierswalde, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/200 Submitted under KCP 6.2/200 | Rohr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on stem weevil in oil seed rape, Germany 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/201 Submitted under KCP 6.2/201 | Rohr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on pollen beetle in oil seed rape, Germany 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/202 Submitted under KCP 6.2/202 | Perner, J. | 2015 | Efficacy analysis of MCW-2222 SL against pollen beetles (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) in oil seed rape - Germany, 2015 U.A.S. GmbH, Jena, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/203 Submitted under KCP 6.2/203 | von Hörsten, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on pollen beetle in oil seed rape Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/204 Submitted under KCP 6.2/204 | von Hörsten, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on cabbage seed weevil and blossom beetle in oil seed rape Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320K GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/205 Submitted under KCP 6.2/205 | Barou, J.-L. | 2012 | Evaluate the insecticidal action of MCW-2222 used against autumn aphids (<i>Myzus persicae</i>) on rape AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. E-1277 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/206 Submitted under KCP 6.2/206 | Barou, J.-L. | 2012 | Evaluate the insecticidal action of MCW-2222 used against autumn aphids (<i>Myzus persicae</i>) on rape AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. E-1278 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/207 Submitted under KCP 6.2/207 | Barou, J.-L. | 2013 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 against aphids (<i>Myzus persicae</i>) on rape in France in 2013 AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. FR13IEBRNN302C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/208 Submitted under KCP 6.2/208 | Rivet, J.; Crepin, D. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 ESSAIS+, Boyelles, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/209 Submitted under KCP 6.2/209 | Rivet, J.; Crepin, D. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 ESSAIS+, Boyelles, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/210 Submitted under KCP 6.2/210 | Barou, J.-L. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/211 Submitted under KCP 6.2/211 | Barou, J.-L. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik flo and Pyrinex ME against autumn aphids Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) on oil seed rape in France in 2014 AGROTEST France, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN105D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/212 Submitted under KCP 6.2/212 | Tuna, V. | 2022 | Determination of efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in corn against Ostrinia nubilalis in Romania in 2022 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L., Timiș, Romania Report no. RO22IEZEAMX282A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/213 Submitted under KCP 6.2/213 | Jozefiak, D. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in corn against Ostrinia nubilalis in (Slovakia) in 2022 Berberis s.r.o., Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK22IEZEAMX501A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/214 Submitted under KCP 6.2/214 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in the Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IESOLTU536A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/215 Submitted under KCP 6.2/215 | Hetterich, A. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato 2014 Ingenieurbüro Andreas Hetterich, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE14IESOLTU320N GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/216 Submitted under KCP 6.2/216 | Hetterich, A. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on Colorado beetle in potato 2014 Ingenieurbüro Andreas Hetterich, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE14IESOLTU320O GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/217 Submitted under KCP 6.2/217 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Germany, 2022 Trialtec GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IESOLTU536C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/218 Submitted under KCP 6.2/218 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Germany, 2022 Trialtec GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IESOLTU536D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/219 Submitted under KCP 6.2/219 | Torkler, K. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Germany, 2022 QUINTUS GMBH, Hohen Wangelin OT Liepen, Germany Report no. DE22IESOLTU536E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/220 Submitted under KCP 6.2/220 | De Vries, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in The Netherlands, 2022 Vertify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IESOLTU004B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/221 Submitted under KCP 6.2/221 | De Vries, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in The Netherlands, 2022 Vertify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IESOLTU036C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/222 Submitted under KCP 6.2/222 | Armstrong, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in UK, 2022 Armstrong Agriculture Ltd, Stamford, United Kingdom Report no. UK22IESOLTU600A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/223 Submitted under KCP 6.2/223 | Gajek, D. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/224 Submitted under KCP 6.2/224 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/225 Submitted under KCP 6.2/225 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/226 Submitted under KCP 6.2/226 | Kukuła, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU108D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/227 Submitted under KCP 6.2/227 | Gajek, D. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/228 Submitted under KCP 6.2/228 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/229 Submitted under KCP 6.2/229 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadriens on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/230 Submitted under KCP 6.2/230 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/L) against cabbage stem weevil (Ceutorhynchus napi) on the winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/231 Submitted under KCP 6.2/231 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/l) used against cabbage seed weevil and cabbage seedstalk curculio in winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW301D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/232 Submitted under KCP 6.2/232 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/233 Submitted under KCP 6.2/233 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/234 Submitted under KCP 6.2/234 | Pawlak, A. | 2014 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in oil seed rape Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/235 Submitted under KCP 6.2/235 | Pawlak, A. | 2014 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in oil seed rape Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/236 Submitted under KCP 6.2/236 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used singly against blossom beetle on winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/237 Submitted under KCP 6.2/237 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 g/L) against blossom beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) on the winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW302F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/238 Submitted under KCP 6.2/238 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Ceutorhynchus assimilis</i> and <i>Dasineura brassicae</i> on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/239 Submitted under KCP 6.2/239 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Ceutorhynchus assimilis</i> and <i>Dasineura brassicae</i> on winter oil seed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/240 Submitted under KCP 6.2/240 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and <i>Brassica</i> pod midge on winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/241 Submitted under KCP 6.2/241 | Głowacki, G. | 2014 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and <i>Brassica</i> pod midge on winter rape Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL14IEBRSNW303D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/242 Submitted under KCP 6.2/242 | Furman-Fratczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of <i>Ceutorhynchus napi</i> and <i>Ceutorhynchus quadridens</i> on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/243 Submitted under KCP 6.2/243 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/244 Submitted under KCP 6.2/244 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/245 Submitted under KCP 6.2/245 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus napi in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/246 Submitted under KCP 6.2/246 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus napi in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW301E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/247 Submitted under KCP 6.2/247 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2015 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 SL) for the control of Ceutorhynchus napi and Ceutorhynchus quadridens on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Polska Report no. PL15IEBRSNW302A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/248 Submitted under KCP 6.2/248 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus quadridens in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW302B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/249 Submitted under KCP 6.2/249 | Pawlak, A. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy to MCW-222 on Ceutorhynchus quadridens in oil seed rape, Poland 2015 Staphyt Sp. z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW302C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/250 Submitted under KCP 6.2/250 | Szemendera, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW - 2222 in the control of cabbage seed weevil Ceutorhynchus assimilis on winter oilseed rape, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW303A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/251 Submitted under KCP 6.2/251 | Szemendera, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW - 2222 in the control of cabbage seed weevil Ceutorhynchus assimilis on winter oilseed rape, Poland 2015 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW303B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/252 Submitted under KCP 6.2/252 | Chermuła, Ł. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and Brassica pod midge on winter rape. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW304A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/253 Submitted under KCP 6.2/253 | Chermuła, Ł. | 2015 | Determination of efficacy of MCW-2222 used against cabbage seed weevil and Brassica pod midge on winter rape. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL15IEBRSNW304B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/254 Submitted under KCP 6.2/254 | Ferenc, S. | 2011 | Control on rape blossom beetle and cabbage seed weevil in oilseed rape by foliar spraying Government Office of Nógrád County, Balassagyarmat, Hungary Report no. Z-11/1/2011 Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/255 Submitted under KCP 6.2/255 | Csaba, N. | 2011 | Control of common pollen beetle and cabbage seedpod weevil in oilseed rape Government Office of Somogy County, Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. Z 11/2/2011 Official Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/256 Submitted under KCP 6.2/256 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLU109B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/257 Submitted under KCP 6.2/257 | Barasits, T. | 2011 | Efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 on insects of winter oilseed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. SRHU11-098-135IE GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/258 Submitted under KCP 6.2/258 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLU109C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/259 Submitted under KCP 6.2/259 | Kukuła, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLU109D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/260 Submitted under KCP 6.2/260 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLU109E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|-----------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/261 Submitted under KCP 6.2/261 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/262 Submitted under KCP 6.2/262 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/263 Submitted under KCP 6.2/263 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Potato aphid) in potato in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IESOLTU109H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/264 Submitted under KCP 6.2/264 | Barasits, T. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oilseed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU13IEBRSNW431A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/265 Submitted under KCP 6.2/265 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use AGRITEC, Research, Breeding & Services, Ltd., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEYCERW566B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/266 Submitted under KCP 6.2/266 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2013 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2013 Government Office of Nógrád County, Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU13IEBRSNW431C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/267 Submitted under KCP 6.2/267 | Hornik, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in the Czech Republic, 2022. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBEAVA538B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/268 Submitted under KCP 6.2/268 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in the Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBEAVA539A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/269 Submitted under KCP 6.2/269 | Hornik, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in the Czech Republic, 2022. ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBEAVA539B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/270 Submitted under KCP 6.2/270 | Zöllner, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Germany in 2022. Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA538A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/271 Submitted under KCP 6.2/271 | Wönckhaus, S. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Aphis fabae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2022 Agrartest GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA701A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/272 Submitted under KCP 6.2/272 | Lamers, K. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Myzus persicae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2022 BioChem agrar GmbH, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA701B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/273 Submitted under KCP 6.2/273 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Aphis fabae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA702A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/274 Submitted under KCP 6.2/274 | Zickart, U. | 2022 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B, ADM.02100.I.1.C, ADM.02100.I.3.A, ADM.02100.I.5.A prototypes against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Germany in 2022 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE22IEBEAVA702B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/275 Submitted under KCP 6.2/275 | De Vries, H. | 2020 | Efficacy trials for MCW-2222 to aphids in sugar beet Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEBEAVA023A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/276 Submitted under KCP 6.2/276 | De Vries, H. | 2020 | Efficacy trials for MCW-2222 to aphids in sugar beet Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEBEAVA023B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/277 Submitted under KCP 6.2/277 | Semaškienė, R., Almogdad, M. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (Aphis fabae) control in sugarbeets in Lithuania in 2020 Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry, Kėdainiai, Lithuania Report no. LT20IEBEAVA535A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/278 Submitted under KCP 6.2/278 | István, F. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW011A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/279 Submitted under KCP 6.2/279 | Ripka, G. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 Government Office of Nógrád County, Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW011B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/280 Submitted under KCP 6.2/280 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/281 Submitted under KCP 6.2/281 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2014 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/282 Submitted under KCP 6.2/282 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW013A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/283 Submitted under KCP 6.2/283 | Barasits, T. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU14IEBRSNW013B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/284 Submitted under KCP 6.2/284 | István, F. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas Country, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW101A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/285 Submitted under KCP 6.2/285 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Nógrád County, Balassagyarmat, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW101B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/286 Submitted under KCP 6.2/286 | István, F. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas County, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW102A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/287 Submitted under KCP 6.2/287 | Hoffmanné, P.Z. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/288 Submitted under KCP 6.2/288 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/289 Submitted under KCP 6.2/289 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/290 Submitted under KCP 6.2/290 | Ritecz, J. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW103D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/291 Submitted under KCP 6.2/291 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungay, Táplánszentkereszt, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/292 Submitted under KCP 6.2/292 | Hoffmanné, P.Z. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/293 Submitted under KCP 6.2/293 | István, F. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas Country, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/294 Submitted under KCP 6.2/294 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects and in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Nógrád County, Balassagyarmat, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/295 Submitted under KCP 6.2/295 | Čáp, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy to MAVRIK and further insecticides on pyrethroid-resistant pollen beetle (Meligethes aeneus) in the Czech Republic in 2016 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ16IEBRSNW005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/296 Submitted under KCP 6.2/296 | Toth, F. | 2013 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, quadridens on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2013 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK13IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/297 Submitted under KCP 6.2/297 | Daňa, P. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy to MAVRIK and further insecticides on pyrethroid-resistant pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) in the Czech Republic in 2016 Zemědělská ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ16IEBRSNW005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/298 Submitted under KCP 6.2/298 | Subr, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy to MAVRIK and further insecticides on pyrethroid-resistant pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i>) in the Czech Republic in 2016 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ16IEBRSNW005C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/299 Submitted under KCP 6.2/299 | Čáp, J. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ17IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/300 Submitted under KCP 6.2/300 | Jozefiak, D. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTNA, CEUTQ in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 UKSUP, Košice, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/301 Submitted under KCP 6.2/301 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTNA, CEUTQ in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/302 Submitted under KCP 6.2/302 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTNA, CEUTQ in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/303 Submitted under KCP 6.2/303 | Soltesz, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTNA, CEUTQ in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Fyše, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW001D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/304 Submitted under KCP 6.2/304 | Jozefiak, D. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on MELIAE in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 UKSUP, Košice, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/305 Submitted under KCP 6.2/305 | Tóth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on MELIAE in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Gemerprodukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/306 Submitted under KCP 6.2/306 | Jozefiak, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 UKSUP Bratislava branch office Kosice, Kosice, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/307 Submitted under KCP 6.2/307 | Forgáčová, L. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 Ing. L'ubica Foráčová, Boliarov, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/308 Submitted under KCP 6.2/308 | Jozefiak, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus assimilis, Dasineura bassicae on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 UKSUP Bratislava branch office Kosice, Kosice, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/309 Submitted under KCP 6.2/309 | Jozefiak, D. | 2015 | Analysis of the efficacy of MCW-2222 SL against Ceutorhynchus napi, on oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 UKSUP Bratislava branch office Kosice, Kosice, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/310 Submitted under KCP 6.2/310 | Zickart, U. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on virus vector aphids in cereals, Germany, autumn 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH NL Agroplan, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE15IENNNGW320I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/311 Submitted under KCP 6.2/311 | Kay, C. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of aphids (Myzus persicae) vectors of Turnip yellows virus/Beet western yellows virus in winter oilseed rape—UK, 2015. Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/312 Submitted under KCP 6.2/312 | Lines, J. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter cereals—1 site in the UK, 2015 Eurofins Agroscience service Ltd., Melbourne, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/313 Submitted under KCP 6.2/313 | Lines, J. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter cereals—1 site in the UK, 2015 Eurofins Agroscience service Ltd., Melbourne, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/314 Submitted under KCP 6.2/314 | Lines, J. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW 2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter cereals—1 site in the UK, 2015 Eurofins Agroscience service Ltd., Melbourne, UK Report no. UK15IEYCERW240G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/315 Submitted under KCP 6.2/315 | Rohr, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on cabbage stem flea beetle /Psylliodes chrysocephala/ in oil seed rape Germany autumn 2014 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE14IEBRSNW320I GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/316 Submitted under KCP 6.2/316 | Kukuła, A., Kostek, T. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland in 2020 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Olawa, Poland Report no. PL20IEBEAVA221B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/317 Submitted under KCP 6.2/317 | Stognienko, M. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 on Psylliodes chrysocephala in winter oil seed rape, Germany, autumn 2015 BioChem agrar GmbH NL Agroplan, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320Q GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/318 Submitted under KCP 6.2/318 | Čáp, J. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in the Czech Republic, 2020 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IEBRSNW511B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/319 Submitted under KCP 6.2/319 | Kay, C. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of cabbage stem flea beetle (Psylliodes chrysocephala) in winter oilseed rape—UK, 2015. Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK15IEBRSNW239A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/320 Submitted under KCP 6.2/320 | Kay, C. | 2015 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of cabbage stem flea beetle (Psylliodes chrysocephala) in winter oilseed rape—UK, 2015. Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK15IEBRSNW239B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|-------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/321 Submitted under KCP 6.2/321 | Vašátková Štanclová, I. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/322 Submitted under KCP 6.2/322 | Daňa, P. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 Zemědělska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/323 Submitted under KCP 6.2/323 | Bauer, T. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o., Uherský Ostroh, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/324 Submitted under KCP 6.2/324 | Vilka, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (Myzus persicae) control in potatoes in Sweden in 2020 Agrolab Sverige AB, Skänninge, Sweden Report no. SE20IESOLTU259A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/325 Submitted under KCP 6.2/325 | Ozolina-Pole, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for Colorado potato beetle (Leptinotarsa decemlineata) control in potatoes in Latvia in 2020 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV20IESOLTU534A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/326 Submitted under KCP 6.2/326 | Chermuła, L. | 2014 | Determination of the efficacy of MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/l) against green peach aphid (Myzus persicae), on potato. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Szamotuły, Poland Report no. PL13IESOLTU205A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|---------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/327 Submitted under KCP 6.2/327 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes, Poland 2021 BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o. o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/328 Submitted under KCP 6.2/328 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland 2021 MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/329 Submitted under KCP 6.2/329 | Rusek, K. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/330 Submitted under KCP 6.2/330 | Głowacki, G. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland 2021 Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL21IESOLTU245D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/331 Submitted under KCP 6.2/331 | Benezes, B. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21IESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/332 Submitted under KCP 6.2/332 | Olasz, L. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU21IESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/333 Submitted under KCP 6.2/333 | Labant, A. | 2021 | Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> in potatoes Hungary, 2021 Növénypathyka Kft., Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU21IESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/334 Submitted under KCP 6.2/334 | Botoman, G. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> on potato GEP Trial, Romania, 2021 AgroProspect SRL, Brasov, Romania Report no. RO21IESOLTU234A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/335 Submitted under KCP 6.2/335 | Botoman, G. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of <i>Leptinotarsa decemlineata</i> on potato GEP Trial, Romania, 2021 AgroProspect SRL, Brasov, Romania Report no. RO21IESOLTU234B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/336 Submitted under KCP 6.2/336 | Gulbis, K. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>) control in spring wheat in Latvia in 2021 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV21IEYCERE421A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/337 Submitted under KCP 6.2/337 | Ozolina-Pole, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (<i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i>) control in spring wheat in Latvia in 2021 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd., Riga, Latvia Report no. LV21IEYCERE421B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/338 Submitted under KCP 6.2/338 | Torkler, K. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against <i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> (aphids virus control) in Germany in 2021, autumn use Quintus GmbH, Hohen Wangelin, Germany Report no. DE21IEYCERW566A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/339 Submitted under KCP 6.2/339 | Seifert, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in Germany in 2021, autumn use BioChem agrar GmbH, Uedem, Germany Report no. DE21IEYCERW566B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/340 Submitted under KCP 6.2/340 | de Vries, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in The Netherlands in 2021, autumn use Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL21IEHORVW034A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/341 Submitted under KCP 6.2/341 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (BYDV) control in winter barley in Lithuania, 2021 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LT21IEYCERW408C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/342 Submitted under KCP 6.2/342 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter barley, Poland 2021 /2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEHORVW277A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/343 Submitted under KCP 6.2/343 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter barley, Poland 2021 /2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEHORVW277B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/344 Submitted under KCP 6.2/344 | Safar, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2020, autumn use AGRITEC, Research, Breeding & Services, Ltd., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IEHORVW507A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/345 Submitted under KCP 6.2/345 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Efficacy and selectivity of different insecticides for control of aphid virus vectors (BYDV) in winter cereals, Germany 2016 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE16IENNNGW311D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/346 Submitted under KCP 6.2/346 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Efficacy and selectivity of different insecticides for control of aphid virus vectors (BYDV) in winter cereals, Germany 2016 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen-Panrod, Germany Report no. DE16IENNNGW311F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/347 Submitted under KCP 6.2/347 | Ommen, T. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in Germany in 2020, autumn use plantus-GbR, Huntlosen, Germany Report no. DE20IENNNGW507B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/348 Submitted under KCP 6.2/348 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Evaluate the efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 and MAVRIK FLO on Rhopalosiphum padi on cereals, in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEYCERE111A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/349 Submitted under KCP 6.2/349 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEHORVW209A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/350 Submitted under KCP 6.2/350 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 in winter barley against aphids (BYDV) in Lithuania, 2020 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LT20IEYCERW559B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/351 Submitted under KCP 6.2/351 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEYCERW566A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/352 Submitted under KCP 6.2/352 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (BYDV) control in winter wheat in Lithuania, 2021 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LT21IEYCERW408B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/353 Submitted under KCP 6.2/353 | Vilka, L. | 2021 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for aphid (BYDV) control in winter wheat in Latvia, 2021 SIA Agrolab Baltic, Saldus Municipality, Latvia Report no. LV21IEYCERW471A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/354 Submitted under KCP 6.2/354 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter wheat, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IETRZAW278A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/355 Submitted under KCP 6.2/355 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Rhopalosiphum padi in winter wheat, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IETRZAW278B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/356 Submitted under KCP 6.2/356 | Cap, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Rhopalosiphum padi (aphids virus control) in the Czech Republic in 2020, autumn use ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Nechanice S.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IETRZAW507B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/357 Submitted under KCP 6.2/357 | Cap, J. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter cereals against Sitobion avenae (MACSAV) in the Czech Republic in 2020, spring use ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Nechanice S.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IETRZAW508B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/358 Submitted under KCP 6.2/358 | Barou, J. | 2014 | Evaluate the efficacy and selectivity of MCW-2222 on Sitobion avenae on cereals, in France , 2014 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEYCERE108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/359 Submitted under KCP 6.2/359 | Sutherland, J. | 2017 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of aphids (BYDV) in winter wheat in the UK, 2016 –2017 Eurofins Agroscience Services, Willand ,UK Report no. UK16IETRZAW269C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/360 Submitted under KCP 6.2/360 | Flaviola, J. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2014 Agrovita spol.s r.o., Jesenice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEBRSNW005D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/361 Submitted under KCP 6.2/361 | Fialova, J. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in the Czech Republic in 2015 Agrovita spol.s r.o., Jesenice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEBRSNW001F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/362 Submitted under KCP 6.2/362 | Čáp, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Phyllotreta cruciferae in the Czech Republic in 2020, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ20IEBRSNW534B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/363 Submitted under KCP 6.2/363 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Psylliodes chrysocephala in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW567B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/364 Submitted under KCP 6.2/364 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW568A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/365 Submitted under KCP 6.2/365 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use AGRITEC, Research, Breeding & Services, Ltd., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW568B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/366 Submitted under KCP 6.2/366 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Phyllotreta cruciferae in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW570A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/367 Submitted under KCP 6.2/367 | Daňá, P. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> in the Czech Republic in 2021, autumn use Zemědělska ZS Kujavy, s.r.o., Kujavy, Czech Republic Report no. CZ21IEBRSNW570B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/368 Submitted under KCP 6.2/368 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in rape against <i>Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus</i> (CEUTQU) in the Czech Republic in 2022 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW503B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/369 Submitted under KCP 6.2/369 | Subr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in rape against <i>Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus</i> (CEUTQU) in the Czech Republic in 2022 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW503C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/370 Submitted under KCP 6.2/370 | Čáp, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Czech Republic, 2022 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW506B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/371 Submitted under KCP 6.2/371 | Hruška, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Czech Republic, 2022 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o., Trutnov, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW506C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/372 Submitted under KCP 6.2/372 | Seidenglanz, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o., Šumperk, Czech Republic Report no. CZ22IEBRSNW506D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/373 Submitted under KCP 6.2/373 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on pests in oil seed rape, Germany autumn 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320O GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/374 Submitted under KCP 6.2/374 | Rohr, J. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on pests in oil seed rape, Germany autumn 2015 Agrartest GmbH, Aarbergen, Germany Report no. DE15IEBRSNW320P GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/375 Submitted under KCP 6.2/375 | Kästner, K. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape in Germany 2016 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE16IEBRSNW310C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/376 Submitted under KCP 6.2/376 | Perner, J. | 2017 | Efficacy of different insecticides on cabbage stem flea beetle (<i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i>) in oil seed winter rape, Germany 2016/17 U.A.S. Umwelt- und Agrarstudien GmbH, Jena, Germany Report no. DE16IEBRSNW312E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/377 Submitted under KCP 6.2/377 | Labusch, U. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape, Germany, 2017 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE17IEBRSNW310A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/378 Submitted under KCP 6.2/378 | Zickart, U. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape, Germany, 2017 BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE17IEBRSNW310B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/379 Submitted under KCP 6.2/379 | Laug, S. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape, Germany, 2017 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach, Germany Report no. DE17IEBRSNW310D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/380 Submitted under KCP 6.2/380 | Rohr, J. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Germany 2020 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNN511A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/381 Submitted under KCP 6.2/381 | Rohr, J. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Germany 2020 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNN511B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/382 Submitted under KCP 6.2/382 | Rohr, J. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis</i> in Germany in 2020, autumn use Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNN526A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/383 Submitted under KCP 6.2/383 | Rohr, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2021 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW533A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/384 Submitted under KCP 6.2/384 | Rohr, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2021 Trialtac GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW533B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/385 Submitted under KCP 6.2/385 | Martin, T. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCS-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in Germany in 2021, autumn use martin Feldversuchswesen, Orsingen-Nenzingen, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW568B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/386 Submitted under KCP 6.2/386 | Hetterich, A. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis in Germany in 2021, autumn use Hetterich Fieldwork GbR, Schwarzach am Main, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW569A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/387 Submitted under KCP 6.2/387 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis in Germany in 2021, autumn use Trialtec GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW569B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/388 Submitted under KCP 6.2/388 | Wied, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis in Germany in 2021, autumn use STAPHYT GmbH, Baden-Württemberg, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW569C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/389 Submitted under KCP 6.2/389 | Jatczak, J. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) and Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland in 2020 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL20IEBEAVA221C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/390 Submitted under KCP 6.2/390 | Zickart, U. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Phyllotreta cruciferae in Germany in 2021, autumn use BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW570A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/391 Submitted under KCP 6.2/391 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis on OSR in Germany in 2021 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW571A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/392 Submitted under KCP 6.2/392 | Woenckhaus, S. | 2022 | Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Ceutorhynchus picipitarsis on OSR in Germany in 2021 Agrartest GmbH, Stade, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW571B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/393 Submitted under KCP 6.2/393 | Zöllner, H. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C against adult of Psylliodes chrysocephala on OSR in Germany in 2021 Field Research Support, Wunstorf, Germany Report no. DE21IEBRSNW572B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/394 Submitted under KCP 6.2/394 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in rape against Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus (CEUTQU) in Germany in 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEBRSNW503B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/395 Submitted under KCP 6.2/395 | Rohr, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2022 Trialtex GmbH, Haby, Germany Report no. DE22IEBRSNW505B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/396 Submitted under KCP 6.2/396 | Perner, J. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Germany 2022 U.A.S. Umwelt- und Agrarstudien GmbH, Jena, Germany Report no. DE22IEBRSNW505C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/397 Submitted under KCP 6.2/397 | Barou, J. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against rape stem weevils (<i>Ceutorhynchus napi</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN101D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/398 Submitted under KCP 6.2/398 | Villeteau, C. | 2014 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2014 ANADIAG, Ruy, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN102B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/399 Submitted under KCP 6.2/399 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> on oilseed rape in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Loriol Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN107C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/400 Submitted under KCP 6.2/400 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> on oilseed rape in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Loriol Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN107D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/401 Submitted under KCP 6.2/401 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik Flo and Pyrinex ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/402 Submitted under KCP 6.2/402 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, Mavrik Flo and Pyrinex ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|----------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/403 Submitted under KCP 6.2/403 | Tartier, J.; Pereheron, M. | 2014 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, MAVRIK FLO and PYRINEX ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 BIOTEK Agriculture, Saint Pouange, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/404 Submitted under KCP 6.2/404 | Tartier, J. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222, MAVRIK FLO and PYRINEX ME against <i>Phyllotreta</i> sp. (<i>Phyllotreta atra</i> or <i>Phyllotreta nemorum</i>) on oilseed rape in France in 2014 BIOTEK Agriculture, Saint Pouange, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN108F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/405 Submitted under KCP 6.2/405 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against <i>Ceutorhynchus pictarisis</i> and <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> on oilseed rape in France in 2014 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR14IEBRSNN113A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/406 Submitted under KCP 6.2/406 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/407 Submitted under KCP 6.2/407 | Hons, E. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 PROMO VERT, Serres Castet, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/408 Submitted under KCP 6.2/408 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/409 Submitted under KCP 6.2/409 | Bersegeay, A. | 2015 | Efficacy of PYRINEX ME, MAVRIK FLO, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2015 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN101F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/410 Submitted under KCP 6.2/410 | Voisin, J. F | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against rape stem weevils (Ceutorhynchus napi) on oilseed rape in France in 2015 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN103C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/411 Submitted under KCP 6.2/411 | Voisin, J. F | 2015 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against rape stem weevils (Ceutorhynchus napi) on oilseed rape in France in 2015 Agrotest, Revel, France Report no. FR15IEBRSNN103D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/412 Submitted under KCP 6.2/412 | Rouane, W. | 2016 | Efficacy of MCW 3031, MCW 5023 and MCW 2222 against pollen beetles on rape in France in 2016 ANADIAG, Ruy, France Report no. FR16IEBRSNN103D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/413 Submitted under KCP 6.2/413 | Gressard, M. | 2019 | Analysis of efficacy of different insecticides on Meligethes aeneus in winter oil seed rape in France in 2018 QUALIPHYT, Lorient Sur Drôme, France Report no. FR18IEBRSNN101A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/414 Submitted under KCP 6.2/414 | Vilka, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW 2222 for brassica pod midge (Dasineura brassicae) and cabbage seedpod weevil (Ceutorhynchus assimilis) control in WOSR in Sweden in 2020 Agrolab Sverige AB, Skänninge, Sweden Report no. SE20IEBRSNW258A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/415 Submitted under KCP 6.2/415 | Lamers, K. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in Germany in 2020, autumn use BioChem agrar GmbH, Machern, Germany Report no. DE20IEBRSNN509B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/416 Submitted under KCP 6.2/416 | Howkins, L. | 2017 | Registration trials with MCW-2222 for control of cabbage stem flea beetle (<i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i>) in winter oilseed rape, UK, 2016 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK16IEBRSNW254C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/417 Submitted under KCP 6.2/417 | Howkins, L. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in oil seed rape, UK, 2017 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK17IEBRSNN272B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/418 Submitted under KCP 6.2/418 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEBRSNW206A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/419 Submitted under KCP 6.2/419 | Stokes, L. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in the UK 2021 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW218A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/420 Submitted under KCP 6.2/420 | Stokes, L. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNS) in the UK 2021 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW219A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/421 Submitted under KCP 6.2/421 | Stokes, L. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in the UK, in 2021, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW234B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/422 Submitted under KCP 6.2/422 | Stokes, L. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in the UK, in 2021, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK21IEBRSNW234C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/423 Submitted under KCP 6.2/423 | Stokes, L. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in UK 2022 Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd., Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK22IEBRSNN602B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/424 Submitted under KCP 6.2/424 | Ozolina-Pole, L. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for brassica pod midge (<i>Dasineura brassicae</i>) and cabbage seedpod weevil (<i>Ceutorhynchus obstrictus</i>) control in winter OSR in Latvia in 2020 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV20IEBRSNN527A_2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/425 Submitted under KCP 6.2/425 | Gulbis, K. | 2020 | Efficacy and selectivity testing of insecticide MCW-2222 for brassica pod midge (<i>Dasineura brassicae</i>) and cabbage seedpod weevil (<i>Ceutorhynchus obstrictus</i>) control in winter OSR in Latvia in 2020 Latvian Plant Protection Research Centre Ltd, Riga, Latvia Report no. LV20IEBRSNN527B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/426 Submitted under KCP 6.2/426 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2017 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of insecticides product for the control of pests on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o. o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL16IEBRSNW309A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/427 Submitted under KCP 6.2/427 | Zielińska, W. | 2016 | Efficacy of insecticides MCW-5023 and MCW-2222 for controlling pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i> F.) in winter oilseed rape Institute of Plant Protection - National Research Institute, Poznań, Poland Report no. PL16IEBRSNW309B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/428 Submitted under KCP 6.2/428 | Zielińska, W. | 2016 | Efficacy of insecticides MCW-5023 and MCW-2222 for controlling pollen beetle (<i>Meligethes aeneus</i> F.) in winter oilseed rape Institute of Plant Protection - National Research Institute, Poznań, Poland Report no. PL16IEBRSNW309C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/429 Submitted under KCP 6.2/429 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2017 | The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of insecticides product for the control of pests on winter oilseed rape BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL17IEBRSNW047B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/430 Submitted under KCP 6.2/430 | Potocka, E. | 2017 | Analysis of efficacy to different insecticides on <i>Meligethes aeneus</i> in winter oil seed rape SynTech Research Poland Sp. z o.o., Bydgoszcz, Poland Report no. PL17IEBRSNW047C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/431 Submitted under KCP 6.2/431 | Kukuła, A. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Phyllotreta cruciferae</i> in Poland in 2020, autumn use AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Gać, Poland Report no. PL20IEBRSNW219B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/432 Submitted under KCP 6.2/432 | Gajek, D. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (<i>MELIAE</i>) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Poland 2020 Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL20IEBRSNW220A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/433 Submitted under KCP 6.2/433 | Pawlak, A. | 2020 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNN) in Poland 2020 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL20IEBRSNW225B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/434 Submitted under KCP 6.2/434 | Szymańczyk, M. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland. 2021 Institute of Plant Protection - National Research Institute, Poznań, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW237A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/435 Submitted under KCP 6.2/435 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland 2021 Agro Reserach Consulting, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW239A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/436 Submitted under KCP 6.2/436 | Pszczółkowski, M. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland 2021 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW239B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/437 Submitted under KCP 6.2/437 | Pszczółkowski, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Psylliodes chrysocephala in Poland in 2021, autumn use Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/438 Submitted under KCP 6.2/438 | Rusek, K. | 2022 | Efficacy of MCW -2222 in control of Psylliodes chrysocephala in winter oilseed rape, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/439 Submitted under KCP 6.2/439 | Jatczak, J. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> in Poland in 2021, autumn use ANADIAG SAS Oddział w Polsce, Zgierz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/440 Submitted under KCP 6.2/440 | Głowacki, G. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against <i>Psylliodes chrysocephala</i> (Cabbage stem flea beetle) in Poland. 2021. autumn use. Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBRSNW241D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/441 Submitted under KCP 6.2/441 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNS) in Poland in 2022. Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/442 Submitted under KCP 6.2/442 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland in 2022. Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/443 Submitted under KCP 6.2/443 | Gajek, D. | 2021 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland, 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING, Łowicz, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/444 Submitted under KCP 6.2/444 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in Poland in 2022. Fertico Sp. z o.o. Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBRSNW113D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/445 Submitted under KCP 6.2/445 | Veszélka, M.S. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 Government Office of Vas Country, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW102B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/446 Submitted under KCP 6.2/446 | Barasits, T. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW 2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape in Hungary 2015 SynTech Research Hungary Kft., Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU15IEBRSNW104E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/447 Submitted under KCP 6.2/447 | Farkas, I. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 Pannon Helyi Termék Kft., Oszkó, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/448 Submitted under KCP 6.2/448 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 Government Office of Nógrád County, Plant Prot. Dir., Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/449 Submitted under KCP 6.2/449 | Hoffmanné Pathy, S. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 Növénypathyka KFT, Somogy, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/450 Submitted under KCP 6.2/450 | Kodor, G. | 2016 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2016 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU16IEBRSNW002E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-----------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/451 Submitted under KCP 6.2/451 | Barasits, T. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 SynTech Research Hungary Kft, Szombathely, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/452 Submitted under KCP 6.2/452 | Hoffmanné Pathy, Z. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Növénypathyka KFT, Kaposvár, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/453 Submitted under KCP 6.2/453 | Magyar, B. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Fruetika Kft, Tiszakanyár, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/454 Submitted under KCP 6.2/454 | Szántóné Veszélka, M. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Government Office of Nógrád County, Plant Prot. Dir., Salgótarján, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/455 Submitted under KCP 6.2/455 | Fekete, A. | 2017 | Efficacy of Mavrik 24EW against pollen beetle in winter oilseed rape in Hungary in 2017 Pannon Helyi Termék Kft, Oszkó, Hungary Report no. HU17IEBRSNW101E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/456 Submitted under KCP 6.2/456 | Jozefiak, D. | 2014 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTAS, DASYBR in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 UKSUP, Košice, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW003A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/457 Submitted under KCP 6.2/457 | Ceri, L. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy to MCW-2222 SL on CEUTAS, DASYBR oil seed rape, Slovakia 2014 Fyse, s.r.o. AgroLab, Kolare, Slovakia Report no. SK14IEBRSNW003B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/458 Submitted under KCP 6.2/458 | Toth, F. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/459 Submitted under KCP 6.2/459 | Toth, F. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/460 Submitted under KCP 6.2/460 | Soltesz, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 Fyse, Ltd., Dep. AgroLab, Koláre, Slovak Republic Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001G GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/461 Submitted under KCP 6.2/461 | Soltesz, J. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 Fyse, Ltd., Dep. AgroLab, Koláre, Slovak Republic Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001H GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/462 Submitted under KCP 6.2/462 | Toth, F. | 2016 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001J GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/463 Submitted under KCP 6.2/463 | Kolník, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in [Slovakia] 2022 InTec Agro Trials Slovakia s.r.o., Bratislava, Slovakia Report no. SK22IEBRSNW505A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/464 Submitted under KCP 6.2/464 | Kolník, M. | 2022 | Evaluation of a range of insecticides against pollen beetle (MELIAE) on oilseed rape (BRSNW) in [Slovakia] 2022 InTec Agro Trials Slovakia s.r.o., Bratislava, Slovakia Report no. SK22IEBRSNW505B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/465 Submitted under KCP 6.2/465 | Jatczak, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B against Myzus persicae in sugarbeet in Poland in 2021 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/466 Submitted under KCP 6.2/466 | Rusek, K. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.02100.I.1.B in control of aphids in sugar beet, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/467 Submitted under KCP 6.2/467 | Jatczak, J. | 2021 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B against Aphis fabae in Sugarbeet in Poland in 2021 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/468 Submitted under KCP 6.2/468 | Rusek, K. | 2021 | Efficacy of ADM.02100.I.1.B in control of aphids in sugar beet, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA233F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|---------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/469 Submitted under KCP 6.2/469 | Furman-Frątczak, K. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against aphids, Poland 2021 BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA238A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/470 Submitted under KCP 6.2/470 | Jatczak, J. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) and Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland in 2021 ANADIAG SAS, Oddział, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA238B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/471 Submitted under KCP 6.2/471 | Głowacki, G. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in sugar beet against Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in Poland, 2021 Eurofins Agrosience Services Sp. z. o.o., Kaźmierz, Poland Report no. PL21IEBEAVA238C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/472 Submitted under KCP 6.2/472 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/473 Submitted under KCP 6.2/473 | PSZCZÓŁKOWSKI, M. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland. 2022 Staphyt Sp. Z o.o., Poznań, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/474 Submitted under KCP 6.2/474 | Kukuła, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland. 2022 AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.1/475 Submitted under KCP 6.2/475 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/476 Submitted under KCP 6.2/476 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/477 Submitted under KCP 6.2/477 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Aphis fabae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA110F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/478 Submitted under KCP 6.2/478 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/479 Submitted under KCP 6.2/479 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/480 Submitted under KCP 6.2/480 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|----------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/481 Submitted under KCP 6.2/481 | Szemendera, A. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against Myzus persicae in sugar beet in Poland, 2022 Fertico Sp. z o o., Błędów, Poland Report no. PL22IEBEAVA111D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/482 Submitted under KCP 6.2/482 | Torkler, K. | 2021 | Evaluation of ADM.02100.I.1.B against Aphis fabae in sugarbeet in Germany in 2021 Quintus GmbH, Liepen, Germany Report no. DE21IEBEAVA530A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/483 Submitted under KCP 6.2/483 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEBRSNW207A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/484 Submitted under KCP 6.2/484 | Erb, H. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in winter oilseed rape against Myzus persicae (virus vector) in the UK in 2020, autumn use Oxford Agricultural Trials Ltd, Stratton Audley, UK Report no. UK20IEBRSNW207B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/485 Submitted under KCP 6.2/485 | Oostingh, C. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in ornamentals against Aphis fabae (APHIFA) in The Netherlands in 2020 Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA027A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/486 Submitted under KCP 6.2/486 | Oostingh, C. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 in Ornamentals against Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) in The Netherlands in 2020 Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA027B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/487 Submitted under KCP 6.2/487 | Oostingh, C. | 2020 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2020 Proeftuin Zwaagdijk, Zwaagdijk Oost, the Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA028A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/488 Submitted under KCP 6.2/488 | Kohrman, E.J.M. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2020 Cultus Crop Research BV, Lottum, The Netherlands Report no. NL20IEYORNA028B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/489 Submitted under KCP 6.2/489 | Oostingh, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2021 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/490 Submitted under KCP 6.2/490 | Oostingh, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) and Myzus persicae (MYZUPE) in The Netherlands in 2021 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/491 Submitted under KCP 6.2/491 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/492 Submitted under KCP 6.2/492 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21IEYORNA031E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/493 Submitted under KCP 6.2/493 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA031F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/494 Submitted under KCP 6.2/494 | Oostingh, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Green-peach aphid (MYZUPE) and bean aphid (APHIFA) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA032A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/495 Submitted under KCP 6.2/495 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Green-peach aphid (MYZUPE), bean aphid (APHIFA) or potato aphid (MACSEU) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA032B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/496 Submitted under KCP 6.2/496 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Phyllaphis fagi (PHYAFA) beech in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA033A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/497 Submitted under KCP 6.2/497 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2021 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Phyllaphis fagi (PHYAFA) beech in The Netherlands in 2021 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL21HEYORNA033B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/498 Submitted under KCP 6.2/498 | Kreuk, F. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in Lily in The Netherlands in 2022 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IELILSS009A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|-------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/499 Submitted under KCP 6.2/499 | Kreuk, F. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in Lily in The Netherlands in 2022 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IELILSS009B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/500 Submitted under KCP 6.2/500 | Kreuk, F. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in Lily in The Netherlands in 2022 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IELILSS009C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/501 Submitted under KCP 6.2/501 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/502 Submitted under KCP 6.2/502 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/503 Submitted under KCP 6.2/503 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA005C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/504 Submitted under KCP 6.2/504 | Oostingh, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW 2222 in ornamentals against Aphis gossypii (APHIGO) in The Netherlands in 2022 Verify, Zwaagdijk Oost, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA005D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|-------------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.1/505 Submitted under KCP 6.2/505 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (MACSEU) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA007A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/506 Submitted under KCP 6.2/506 | Van Der Voort, C. | 2022 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 against <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (MACSEU) in ornamentals in The Netherlands in 2022 Cultus Crop Research BV, NC Melderslo, The Netherlands Report no. NL22IEYORNA007B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.1/507 Submitted under KCP 6.2/507 | Toth, F. | 2015 | Analysis of efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on biting insects in oil seed rape, Slovakia 2015 GemerProdukt Valice OVD, Rimavská Sobota, Slovakia Report no. SK15IEBRSNW001C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/001 Submitted under KCP 6.2/001 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 SL on aphids and apple sawfly in apple in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IEMABSD028A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/002 Submitted under KCP 6.2/002 | Hornik, P. | 2013 | Efficacy evaluation of MCW-2222 SL on aphids and apple sawfly in apple in the Czech Republic in 2013 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ13IEMABSD028B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/011 Submitted under KCP 6.2/011 | Tvaruzek, L. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on <i>Cydia pomonella</i> in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. Zemedelsky vyzkumny ustav Kromeriz, s.r.o., Havlickova, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011D GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.2/012 Submitted under KCP 6.2/012 | Tvaruzek, L. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL on Cydia pomonella in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014. Zemedelsky vyzkumny ustav Kromeriz, s.r.o., Havlickova, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD011E GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/015 Submitted under KCP 6.2/015 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/016 Submitted under KCP 6.2/016 | Hornik, P. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/017 Submitted under KCP 6.2/017 | Richter, T. | 2014 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Quadraspidiotus perniciosus in apple in the Czech Republic in 2014 PP Trial s.r.o., Brno, Czech Republic Report no. CZ14IEMABSD012C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/020 Submitted under KCP 6.2/020 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/021 Submitted under KCP 6.2/021 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.2/025 Submitted under KCP 6.2/025 | Hornik, P. | 2015 | Efficacy of MCW-2222 SL on Californian scale in apple in the Czech Republic 2015 ZS Nechanice, s.r.o., Nechanice, Czech Republic Report no. CZ15IEMABSD005F GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/032 Submitted under KCP 6.2/032 | Gajek, D. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL in the control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi on apple, Poland 2012 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. 072_01_F12_134 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.2/033 Submitted under KCP 6.2/033 | Gajek, D. | 2012 | Efficacy of MCW 2222 SL in the control of green apple aphid Aphis pomi on apple, Poland 2012 Fertico Sp. z o.o., Błędów, Poland Report no. 072_02_F12_135 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/001 | Kukuła, A. | 2014 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0139-6 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/002 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. DE15IESOLTU320C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/003 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. DE15IESOLTU320A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.4/004 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. DE15IESOLTU320B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/005 | Kukula, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation – the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL15IPMABSD100A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/006 | Kukula, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation – the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL15IPMABSD100B GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/007 | Kukula, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation – the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. PL15IPMABSD100C GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/008 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. RO15IESOLTU012A GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| KCP 6.4.4/009 | Kukuła, A. | 2014 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0138-4 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/010 | Kukuła, A. | 2014 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0138-5 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/011 | Kukuła, A. | 2014 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0138-1 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/012 | Kukuła, A. | 2014 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0138-2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/013 | Kukuła, A. | 2014 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of fresh and processing apples AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0138-3 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 6.4.4/014 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0139-1 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/015 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0139-2 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 6.4.4/016 | Kukuła, A. | 2015 | Sensory evaluation - the influence of the plant protection product MCW-2222 (Acetamiprid 200 SL) on taint of processing potatoes AGRECO Sp. z o.o., Oława, Poland Report no. 15ADA0139-3 GEP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 7.1.3/01 | ██████████ | 2013 | Acetamiprid 200 SL: Acute inhalation toxicity study (Nose-only) in the rat 12/445-004P ██████████ GLP Unpublished | Y | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 7.1.4/01 | Kiss I. | 2013 | Acetamiprid 200 SL: <i>In vitro</i> skin irritation test in the EPISKIN model 12/445-043B Source CiToxLAB Hungary Ltd GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R- | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|-------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | 106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 7.1.5/01 | Kiss I. | 2013 | Acetamiprid 200 SL: <i>In vitro</i> eye irritation test in isolated chicken eyes 12/445-038CS Source CiToxLAB Hungary Ltd GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 7.3/01 | Rheus, A.A. | 2013 | <i>In vitro</i> percutaneous absorption of Acetamiprid, formulated as Acetamiprid 200 SL, through human and rat skin V20330/08 Source TNO Triskelion GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 7.3/02 | Di Donato | 2023 | Acetamiprid - <i>In vitro</i> percutaneous penetration of [¹⁴ C]Acetamiprid formulated as Acetamiprid 200 SL (ADM.00150.1.2.A) through Human Skin Membranes - This study is ongoing. 20220544 Source: Innovative Environmental Services (IES) GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.1/01 | Lindner M., Grewe D. | 2017 | Storage stability of acetamiprid in honey bee related matrices, arthropods and ground vegetation under deep frozen conditions Report No S16-02170 (MAC-1608L) Sponsor No R-37693 Eurofins Agrosience Services Chem GmbH | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| | | | GLP Unpublished | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 8.1/02 | Schrag K. | 2022 | Determination of the Storage Stability of Acetamiprid in Honey at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$. Report No 21A14030-01-SSHN Sponsor No 000107275 Chemisches Institut Pforzheim GmbH GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.1/01 | Méric D | 2014a | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in apples (RAC fruits), following one or two applications of MCW-2222 in two trials (1 DCS + 1 HS), Northern Europe (Northern France) Report No DMC-13-16134 Sponsor No R-33599 STAPHYT GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.1/02 | Roussel Ch.H. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in apple (RAC fruits and processed fractions), following one or two applications of MCW-2222 in six trials (3 DCS + 3 HS), Northern Europe (Northern France, Germany, Poland and Belgium) – 2014 Report No ChR-14-17311 Sponsor No R-34915 STAPHYT GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| KCP 8.3.2/01 | Bousquet C. | 2014 | Magnitude of the Residue of acetamiprid in potato Raw Agricultural Commodity after two applications of MCW-2222 in three decline curve trials (Poland, United Kingdom and Northern France) and in one harvest trial (Poland) in Northern Europe – 2013 Report No 13SGS102 Sponsor No R-33600 SGS AGRI MIN GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.3/01 | Méric D. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in oilseed rape (RAC whole plants, pods and seeds), following one or two applications of MCW-2222 in two trials (1 DCS + 1 HS), Northern Europe (Germany and Northern France) – 2013 Report No DMC-13-16129 Sponsor No R-33598 STAPHYT GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.3/02 | Chevallier E. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residue of acetamiprid in winter oil seed rape (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one or two applications of MCW-2222 – three decline curve trials and three harvest trials in Northern Europe (Northern France, Poland, Germany, Czech Republic and Hungary) – 2014 Report No 14SGS035 Sponsor No R-34910 SGS AGRI MIN GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.4/01 | Chevallier E. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residue of acetamiprid in barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after two applications of MCW-2222 – four decline curve trials and four harvest trials in Northern Europe (Northern | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------|---------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | France, Poland, Germany, Hungary and Austria) – 2014 Chevallier E., 2014 Report No 14SGS034 SGS AGRI MIN GLP Unpublished | | | registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 8.3.5/01 | Lebrun F. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residue of acetamiprid in maize (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of MCW-2222 - four semi decline curve trials and four decline curve trials in Northern Europe (Northern France, Poland, Germany, Hungary and Austria) – 2014 Report No 14SGS039 Sponsor No R-34912 SGS AGRI MIN GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.6/01 | Chevallier E. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residue of acetamiprid in wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after two applications of MCW-2222 - four decline curve trials and four harvest trials in Northern Europe (Northern France, Poland, Germany, Hungary and Austria) – 2014 Report No 14SGS033 Sponsor No R-34897 SGS AGRI MIN GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.3.7/01 | Roussel Ch.H. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in sugar beet (RAC whole plants, roots and leaves+tops), following two applications of Acetamiprid 200 SL in three trials (two HS + one DCS) - Northern Europe (Poland and Hungary) – 2020 Report No SPK-20-46380 Sponsor No 000105979 | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|-----------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | STAPHYT GLP Unpublished | | | | |
| KCP 8.3.7/02 | Roussel Ch.H. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid, after application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in sugar beet in Northern Europe – 2021 Report No ChR-21-48246 Sponsor No 000107604 STAPHYT GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.5.3/01 | Roussel, Ch. H. | 2014 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid in apple (RAC fruits and processed fractions), following one or two applications of MCW-2222 in six trials (3 DCS + 3 HS), Northern Europe (Northern France, Germany, Poland and Belgium) – 2014 Report No ChR-14-17311 Sponsor No R-34915 STAPHYT GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 8.10.1/01 | Boileau G. | 2022 | Magnitude of the residues of acetamiprid after application of ACETAMIPRID 200 SL in honey of phacelia in Northern and Southern Europe – 2021-2022 Study No GBU-21-48185 Sponsor No 000107273 STAPHYT, France GLP Unpublished | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 9.2.4/01 | Xiao, H. | 2023 | Acetamiprid - Predicted Environmental Concentrations in Groundwater Following Application to Various Crops in Central Europe RIFCON GmbH, Hirschberg, Germany Unpublished report R2180058-01 ADAMA Report Number 000111969 Non-GLP | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 9.2.4/01* | Wiedemann, G. | 2024 | Acetamiprid - Predicted Environmental Concentrations in Groundwater Following Application to Various Crops in Central | N | N | | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|---|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| | | | Europe RIFCON GmbH, Hirschberg, Germany Unpublished report R2390013-08 ADAMA Report Number 000118540 Non GLP | | | | |
| KCP 9.2.5/01 | Xiao, H. | 2023 | Acetamiprid - Predicted Environmental Concentrations in Surface Water and Sediment at Steps 1-2 Following Application to Various Crops in Central Europe RIFCON GmbH, Hirschberg, Germany Unpublished report R2180058-02 ADAMA Report Number 000111967 Non GLP | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 9.2.5/02* | Wiedemann, G. | 2024 | Acetamiprid - Predicted Environmental Concentrations in Surface Water and Sediment at Steps 3-4 Following Application to Various Crops in Central Europe RIFCON GmbH, Hirschberg, Germany Unpublished report R2390013-09 ADAMA Report Number 000118539 Non GLP | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 9.2.5/02 | Xiao, H. | 2023 | Acetamiprid - Predicted Environmental Concentrations in Surface Water and Sediment at Steps 3-4 Following Application to Various Crops in Central Europe RIFCON GmbH, Hirschberg, Germany Unpublished report R2180058-03 ADAMA Report Number 000111968 Non GLP | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.1.1/01 | Finch, E., Payne, M., Crocker, J. | 2006 | Bird and mammal risk assessment: Refining the proportion of diet obtained in the treated crop area (PT) through the use of radio-tracking data. Pages 1-48 in Advisory Committee on Pesticides SC 11419. GLP: no Published: no | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.1/02 | Lahr J, Krämer W, Mazerolles V, Poulsen V, Jölli D, Müller M, McVey E, Wassenberg J, Derckx R, Brouwer A, Deneer D, Belman W, Lammertsma D, Jansman H, Buij R | 2018 | Data collection for the estimation of ecological data (specific focal species, time spent in treated areas collecting food, composition of diet), residue level and residue decline on food items to be used in the risk assessment for birds and mammals. EFSA supporting publication 2018:EN-1513. 155 pp. | N | N | | Public |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| KCP 10.1.2/01 | Weick, S., Henkes, K. | 2017 | Residues of acetamiprid in foliage-dwelling arthropods and ground vegetation after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in a pome fruit orchard in Italy – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline, unpubl. RIFCON GmbH report, 08 June 2017 Report No.: R1640039 GLP No. 299 Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.1.2/02 | Staffel, J., Brehm, C. | 2021 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in Spain – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline. unpubl. RIFCON GmbH report, 29 July 2021. Report No.: R2040056 GLP No.513 Published: no | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.1.2/03 | Staffel, J., Brehm, C. | 2021 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in Germany – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline. unpubl. RIFCON GmbH report, 05 August 2021. Report No.: R2040057 GLP No. 517 Published: no | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.1.2/04 | Staffel, J., Brehm, C. | 2022 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early vegetation stages in spring in Germany – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline. unpubl. RIFCON GmbH report, 28 February 2022. Report No.: R2040059 GLP No.519 Published: no | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.1.2/05 | Gräf, K. | 2022 | Residues of acetamiprid in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants after spray application of Acetamiprid 200 SL in early | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|--|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| | | | vegetation stages in Northern Europe – magnitude of residues and time course of residue decline. unpubl. RIFCON GmbH report, 01 March 2022. Report No.: R2040060 GLP No. 520 Published: no | | | | |
| KCP 10.1.2/06 | Ebeling, M., Wang, M. | 2018 | Dissipation of Plant Protection Products From Foliage. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 37:1926–1932 GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/07 | Kaetzke, P., Niedermeier, J., Masseti, M. | 2003 | Oryctolagus cuniculus (Linné, 1758) Europäisches Wildkaninchen. In: Handbuch der Säugetiere Europas, Lagomorpha (Ed. by Niethammer, J. & Krapp, F.), pp. 189 - 289: AULA-Verlag. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/08 | Gea-Izquierdo, G., Muñoz-Igualada, J., San Miguel-Ayanz, A | 2005 | Gea-Izquierdo, G., Muñoz-Igualada, J. & San Miguel-Ayanz, A. 2005. Rabbit warren distribution in relation to pasture communities in Mediterranean habitats: consequences for management of rabbit populations. Wildlife Research, 32, 723-731 GLP No. Published: no | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/09 | Calvete, C., Estrada, R., Angulo, E., Cabezas-Ruiz, S. | 2004 | Habitat factors related to wild rabbit conservation in an agricultural landscape. Landscape Ecology 19:531–542. | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/10 | Katzschner, I., Ludwigs, J.-D., Grimm, T. Blanckenhagen, F. von | 2015 | Generic monitoring of hares and rabbits to determine proportion of time spent foraging in oilseed rape, sunflower and sugar beet in Central Europe. ADAMA Irvita NV; unpublished RIFCON GmbH report, 04 December 2015 Report No.: R12244-1 GLP No. Published: no | Y | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.1.2/11 | Schabacker, J. Hahne, J., Ludwigs, J.-D., Vallon, M., Foudoulakis, M., Murfitt, R., Ristau, K. | 2020 | Residue levels of pesticides on fruits for use in wildlife risk assessments. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4345 ; https://setac.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/ieam.4345 GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|--|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| KCP 10.1.2/12 | Jacob, J., Manson, P., Barfknecht, R., Fredricks, T. | 2013 | Common Vole (<i>Microtus Arvalis</i>) Ecology and Management: Implications for Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Pest Management Science 70: 869–878. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/13 | Rinke, T. | 1991 | Percentage of volume versus number of species: availability and intake of grasses and forbs in <i>Microtus arvalis</i> . Folia Zoologica, 40(2), 143–151. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/14 | Leutert, A. | 1983 | Einfluss der Feldmaus, <i>Microtus arvalis</i> (Pall.), auf die floristische Zusammensetzung von Wiesen-Ökosystemen. Veröffentlichung des Geobotanischen Institutes der Eidg. Techn. Hochschule, Stiftung Rübel, Zürich. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/15 | Lüthi, M., W. Nentwig, and J. P. Airoidi | 2010 | Nutritional ecology of <i>Microtus arvalis</i> (Pallas, 1779) in sown wild flower fields and quasi-natural habitats. Revue Suisse de Zoologie 4:811–828. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.2.1/01 | █ | 2014a | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to the rainbow trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> in a 96-hour static test Report No. 141048005 W █. GLP: yes Published: no | Y | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.2.1/02 | Juckeland, D. | 2014b | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> in a 48-hour static test Report No.: R-33832 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|----------------|-------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Published: no | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.2.1/03 | Juckeland, D., | 2015 | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to Chironomus riparius in a 48-hour static test Report No.: R-34873 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.2.1/04 | Juckeland, D. | 2014c | Effects of MCW-2222 on Desmodesmus subspicatus in an algal growth inhibition test Report No.: R-33833 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.2.3/01 | Hommen, U. | 2022 | Acetamiprid – Outdoor mesocosm study Report No.: ADM-025/7-52 Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology (IME) Auf dem Aberg 1 57392 Schmallenberg, Germany GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 10.2.3/02 | Taylor, S. & Joyce, F., D | 2015 | Acetamiprid 200 SL – Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Organisms Report Nr.: R-35057 Cambridge Environmental Assessments Battlegate Road, Boxworth Cambridgeshire, CB23 4NN / UK GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.2.3/03 | Koerner, O. | 2015 | MCW-2222: Evaluation of Aquatic Invertebrate Toxicity Tests to Derive a Regulatory Acceptable Concentration Report Nr.: R-36040 ADAMA Europe Edmund-Rumpler-Straße 5 51149 Köln, Germany GLP: no Published: no | N | N | | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.1.1/01 & KCP 10.3.1.1.2/01 | Franke, M. | 2014 | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to the honeybee <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. under laboratory conditions Sponsor ID: R-33834; Study No.: 14 10 48 076 B BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.2.1/01 & KCP 10.3.1.2.2/01 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Acute toxicity of MCW-2222 to the bumblebee <i>Bombus terrestris</i> L. under laboratory conditions Sponsor ID: R-33837; Study No.: 14 10 48 024 A BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|--------------|-------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| | | | | | | authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.3.1.2/01 | Kleebaum, K. | 2015a | Chronic toxicity of MCW-2222 to the honeybee <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. under laboratory conditions Sponsor ID: R-33835; Study No.: 14 10 48 077 B BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.2/02 | Dreßler, K. | 2019 | Chronic oral toxicity of CA3573 Acetamiprid 200 SL (Carnadine) to the honey bee <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. under laboratory conditions Project No. 19 48 BAC 0028 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.3/01 | Kleebaum, K. | 2015b | Chronic toxicity of MCW-2222 to honeybee larvae (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) under laboratory conditions (in vitro) Sponsor ID: R-33836; Study No.: 14 10 48 078 B BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.3/02 | Scheller, K. | 2020 | CA3573 Acetamiprid 200 SL (Carnadine) - Repeated exposure of honey bee larvae (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) under laboratory conditions Project No. 19 48 BLC 0033 | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | Nufarm |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | | | | |
| KCP 10.3.1.5/01 | Mamet, O. & Molitor, C. | 2015a | Assessment of toxicity on honey bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) of the product MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/L) applied under insect proof tunnels on a cereal crop Sponsor ID: R-34874; Study No.: 216-2014 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.5/02 | Mamet, O. | 2015a | Assessment of toxicity on honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) of MCW-2222 on wheat crop in a tunnel trial in France. Sponsor ID: R-35845; Study No.: 223-2015 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.5/03 | Mamet, O. | 2015b | Assessment of toxicity on honey bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) of the product MCW-2222 on cereals in a tunnel trial in France. Sponsor ID: R-35846; Study No.: 224-2015 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 10.3.1.5/04 | Mamet, O. & Molitor, C. | 2015b | Assessment of toxicity on honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) of the product MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/L) applied under insect proof tunnels on a phacelia crop in Northern France. Sponsor ID: R-34875; Study No.: 217-2014 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.5/05 | Mamet, O. & Molitor, C. | 2015c | Assessment of toxicity on honey bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) of the product MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/L) applied under insect proof tunnels on a phacelia crop during summer in France Sponsor ID: R-34876; Study No.: 218-2014 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.5/06 | Molitor, C. | 2015a | Assessment of toxicity on honey bees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) of the product MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/L) applied under insect proof tunnels on a phacelia crop during summer in France. Sponsor ID: R-35847; Study No.: 225-2015 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.5/07 | Hecht-Rost, S. & Mayer, O. | 2018 | Semi-field brood study to evaluate potential effects of MCW-2222 on brood development of honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|-------------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Sponsor ID: R-37336; Report No.: R1640035 RIFCON GmbH Goldbeckstr. 13 D-69493 Hirschberg, Germany. GLP: no Published: no | | | support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.3.1.6/01 | Molitor, C. | 2015b | Field Study to Evaluate Potential Side Effects of the product MCW-2222 (acetamiprid 200 g/L) on Brood Development, Foraging Activity, Mortality and Behaviour of Adult Honeybees <i>Apis mellifera</i> L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Following Application after Bee-Flight on <i>Phacelia tanacetifolia</i> Sponsor ID: R-34877; Study No.: 215-2014 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.6/02 | Molitor, C. | 2015c | Field Study to Evaluate Potential Side Effects of MCW-2222 on Brood Development, Foraging Activity, Mortality and Behaviour of Adult Honeybees (<i>Apis mellifera</i>) on Oilseed rape & Final Report Amendment N°1 Sponsor ID: R-35844; Study No.: 230-2015 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.1.6/03 | Aucejo, S. | 2015a | Effects and Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid 200 SL on the Honeybee (<i>Apis mellifera</i> L.) Brood in Apple, under Field Conditions, in Italy 2015. Sponsor ID: R-35961; Study No: 307SRES15C01 TESTAPI, Sarré, 49350 Gennes, France. | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | GLP: yes Published: no | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.3.2.1/01 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the predatory mite Typhlodromus pyri SCHEUTEN in a laboratory test -Rate-Response-Test (LR50) - Report No.: R-33838 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.1/02 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the parasitic wasp Aphidius rhopalosiphi (DESTEFANI-PEREZ) in a laboratory test - Rate-Response-Test (LR50) - Report No.: R-33839 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.2/01 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the predatory mite Typhlodromus pyri SCHEUTEN in an extended laboratory test - Rate-Response-Test (LR50) - Report No.: R-34780 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|-------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.3.2.2/02 | Stevens, J. | 2015 | MCW-2222 – A rate-response extended laboratory bioassay of the effects of fresh residues on the parasitic wasp <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> (Hymenoptera, Braconidae) Report No.: R-35026 Mambo-Tox Ltd., 2 Venture Road, University Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NP, UK GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.2/03 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the parasitic wasp <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> (DESTEFANI-PEREZ) STEPH. in an extended laboratory test - Rate-Response-Test (LR50) - Report No.:R-33839A BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.2/04 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the green lacewing <i>Chrysoperla carnea</i> STEPH. in an extended laboratory test - Rate-Response-Test (LR50) - Report No.: R-34781 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R- | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | | | | 106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.3.2.2/05 | Röhlig, U. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the ladybird <i>Coccinella septempunctata</i> L. in an extended laboratory test -Rate-Response-Test (LR50) - Report No.: R-34782 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.3/01 | Luna, F. | 2016 | Aged residue test with the formulation “MCW-2222” (Acetamiprid20% w/v SL) at 45 g a.s./ha on the parasitic wasp <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) Report No.: TRC15-242BA TRIALCAMP, Poligono Industrial de L’Alter Av. Antic Regne de València, 25, 46290 Alcàsser (Valencia) Spain GLP: Yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.3/02 | Luna, F. | 2016 | Aged residue test with the formulation “MCW-2222” (Acetamiprid20% w/v SL) at 70 g a.s. /ha on the parasitic wasp <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) Report No.: TRC15-243BA TRIALCAMP, Poligono Industrial de L’Alter Av. Antic Regne de València, 25, 46290 Alcàsser (Valencia) Spain GLP: Yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| KCP 10.3.2.3/03 | Luna, F. | 2016 | Aged residue test with the formulation “MCW-2222” (Acetamiprid 20% w/v SL) at 102 g a.s. /ha on the parasitic wasp <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) Report No.: TRC15-244BA TRIALCAMP, Poligono Industrial de L’Alter Av. Antic Regne de València, 25, 46290 Alcàsser (Valencia) Spain GLP: Yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.3/04 | Luna, F. | 2017a | Aged residue test with the formulation “MCW-2222” at 170 g a.s. /ha on the parasitic wasp <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i> (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) Report No.: TRC16-073BA TRIALCAMP, Poligono Industrial de L’Alter Av. Antic Regne de València, 25, 46290 Alcàsser (Valencia) Spain GLP: Yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.3/05 | Luna, F. | 2017b | Aged residue test with the formulation “MCW-2222” on the predatory mite <i>Typhlodromus pyri</i> (Acari: phytoseiidae) Report No.: R-37335 TRIALCAMP, Poligono Industrial de L’Alter Av. Antic Regne de València, 25, 46290 Alcàsser (Valencia) Spain GLP: Yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.3.2.3/06 | Luna, F. | 2017c | Aged residue test with the formulation “MCW-2222” on <i>Coccinella septempunctata</i> (Coleoptera: coccinellidae) Report No.: TRC16-075BA / R-37334 | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|--------------------|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | TRIALCAMP, Poligono Industrial de L'Alter Av. Antic Regne de València, 25, 46290 Alcàsser (Valencia) Spain GLP: Yes Published: no | | | registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.3.2.4/01 | Appeltaue A. | 2016 | A field study assessing the impact of drift rates of acetamiprid on the non-target arthropod fauna on a meadow in Germany Report No.: R-35848 Eurofins agrosience services EcoChem GmbH/Eurofins. Eutinger Straße 24, D-75223 Niefern-Öschelbronn, Germny. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.4.1.1/01 | Friedrich, S. | 2014 | MCW-2222 - Sublethal toxicity to the earthworm Eisenia fetida in artificial soil Report No.: R-33840 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.4.2.1/01 | Friedrich, S. | 2014 | MCW-2222 - Effects on the reproduction of the collembolan Folsomia candida Report No.: R-33841 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-----------------|---------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| | | | Published: no | | | Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |
| KCP 10.4.2.1/02 | Schulz, L. | 2014 | Effects of MCW-2222 on the reproduction of the predatory mite Hypoaspis aculeifer Report No.: R-33842 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.4.2.2/01 | Schulz, L. | 2022 | Effects of Acetamiprid 200 SL on Collembola under field conditions BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH, Kupferstraße 6, 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. Project No: 21 48 FCM 0002 GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data never submitted before to Poland | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.5/01 | Schulz, L. | 2014 | MCW-2222 - Effects on the activity of soil microflora (Nitrogen transformation test) Report No.: R-33843 BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | ADAMA |
| KCP 10.6.2/01 | Friedrich, S. | 2014 | Terrestrial plant test with MCW-2222: Vegetative vigour test Report No.: 14 10 48 002 P | N | Y | Data was submitted before to Poland to | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|-----------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------|
| | | | BioChem agrar Labor für biologische und chemische Analytik GmbH. Kupferstraße 6. 04827 Gerichshain, Germany. GLP: yes Published: no | | | support the registration for Kestrel 200 SL from Nufarm. Data protection started with authorisation of Kestrel 200 SL R-106/2018 dated on 28.05.2018 | |

List of data submitted or referred to by the applicant and relied on, but already evaluated at EU peer review

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|--------------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------|
| CP 5.1.2 | Mamouni, A. | 1997 | Adsorption/Desorption of IM-1-4 on Five Soils Report No. 383826 GLP Published | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Emeric, G.T. | 1998 | Acetamiprid - Verification of the Identity of the Photolyte obtained at pH 7 Report No. 98-47 GLP Published | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Shiotani, H. | 2003 | Photodegradation of IM-1-5 in Water Report No. C030709 GLP Published | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Goller G. | 1999 | Stability Study of NI-25 (Acetamiprid) in apple and tomato samples after storage in freezer at or below -18 °C - Fortification experiments with active ingredient Report No RPA/NI-25/97051 A.D.M.E. - Bioanalyses, France GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| CP 5.1.2 | Netzband D.J. | 2003 | Stability study of Acetamiprid in potatoes during frozen storage, USA, 2002 in freezer at or below -18°C Report No RD-00243 Bayer CropScience GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Jean-Baptiste C. | 2009 | Frozen Storage Stability of Residues of Acetamiprid in Fodder Pea Report No A7125 Anadiag Laboratories GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Gieseke L.D. | 1999 | NI-25 (acetamiprid): Freezer storage stability of acetamiprid residues in various raw agricultural commodities and processing fractions (plant matrices) Report No 10201 Horizon Laboratories, Inc. GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Raufer B. | 2013 | Residue study on rotational crops after one application of Acetamiprid on bare soil at 2 sites in Europe in 2010 to 2012. Report No RD-02495N2 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Raufer B. | 2014 | Residue study on rotational crop (turnip) after one application of Acetamiprid on bare soil at 1 site in Europe in 2012 to 2013. Report No RD-02930 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Kowite W.J. | 1999 | NI-25: Magnitude of Residues in Apple Processed Commodities Resulting from Foliar Applications of EXP 80667A Insecticide Report No 97512650 Rhône- Poulenc Agriculture Ltd GLP, GEP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Venet, C. Barriere, I. | 2000a | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - Trials France 1999 - Residues in Apple + Processed products Study No. R&D/CRLD/AN/mba 0015360 Aventis crop science GLP | N | N | | Nippon Soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|---------------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | Unpublished | | | | |
| CP 5.1.2 | Richard, M. Maestracci, M | 1997a | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - Trial Spain 1996 - Residues in Tomato (Greenhouse) - Decline study Study No. 9716021 Rhône Poulenc Agro GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Richard, M. Maestracci, M | 1997b | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - Trial Italy 1996 - Residues in Tomato (Greenhouse) - Decline study Study No. 9715986 Rhône Poulenc Agro GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Richard, M. Maestracci, M | 1997c | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - Trial France 1997 - Residues in Tomato (in Greenhouse) Study No. 9716514 Rhône Poulenc Agro GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Richard, M. Maestracci, M | 1997d | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - Trial France 1997 - Residues in Tomato (in Greenhouse) Study No. 9716513 Rhône Poulenc Agro GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Venet, C. Barriere, I. | 2000b | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - South/Italy/1999 – 1 harvest study trial - Residues in Tomato (fruit).(in Greenhouse) Study No. 0015356 Aventis crop science GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | ██████ | 1999b | Acetamiprid (Code No.: NI-25) – Magnitude of Residues in Poultry Tissue and Eggs. Report No RD-09988 ██████ Unpublished | Y | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | ██████ | 1999a | Acetamiprid: Magnitude of Residues in Cairry Cow Milk and Tissues Report No RD-9989 | Y | N | | Nippon Soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|--------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | Unpublished | | | | |
| CP 5.1.2 | Liu, A.C. | 1997 | 6-Chloronicotinic Acid (Acetamiprid metabolite) soil adsorption/desorption study GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Sugiyama H. | 2010 | Adsorption/Desorption Study of IM 1-5 on Soils Study no. RD-02101 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 5.1.2 | Kellner, T. | 2018 | Field Soil Dissipation Study with IM-1-5 (a metabolite of Acetamiprid) on three Sites in Europe (2016 – 2017) Eurofins, Germany Report No. R1640068 Study reference: R-37999 (000110074) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CP 5.1.2 | Weber, H. Zetzsch, A. | 2016 | Storage stability of acetamiprid and its metabolite IM-1-15 in soil under deep frozen conditions Eurofins, Germany Report No. S15-04842 Study reference: R-36488 (000083670) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CP 5.1.2 | Semrau, J. | 2017 | Determination of residues of acetamiprid and its soil metabolites IM-1-4 and IM-1-5 after one application of MCW-2222 to bare soil in rotational crops (radish, spinach and wheat) at 1 site in Northern Europe and 1 site in Southern Europe 2015 / 2016 Eurofins, Germany Report No. S15-02364 Study reference: R-35750 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Schwarz, T. | 2008 | Acetamiprid: Validation of an Enforcement Method for Plant Materials Study P/B1447G PTRL Europe Nippon-Soda Report No. RD-01937 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| CA 5.2 | Weber, H. | 2013 | Validation of a Multiresidue Method (Fillion) with Modified Cleanup and Detection for the Determination of Acetamiprid in Potato Study No. S13-02134, Document ID RD-02603 Eurofins Agrosience Services GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Miya, K. | 2010 | Validation Study of the Analytical Method for the Determination of the Residues of Acetamiprid and Its Metabolite (IM-2-1) in Animal Commodities Report No. NCAS 10-144, Document ID RD-02080 Nisso Chemical Analysis Service Co., Japan GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Knoch, E. | 2010 | Independent Laboratory Validation: Analytical Method for the Determination of the Residues of Acetamiprid and its Metabolite (IM-2-1) in Animal Commodities Report No. IF-10/01687868, Document ID RD-02156 SGS Institut Fresenius GmbH GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Täufel, A. & Weber H. | 2010 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid and Acetamiprid Soil Metabolite IM-1-5 in Calcareous Soil using LC-MS/MS Report No. S09-03287, Document ID RD-02062N Eurofins Dr. Specht, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Miya, K. | 2007 | Validation Study of the Confirmatory Method for the Determination of Acetamiprid in Water, Report No. NCAS 06-209, Document ID RD-01204 Nisso Chemical Analysis Service Co., Japan GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Senciuc, M. | 2014a | Independent Laboratory Validation (ILV) of a Residues Analytical Method for the Determination of Acetamiprid in Drinking Water Report No. P 3244 G, Document ID RD-02951 PTRL Europe GmbH, Germany GLP, Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|-------------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| CA 5.2 | Gieseau, A. & Weber, H. | 2012 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid Metabolite IM-1-5 in Water using LC-MS/MS, Report No. S12-02719, Document ID RD-02604 Eurofins Agroscience Services, Germany, GLP, not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Senciuc, M. | 2014b | Independent Laboratory Validation (ILV) of a Residues Analytical Method for the Determination of Acetamiprid Metabolite IM-1-5 in Drinking Water Report No. P 3245 G, Document ID RD-02952 PTRL Europe GmbH, Germany GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 5.2 | Lang, A. | 2016 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Acetamiprid in Air CIP, Germany Report No.: 16A08133-01-VMAT Study reference: R-37839 (000086048) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Lang, A. | 2016 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid and its Metabolite IM-2-1 in five Matrices of Animal Origin (Milk, Eggs, Meat, Fat and Kidney/Liver) CIP, Germany Report No. 16A08133-01-VMAT Study reference: R-37837 (000086046) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Barbier, G. | 2017 | Independent Laboratory Validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues of acetamiprid and its metabolite N-desmethylacetamiprid (IM-2-1) in animal tissues: meat, egg, milk, fat and liver FREDON Pays de la Loire / GIRPA, France Report No. B16G-A4-A-01 Study reference: R-37912 (000086201) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Merdian, H. | 2015 | Validation of the analytical method for the determination of acetamiprid in surface and drinking water Eurofins, UK | N | N | | ADAMA |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|------------------------------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | Report No. S15-04647 Study reference: R-35910 (000024803) GLP Unpublished | | | | |
| CA 5.2 | Wiesner, F. Breyer, N. | 2016 | Indipendent Laboratory Validation (ILV) of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Acetamiprid in Water Eurofins, Germany Report No. S16-00166 Study reference: R-35910A (000085643) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Merdian, H. | 2015 | Validation of the analytical method for the determination of acetamiprid metabolite I M-1-5 in surface and drinking water Eurofins, UK Report No. S15-04648 Study reference: R-35911 (000024804) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Wiesner, F. Feddersen, T. | 2017 | Indipendent Laboratory Validation (ILV) of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Acetamiprid Metabolite IM-1-5 in Water Eurofins, Germany Report No. S16-00167 Study reference: R-35911A (000085644) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Lang, A. | 2016 | Validation of an Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues of Acetamiprid in Blood CIP, Germany Report No. 16A08133-01-VMBF Study reference: R-37838 (000086047) GLP Unpublished | N | N | | ADAMA |
| CA 5.2 | Beck, T., Class, T. | 2009 | Acetamiprid: development and validation of an analytical method for the dtermination of residues on operator exposure dosimeters from field studies Report No. P/B 1603 G, Document ID RD-01863 PTRL Europe, Germany GLP | N | N | | Nippon soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---|------------------------|------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | Unpublished | | | | |
| CA 7.1.1.1/01, 7.1.2.1.1/02, 7.1.2.1.2/03 | Morgenroth, U. | 1997 | ¹⁴ C-NI-25: Metabolism in One Soil Incubated under Aerobic Conditions Report/file: RCC Project 373994 Amended final report Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-09624N GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.1.1/02 | Feung, C.S. | 1998 | Acetamiprid (NI-25): Metabolism in Collombey Soil Report/file: RPAC Report N° EC-97-406 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-09961 Non-GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.1.1/03, 7.1.2.1.1/03, 7.1.2.1.2/04 | Burr, C.M. | 1997 | [¹⁴ C]-NI-25: Rate of Aerobic Degradation in Three Soil Types at 20°C and One Soil Type at 10°C Report/file: RPAL Study Report 11256 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-09962 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.1.1/04, 7.1.2.1.1/04, 7.1.2.1.2/05 | Simmonds, M.B. | 2002 | [¹⁴ C]-Acetamiprid: Rate of Degradation in Three Calcareous Soils at 20°C Aventis CropScience SA., report C019428 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-00168 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.1.2/01, 7.1.2.1.3/02 | Burr, C.M., Doble, M.L | 1997 | [¹⁴ C]-NI-25: Anaerobic Soil Degradation Report/file: RPAL Study Report 11444 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-09860 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.1.3/01 | Mislankar, S.G. | 1998 | Acetamiprid (NI-25) Soil Photolysis Report/file: RPAC Study N° EC-97-359 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-09833 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.1.1/01, 7.1.2.1.2/02 | Jarvis, T. & Hilton, M | 2014 | Re-calculation of laboratory aerobic degradation rates of acetamiprid and its metabolites, according to FOCUS (2006, 2011) guidance Exponent International Ltd., UK Nippon-Soda Report No.: RD-02913 Non-GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| CA 7.1.2.1.2/01 | Jewkes, Y. | 2014 | Rate of Degradation of [¹⁴ C]-IM-1-5 in Three Soils at 20°C Nippon-Soda Report No.: RD-02811 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.1.2/06 | Lowden, P., Oddy, A.M., Jones, M.K. | 1997 | NI-25: Rate of Degradation of the Acid Metabolite, [¹⁴ C]-IC-0 in Three Soils Report/file: RPAL Study Report 11257 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-9963 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.1.3/01 | Jarvis, T. & Montesano, V | 2014a | Re-calculation of laboratory anaerobic degradation rate of acetamiprid according to FOCUS (2006, 2011) guidance Exponent International Ltd., UK Nippon-Soda Report No.: RD-02910 Non-GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.2.1 | Wicks, R.J. | 1999 | Acetamiprid : Field Soil Dissipation Study in Europe RPA Study 11258, Doc 202052 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-9997 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.2.1/01 | Jarvis, T. & Hilton, M | 2014 | Re-calculation of acetamiprid field dissipation rates from Wicks (1999) according to FOCUS (2006, 2011) guidance Exponent International Ltd., UK Nippon-Soda Report No.: RD-02912 Non-GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.2.1/02 | Kellner, T. | 2012a | Soil Dissipation study with Acetamiprid and its Soil Metabolite IM-1-5, in or on Soil in Spain in 2010-2011 Eurofins Agrosience Services Nippon Soda Co. Ltd Report No.: RD-02404 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.2.1/03 | Kellner, T. | 2012b | Soil Dissipation study with Acetamiprid and its Soil Metabolite IM-1-5, in or on Soil in Southern France in 2010-2011 Eurofins Agrosience Services Nippon Soda Co. Ltd Report No.: RD-02405 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| CA 7.1.2.2.1/04 | Kellner, T. | 2012c | Soil Dissipation study with Acetamiprid and its Soil Metabolite IM-1-5, in or on Soil in Northern France in 2010-2011 Eurofins Agrosience Services Nippon Soda Co. Ltd Report No.: RD-02406 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.2.1/05 | Finger, N. | 2013 | Soil Dissipation study with Acetamiprid and its Soil Metabolite IM-1-5, in or on Soil in Hungary in 2011-2012 Eurofins Agrosience Services Nippon Soda Co. Ltd Report No.: RD-02599 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.2.2.1/06 | Jarvis, T. & Montesano, V. | 2014b | Calculation of Acetamiprid soil DT ₅₀ values from new field dissipation studies in 2010 and 2011 using FOCUS kinetics Non-GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.3.1.2/01 | Sugiyama, H. | 2010 | Adsorption / desorption study of IM-1-5 on soils Nippon Soda Co. Ltd. (NSM), Japan Report No. NSM10-013 Document No. RD-02101 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.3.1.2/02 | Mamouni, A. | 1997 | Adsorption/Desorption of IM-1-4 on Five Soils Report/file:RCC Project 383826 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-09567N GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.3.1.2/03 | Liu, A.C. | 1997 | 6-Chloronicotinic Acid (Acetamiprid Metabolite) Soil Adsorption/Desorption Study Report/file: RPAC Study N° EC-97-370 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-9973 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.1.3.1.2/04 | Mackenzie E. & Price O. | 2003 | [¹⁴ C]-IM-1-2 : Adsorption to and Desorption from Four Soils and One Sediment BayerCropScience SA, report C030079 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-03056 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| CA 7.2.1.2/01 | Hausmann, S., & Class, T. | 1998 | Aqueous Photodegradation of [¹⁴ C]-Acetamiprid at pH 7 and Determination of Quantum Yield Report/file: PTRL Europe Study N° P 196 G, RPA Study N°96-82 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-00403 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.2.2.2/01 | Möndel, M. | 2014 | [Pyridine-2,6- ¹⁴ C]-Acetamiprid: Aerobic Degradation in Natural Water RLP Agrosience, Germany Nippon-Soda Report No.: RD- 02800 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.2.2.3/01 | Jarvis, T. & Montesano, V. | 2014c | Recalculation of acetamiprid sediment water kinetics according to FOCUS (2006, 2011) guidance Exponent International Ltd., UK Nippon-Soda Report No.: RD-02911 Non-GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CA 7.2.2.3/02 | McMillan-Staff, S.L., & Austin, D.J. | 1997 | [¹⁴ C]-NI-25: Degradation in Two Water/Sediment Systems. Report/file: RPAL Study 11263 Nippon Soda Doc No. RD-9968 GLP Not published | N | N | | Nippon soda |
| CP 8.1 | Goller G. | 1999 | Stability Study of NI-25 (Acetamiprid) in apple and tomato samples after storage in freezer at or below -18 °C – Fortification experiments with active ingredient Document No. RD-00340 A.D.M.E. - Bioanalyses, France, Report No. RPA/NI-25/97051, 97-75 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.1 | Gieseke L.D. | 1999 | NI-25 (acetamiprid): Freezer storage stability of acetamiprid residues in various raw agricultural commodities and processing fractions (plant matrices). Horizon Laboratories, Inc. Report No. 10201, Document No. RD-00270 | N | N | | Nippon Soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | Unpublished | | | | |
| CP 8.1 | Jean-Baptiste C. | 2009 | Frozen Storage Stability of Residues of Acetamiprid in Fodder Pea. Anadiag Laboratories. Report No. A7125, Document No. RD-01936. GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.1 | Netzband D.J., | 2003 | Stability study of Acetamiprid in potatoes during frozen storage, USA, 2002 in freezer at or below -18°C 02Y535566 RD-00243; Doc No. 645-002 Bayer CropScience, RTP GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.1 | Saito H. | 1997a | NI-25 [Pyridine-2,6-14C] - Nature of the Residue in Eggplants Report/file:NCAS N°-2-92 Amended Report N° EC-391-3 Nisso Chemical Analysis Service Co, Ltd GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.1 | Saito H. | 1997b | NI-25 [Pyridine-2,6-14C] - Nature of the Residue in Apples Report/file:NCAS N°:2-98 Amended Report-742-1 Rhône-Poulenc Agriculture Ltd GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.1 | Saito H. | 1997c | NI-25 [Pyridine-2,6-14C] - Nature of the Residue in Cabbages Plants Report/file:NCAS N°:2-111 Amended Report EC-743-1 Nisso Chemical Analysis GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.1 | Kawai T | 1995 | NI-25 [CN-14C] - Nature of the Residue in Cabbages Plants Report/file:NCAS N°:2-137 NG Amended Report EC-617-1 Bayer CropScience GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.1 | Austin D.J., | 1997 | [14C]-NI-25 Metabolism in Carrots | N | N | | Nippon |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------|
| | McMillan-Staff S.L., Lingwood A. | | Report/file:RPAL Study 11253 Rhône-Poulenc Agriculture Ltd GLP Unpublished | | | | Soda |
| CP 8.2.1 | Miller N. | 1999 | Foliarly applied 14C-acetamiprid: Metabolic fate and distribution in cotton (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>). Report No. EC-97-367 Document No. RD-00741 Rhône-Poulenc Ag Company GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.3 | ██████ | 1997a | 14C-NI-25 (Acetamiprid): Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion after Repeated Oral Administration to Lactating Goats Report/file: RCC N°: 628132 ██████ GLP Unpublished | Y | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.2.2 | ██████ | 1997b | 14C-NI-25 (Acetamiprid): Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion after Repeated Oral Administration to Laying Hens Report/file: RCC N°: 628143 ██████ GLP Unpublished | Y | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.4.1 | ██████ | 1999a | Acetamiprid (Code No.: NI-25) – Magnitude of Residues in Poultry Tissue and Eggs. Report no RD-09988 GLP: Yes Unpublished | Y | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.4.2 | ██████ | 1999b | Acetamiprid : Magnitude of Residues in Dairy Cow Milk and Tissues. Report no 98514428 (File no 45649) GLP: Yes Unpublished | Y | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.5.1 | McMillan-Staff, Austin D.J. | 1997 | [14C]-NI-25 Investigation of the Nature of the Potential Residue in the Products of Industrial Processing or Household Preparation. Generated by: Rhône-Poulenc Agriculture Ltd Report/file:RPAL Study 13442 Rhône-Poulenc Ag Company GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Verte- brate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| CP 8.5.3 | Fraschini C | 1998a | Analysis of NI-25 (Acetamiprid) Residues in orange (Whole fruit, pulp, peel) Report/file: SIP1124 Sipcam Spa GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.5.3 | Fraschini C | 1998b | Analysis of NI-25 (Acetamiprid) Residues in orange (Whole fruit, pulp, peel, juice) Report/file: SIP1128 Sipcam Spa GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.5.3 | Kowite W.J. | 1999 | NI-25: Magnitude of Residues in Apple Processed Commodities Resulting from Foliar Applications of EXP 80667A Insecticide Generated by: Rhône- Poulenc Agriculture Ltd Report/file: Study No 97512650 Rhône- Poulenc Agriculture Ltd GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.5.3 | Venet C., Barriere I. | 2000 | Acetamiprid (NI-25) – Formulation EXP60707A (SP) - Trials France 1999 - Residues in Apple + Processed products Report/file: R&D/CRLD/AN/mba 0015360, Aventis CropScience GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.5.3 | Macy L.J. | 1999 | NI-25: Magnitude of Acetamiprid Residues in/on Cottonseed, GinTrash, Cotton Meal, Hulls and refined Oil. Report/file: Study No 97512105 Rhône-Poulenc Agriculture Ltd GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.6.1 | Hobbs G., Inns L. | 2012 | [14C]-IM-1-5: Uptake and Metabolism of Soil Residues in Confined Rotational Crops Report no . RD-02391 Report GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|-----------|------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------|
| CP 8.6.2 | Raufer B. | 2013 | Residue study on rotational crops after one application of Acetamiprid on bare soil at 2 sites in Europe in 2010 to 2012. Report no RD-02495N2 GLP Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |
| CP 8.6.2 | Raufer B | 2014 | Residue study on rotational crop (turnip) after one application of Acetamiprid on bare soil at 1 site in Europe in 2012 to 2013. Report no. RD-02930 GLP: Yes Unpublished | N | N | | Nippon Soda |

List of data submitted by the applicant and not relied on

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|---|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| KCP 10.1.2/06 | Ebeling, M., Wang, M. | 2018 | Dissipation of Plant Protection Products From Foliage. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 37:1926–1932 GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/07 | Kaetzke, P., Niedermeier, J., Masseti, M. | 2003 | Oryctolagus cuniculus (Linné, 1758) Europäisches Wildkaninchen. In: Handbuch der Säugetiere Europas, Lagomorpha (Ed. by Niethammer, J. & Krapp, F.), pp. 189 - 289: AULA-Verlag. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/08 | Gea-Izquierdo, G., Muñoz-Igualada, J., San Miguel-Ayanz, A | 2005 | Gea-Izquierdo, G., Muñoz-Igualada, J. & San Miguel-Ayanz, A. 2005. Rabbit warren distribution in relation to pasture communities in Mediterranean habitats: consequences for management of rabbit populations. Wildlife Research, 32, 723-731 GLP No. Published: no | N | N | | Public |
| KCP 10.1.2/09 | Calvete, C., Estrada, R., Angulo, E., Cabezas-Ruiz, S. | 2004 | Habitat factors related to wild rabbit conservation in an agricultural landscape. Landscape Ecology 19:531-542. | N | N | | Public |
| | | | | | | | |

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|---------------|---|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|
| KCP 10.1.2/12 | Jacob, J., Manson, P., Barfknecht, R., Fredricks, T | 2013 | Common Vole (<i>Microtus Arvalis</i>) Ecology and Management: Implications for Risk Assessment of Plant Protection Products. Pest Management Science 70: 869-878. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Publie |
| KCP 10.1.2/13 | Rinke, T. | 1991 | Percentage of volume versus number of species: availability and intake of grasses and forbs in <i>Microtus arvalis</i> . Folia Zoologica, 40(2), 143-151. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Publie |
| KCP 10.1.2/14 | Leutert, A. | 1983 | Einfluss der Feldmaus, <i>Microtus arvalis</i> (Pall.), auf die floristische Zusammensetzung von Wiesen-Ökosystemen. Veröffentlichung des Geobotanischen Institutes der Eidg. Techn. Hochschule, Stiftung Rübel, Zürich. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Publie |
| KCP 10.1.2/15 | Lüthi, M., W. Nentwig, and J. P. Airolidi | 2010 | Nutritional ecology of <i>Microtus arvalis</i> (Pallas, 1779) in sown wild flower fields and quasi-natural habitats. Revue Suisse de Zoologie 4:811-828. GLP: no Published: yes | N | N | | Publie |

List of data relied on and not submitted by the applicant but necessary for evaluation

| Data point | Author(s) | Year | Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not | Vertebrate study Y/N | Data protection claimed Y/N | Justification if data protection is claimed | Owner |
|------------|-----------|------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |